Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 1 of 63 PageID #:8485

SCOTT DENTON

```
Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

NICOLE HARRIS,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

CITY OF CHICAGO; Chicago Police
Officers ROBERT BARTIK, #3078;
DEMOSTHENES BALODIMAS, #21204,
ROBERT CORDARO, #20680, JOHN J.
DAY, #20926, JAMES M. KELLY,
#21121, MICHAEL LANDANDO, #20417,
ANTHONY NORADIN, #21252, and
RANDALL WO, #20232; Assistant Cook
County State's Attorneys ANDREA
GROGAN and LAWRENCE O'REILLY; and
the COUNTY OF COOK,

Defendants.
)
```

THE DEPOSITION of SCOTT DENTON, M.D., a witness, called by the Plaintiff for examination in the above-entitled cause, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me, Brenda L. Zeitler, CSR-RPR, License No. 084-004062, at 104 West Front Street, in the City of Bloomington, County of McLean, and State of Illinois on the 7th day of January, 2016, commencing at 10:10 a.m.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 2 APPEARANCES: 2 PEOPLE'S LAW OFFICE BY: JOEY L. MOGUL, ESQ. and JAN SUSLER, ESQ. 3 4 1180 North Milwaukee Avenue, 3rd Floor Chicago, Illinois (773) 235-0070 5 joeymogul@aol.com 6 On Behalf of the Plaintiff. 7 HALE LAW LLC BY: AVI T. KAMIONSKI, ESQ. Attorney at Law 8 53 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 330 Chicago, Illinois 60604 9 (312) 341-9646 10 akamionski@ahalelaw.com On behalf of Defendants Bartik, Balodimas, Cordaro, Day, Kelly, Landando, Noradin, and Wo. 11 12 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP BY: KYLE L. FLYNN, ESQ. Attorney at Law 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3100 1.3 14 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 476-5126 15 flynnk@gtlaw.com 16 On Behalf of Defendant City of Chicago. 17 ALSO PRESENT: GARRETT SOMMER, Video Specialist 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 3 TNDEX Page WITNESS: SCOTT DENTON, M.D. EXHIBITS: 8 HARRIS DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 95Z 23 Email HARRIS DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 96Z 49 Materials Received Pursuant to Subpoena from the Medical Examiner's 10 11 Office in Cook County with Respect to the Jaquari Dancy Investigation HARRIS DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 97Z 208 Curriculum Vitae DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 10 107 14 Clear and Closed Supplemental Report 16 Detective Wo Regarding the Interview with Diante Dancy on May 15, 2005 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 30 . Notes of Detective Kelly 19 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 75 20 Transcript of Nicole Harris's 21 Confession 22 Designates an exhibit that was marked in a 23 prior deposition but was referred to herein.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

CCOUR DENITON

SCOTT DENTON

	SCOTT DENTON						
	Page 4						
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record.						
2	Today's date is January 7, 2016. The time is						
3	approximately 10:10 a.m. The location is 104 West						
4	Front Street in Bloomington, Illinois. My name is						
5	Garrett Sommer, Video Specialist, representing						
6	McCorkle Litigation Services, 200 North LaSalle						
7	Street, Suite 2900, Chicago, Illinois, 60601.						
8	This is Case No. 14-CV-4391 entitled Nicole						
9	Harris versus City of Chicago, et al, and the deponent						
10	is Dr. Scott Denton.						
11	Counselors, will you please identify						
12	yourselves for the record.						
13	MS. MOGUL: Good morning, my name is Joey						
14	Mogul, and I am one of the counsel for the Plaintiff,						
15	Nicole Harris.						
16	MS. SUSLER: Jan Susler also representing						
17	Nicole Harris.						
18	MR. FLYNN: Kyle Flynn on behalf of the City						
19	of Chicago.						
20	MR. KAMIONSKI: Avi Kamionski on behalf of						
21	the individual officers.						
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The deponent may now be						
23	administered the oath by the court reporter, Brenda						
24	Zeitler.						

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 2 of 63 PageID #:8486

SCOTT DENTON Page 5 (Witness sworn.) SCOTT DENTON. M D . a witness, called by the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified upon his oath as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MOGULE Q. Good morning, Dr. Denton. Could you just please state and spell your name for the record? 10 Sure. I'm Dr. Scott Denton, D-e-n-t-o-n. 11 And is this your first deposition? 12 No. 13 How many depositions have you previously ο. 14 done? 15 A. A couple hundred. MS. MOGUL: So forgive me, but I'll just go 16 17 over a few ground rules. 18 THE WITNESS: Sure.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

figure out what that means, the court reporter. Okay?

both being transcribed by the court reporter and the

reporter though, let's make sure all our answers are

video recorder. And so for the sake of the court

verbal and no nods of the head because she can't

MS. MOGUL: As you can see, everything is

19

21

22

MS. MOGUL: If there's a question I ask that 2 you don't understand, please let me know. Otherwise, 3 if you answer the question, I am going to assume you understood it and you're answering it correctly. Is that fair? THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 MS. MOGUL: Today's deposition is taken pursuant to subpoena and the Federal Rules of Civil 10 Procedure. You agreed to accept service for the 11 subpoena today? 12 THE WITNESS: Sure. 13 Q. Are there any medications or any issues you 14 have that are going to prevent you from understanding any questions and answering them correctly today? 15 A. Not that I'm aware of. 16 What did you do in preparation for your 17 Ο. 18 deposition today? In preparation for my deposition, I read the 19 20 subpoena that was sent to me. I reviewed the autopsy 21 report for Jaquari Dancy that I prepared and then the 22 notes that go with it, the body diagrams, things of 23 24 I read the Chicago Police Department Case

THE WITNESS: Okay.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 7 Supplementary Report. I read General Progress Report from Chicago Police Department. And I read my trial transcript from the first trial or from the e-trial. Q. Are there any other materials you looked at? I looked at the Kodachromes for the autopsy pictures a few days ago. Q. And what is the Koda -- you said "Kodachromes," meaning pictures? A. Right, the 35 millimeter film, photographs from the autopsy. 11 O. And those are Kodachrome pictures that you have in your possession? 13 14 Q. Do you have any other materials in your possession related to this case? 1.5 16 I don't think so, no. 17 Did you read any other testimony in this 18 case? 19 A No. 20 O. Did you look at any materials on the 21 Internet with respect to this case? A. I did. 22 23 What materials did you look at? There was -- I basically -- I Googled Nicole 24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

Page 6

Page 8 Harris, and there were some hits that came up about 1 2 her when she got out of jail. I don't think there was much more than that. I think there was something from Northwestern Q. When did you look at those materials? Within the last week or two. And so these were newspaper articles or 9 Right. Things on the Internet, I believe, 10 ves. Q. Okay. Anything else you may have looked at? 11 12 Not recently, no. I think, after this case 13 was brought up in another trial, I went and looked at 14 that because I didn't know what happened in the 1.5 meantime, since I left Cook County. 16 And when -- when was that other case? 17 That was recently. That was here in McLean 18 County. It was a strangulation homicide case. And 19 the defense attorney brought up this case on the stand, and I frankly didn't remember it until he 20 21 started telling me about it. 22 Q. Okay. Do you know what month or year that 23 case was? 24 A. I would be guessing. Probably several

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 3 of 63 PageID #:8487

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

4

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

Page 9

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

months ago, I would say.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ You and I had occasion to speak on the phone, November 16 of 2015, right?

A. I don't remember the date; but I remember you called, and I answered the phone, and we talked, yes.

Q. And then you and I had an opportunity to speak in person on December 2nd, 2015, correct?

A. Right. Again, I don't remember the date. I remember you came here and we talked, yes.

Q. And I was present with Chris Clutter, a paralegal in my office?

Q. Have you, before your deposition, had an opportunity to speak with any of the defense attorneys in this case?

A. Yes.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ Okay. When was the last time you spoke with the defense attorneys?

A. Last time? I would say probably two days ago, I believe.

 ${f Q}$. Okay. And who did you speak with?

A. Avi.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 10

MR. KAMIONSKI: Kamionski.

A. Mr. Kamionski.

 \boldsymbol{Q}_{\star} Okay. And was this in person or over the phone?

A. It was over the phone.

Q. Okay. And how long was this conversation?

A. Five minutes.

Q. Okay. And what was discussed during this conversation two days ago?

A. If I can remember, it was basically just if the deposition was still going. It was basically just going over the autopsy findings about the ligature mark around the neck, and I don't think there was much else. It was very short. I was driving; so it was -- I was driving between Peoria and here.

 ${\bf Q}_{\star}$ Okay. What exactly did you say about the ligature marks over the neck?

18 A. I think we discussed the nature of it. It
19 was mostly horizontal. There was a gap in the
20 ligature mark. So what would that would be consistent
21 with?

I think we talked about the petechiae above the ligature mark on the skin.

I think we talked about the findings of the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 11

autopsy itself, you know, aren't consistent with what we think of as a typical hanging, hanging-type death, where there's suspension.

If there's something else, I don't remember.

 ${\bf Q}.$ Okay. When you say the autopsy findings aren't typical of a hanging-type death, what do you mean by that?

A. Right. When you -- usually when someone dies of a hanging death, there's suspension. The rope is usually suspended above the head or ligature is above the head, and the person puts the weight of their neck on the ligature. So it's usually a slanting ligature mark. It's usually an inverted V-shape either on the front or side of the neck or on the back of the neck.

I'm indicating with my fingers. Usually it's an upside down V-shape. And this -- Jaquari Dancy had none of those findings; so that would be not consistent with a hanging-type death.

 ${f Q}$. And that would be a hanging-type death where it was directly vertical, the string being above the person's head?

A. Yes.

Q. And again, when I say "vertical," let me say

Page 12

would that be typical of a hanging in that the string is -- how would I say? -- if the head is horizontal, the string would be vertical?

A. When I say "vertical," it's like the ligature is vertical and the body is vertical; so everything is straight. If you're --

Q. I see.

A. Perpendicular would be different.

Q. Okay.

A. If the ligature is vertical and the body is perpendicular, that would be different.

Q. Okay. And with respect to the ligature marks that you discussed with Mr. Kamionski, you were aware of those ligature marks back in 2005?

A. Yes.

 ${\bf Q.}$ And you were -- you took note of the angle of those ligature marks back in 2005?

A. Right. I don't think I did the angle exactly. What I measured was the ligature mark around the neck, so on the front of the neck from the top of the head, the sides, the back.

So that's -- it showed me, you know, the distance from the top of the head circumferentially around the head, around the head.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 4 of 63 PageID #:8488

SCOTT DENTON

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

6

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. You also discussed the petechiae above the

A. Yes.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

21

13

1.5

16

17 18

19

20

23

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{What exactly did you discuss with him about}$ the petechiae above the ligature marks?

A. That they were there, that it indicates, you know, pressure on the neck from the ligature. So the same mechanism that causes petechiae is arterial pressure going up and then venous pressure not being able to come out of the head. So it causes those capillaries to burst.

So again, it indicates that, at first, he was alive, when he sustained this ligature mark on his neck because he had arterial pressure. And then it's not a sudden constriction because a sudden tight constriction will get both, I believe, the artery and the vein.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \qquad \mbox{Okay.} \quad \mbox{Is there anything else you discussed}$ with Mr. Kamionski in this conversation?

A. Not that I can remember.

Q. Did he ask you what your opinion was with respect to the cause and manner of death in this case?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{I believe the cause of death, I said, still} \\ \text{is strangulation.} \quad \text{I mean, that's from the autopsy}$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON
Page 14

itself. And then the manner of death depends on the circumstances. So I said whatever the circumstances are is the manner of death.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ Was there anyone else present for that phone conversation?

A. No. I was alone in my car.

Q. Okay. Prior to this conversation with Mr. Kamionski two days ago, did you have any other conversations?

A. Yes

 ${f Q}$. Tell me about the other conversations you had with Mr. Kamionski.

A. Sure. Again, he called me. I don't remember dates or times. I believe it was twice else he called me, just as you had; and I think we talked about basically the same things: the ligature mark, petechiae, manner of death, cause of death, things of that nature.

Q. Well, okay, I understand. So you just told me about the conversation you had two days ago. Tell me about the conversation you had before that with Mr. Kamionski.

 ${\bf A}. \quad \mbox{They were a little bit longer. Basically it} \\ \mbox{was the same thing, talking about the marks on the} \\$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 15

neck. I think we went into more detail in the past about the manner of death or how you would determine the manner of death, and that again is the circumstances.

I don't remember much else.

Q. Okay. But when you discussed the -- in the previous conversation to this one two days ago, you discussed the manner of death with him?

A. Yes.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{What exactly did you say, or what exactly} \\ \mbox{did he say to you?} \\$

A. I mean, I said, "Currently it's a homicide.

The manner of death is homicide. That hasn't been changed, as far as I know, from anyone in Cook County:

And then the manner of death, you know, it depended -- the manner of death does depend on the statements made by Nicole Harris of how this occurred.

So that confession or the confession I was given, that is important. Just as I testified initially, those statements are important.

And the only other thing that I can think of we talked about, he asked me about emails, if I received any emails on this case. I think I received an email and a phone call from a Northwestern student

SCOTT DENTON

Page 16

that I mentioned. That was about it.

 ${\bf Q}_{}.$ Okay. Did he mention any statements made by Diante Dancy, Jaquari Dancy's brother, in this conversation?

A. Not that I can recall, no.

Q. What exactly -- did he make any comments regarding Nicole Harris's confession in this case?

A. I'm trying to remember. I think the only comment was that the confession was never thrown out. The confession stood. So the case -- I think there was even comment about the case isn't really about the confession. But I don't even know what that means. I'm not -- I wasn't involved in that aspect of the case.

Q. Did you ask him what he meant by that?

A. No

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot\cdot}$ How long was this conversation you had with him?

 $\label{eq:A. Trying to recall.} \mbox{ It wasn't very long.}$ Maybe 20 minutes, half hour.

Q. Was it over the phone or in person?

A. It was over the phone.

Q. Was it this month, in January?

A. It was -- I would say probably it was a week

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 5 of 63 PageID #:8489

2

3

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

24

1

2

4

10

1.1

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

SCOTT DENTON

Page 17

SCOTT DENTON

after we talked, after we met.

- Q. Was there anyone else on the phone?
- A. Not on my end, no.
- Q. Can you tell me anything else that Mr. Kamionski said or that you said during this conversation?
 - A. I can't remember.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- $\label{eq:Q. Q. You said there was another conversation with $$Mr. Kamionski?$$
 - A. I believe there were two, yes.
- ${f Q}$. Two total or two before this last one you had two days ago?
- A. Total would be three. So there was the one two days ago in the car that was very brief, and then there were two phone conversations after we met and talked.
- ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$ Okay. So you told me about one conversation you had after December 2nd, 2015, that you had with Mr. Kamionski.

Tell me about the other conversations.

- A. I think I'm blurring them. They both were very similar; so I can't -- I don't know if I can separate the two out.
 - ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$ Okay. Well, is there anything else you can

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 18

tell me that was discussed in either of these conversations between you and Mr. Kamionski?

- A. Not that I can remember, no.
- Q. You just told me about how long the one conversation was. How long was the other conversation?

conversacion.

- A. It was much shorter, maybe ten minutes.
- $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{Was there anyone else on the phone during} \\ \mbox{either of those conversations?}$
- A. Not on my side, no. I was alone in my
 11 office.
 - Q. Did Mr. Kamionski -- was there anyone else on Mr. Kamionski -- on the other end of the phone?
- 14 A. It didn't seem like it.
 - ${\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{Any other conversations you had with any}$ other attorneys from the defendant officers?
 - A. No.
 - ${f Q}$. Have you ever spoken with any attorneys from the City?
- 20 **A.** N
- 21 Q. Have you spoken with any other attorneys
 22 regarding this case?
- A. No, except for you two.
 - \mathbf{Q}_{\star} Okay. You mentioned a phone call you had

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 19

with someone from Medill Journalism School?

- A. I don't know if it was Medill. She told -she called my house. I was in the kitchen. I picked up the phone, and there was a conversation that was about this case.
 - Q. Okay. When was this phone call?
 - A. It was years ago.
- $\label{eq:Q.Do} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Do you know the name of the person you spoke}$ with?
- $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{No. It was a female, a young female, from} \\$ what I could tell; but I don't remember her name.
- Q. Okay. So you don't know as you sit here today whether she worked for Medill or was part of the Medill Journalism School or not?
- A. She said she was from Northwestern at the Innocence Project and she was a journalism student.
 - Q. Do you know what year this was?
- A. I mean, I would have to be guessing. I would say within the last -- I would say probably two or three years ago, maybe four years ago.
 - ${\bf Q.}$ Okay. How long was this conversation?
- $\begin{tabular}{lll} {\bf A.} & {\tt It was very short.} & {\tt She introduced herself.} \\ {\tt She made some statements, and then I basically said I wasn't going to talk to her.} \\ \end{tabular}$

SCOTT DENTON

Page 20

Q. Okay. You say it's very short. Can you -is it -- was it a matter of minutes?

- - Q. Okay. And what statements did she make?

She said she was writing a story on me about

how I changed my opinion on a case, and the information she had was very unfavorable and that I should tell my side of the story so she could, you know, set the record straight or tell my side so it was more balanced.

And I said -- I basically said, "No thank you," and "Good luck on your journalism career."

- $\label{eq:Q.Do} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Do you know if this person published}$ anything?
- A. I don't.
- $\label{eq:Q.When you did your Internet search, you didn't see anything?}$
- ${\bf A.} \quad \mbox{No. There was a Northwestern story, but it} \\$ $\mbox{didn't have my name in it; so that was good.}$
- I mean, if I can add, I think she said she
 emailed me beforehand and I didn't respond to her
 email; so I -- and I don't have that email anymore. I
 deleted it.

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 6 of 63 PageID #:8490

SCOTT DENTON

Page 21

_

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

24

1

2

4

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. What email -- Okay. Did you ever speak with any attorneys representing Nicole Harris during her criminal proceedings?

A. I don't specifically remember talking to anyone. I mean, I would have talked to the State's Attorneys before I testified.

Q. Okay. And why was it that you would have talked to the State's Attorneys before you testified?

A. It was extremely common. I mean, that was the protocol. We would either talk to them before the trial at the Medical Examiner's Office, or we'd talk to them an hour before we testified.

Q. Did you do that in this case?

A. I don't remember if I did, but I'm sure I

Q. Okay.

11

12 13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

did.

A. We would have to do that at least to tell them what pictures to use or what pictures would best represent the autopsy; so I'm sure I did.

A. No. I read my transcript. I believe it was State's Attorney Somerville; so I'm sure I either talked to him or his partner, whoever his partner was.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON
Page 22

Q. Okay. But as you sit here today, you can't tell me anything they said to you or you said to them prior to trial?

A. No. It was ten year ago. I don't remember.

Q. Would anything refresh your memory?

A. I don't think so, not on that. I mean, if there's written notes, that might help, but other than that, no.

Q. Okay. And you don't -- you don't remember speaking with Kenneth Wright or anyone from the Wright Hollis Law Firm prior to Nicole Harris's trial?

A. I mean, I read that he was the defense attorney; but if I spoke to him, I don't remember it.

Q. After Ms. Harris's trial, did you speak with any counsel from Northwestern?

A. No.

Q. Did you speak with any counsel from Jenner & Block Law Firm in Chicago?

A. Not that I recall, no.

MS. MOGUL: Okay. I'm going to show you what I'm going to mark as Harris Deposition Exhibit A, and I'm doing that because I don't know what the exhibits were last night and we have a simultaneous dep today.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 23

Do you have any other suggestion?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Well, all the deps -- I'm sure all the deps today were previously marked, all the sergeant deps. So we finished off last night at 94, I think, was the last one. So you would be on 95. I'm comfortable with that.

MS. MOGUL: Okay. You're comfortable with that, okay. All right.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KAMIONSKI: You can blame it on me if it gets messed up.

MS. MOGUL: Okay. I'll do -- well, how about we do this. Why don't we do 952? And we're going to just include Z on this. And if it doesn't -- we don't need the Z and there's others, then we'll figure it out. Okay?

(Harris Deposition Exhibit No. 95Z

 ${\tt marked \ for \ identification.)}$

Q. I'm showing you an exhibit that we've now marked as Harris Deposition Exhibit 952. Can you take a look at it?

 $\label{eq:A.Dokasta} \boldsymbol{A}. \quad \text{Okay. Looks like a long email.} \quad \text{Do you want}$ me to read it?

Q. I do.

A. Okay. Is there more to it, or is this it?

Page 24

SCOTT DENTON

Q. This is it.

 $\textbf{A.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{Okay.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{So I did not respond to this email or} \\ \textbf{anything?} \\$

 ${\bf Q}$. Well, why don't you -- can you just read it first, and then I'll ask you some questions. I just want to make sure you have an opportunity to review it.

 $\textbf{A.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{Okay.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{If there's more to this email, I'd} \\ \textbf{like to read it at the same time.}$

Q. No. My unders-- I will represent that, my understanding, this is the full email that was sent.

A. Okay.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. Now that you've had a chance to review this, did you ever get this email?

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}.$ I honestly don't recall ever reading any of this.

Q. Okay. Was your email address ever sdentonmd@gmail.com?

A. It is, yes.

Q. But you don't recall ever seeing this?

A. Honestly, I don't recall ever seeing this.

Q. Did you ever speak with an Anwar Shatat?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 7 of 63 PageID #:8491

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

Page 26

Page 25 A. I don't recall ever speaking with Mr Shatat, no O. Did you ever speak with a Robert Stauffer? I don't recall, no. Q. Did you ever speak with Steve Drusen (phonetic)? A. The name sounds familiar. Maybe it's on another case, but I don't recall speaking to him about 10 Q. Okay. And did you ever speak with an Alison 11 Flaum? 12 A I don't believe so, no. 13 O. So as you sit here today, you have no 14 recollection of ever reading or receiving this email? 15 16 Q. And, therefore, you didn't ever respond to this email? 17 18 A. Apparently, I didn't. So I don't know if it went to Spam, or I don't know where it went. Or I 19 20 just skipped over it. It was -- 2011 was a pretty 21 crazy year. 22 Q. Why was 2011 such a crazy year? A. Before that, my partner had died of pancreatic cancer; so I was doing a lot of autopsies.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

autopsies out of those two morque facilities.

2

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

practice?

1	From 2011 until I got another partner in July of 2011,						
2	it was extremely busy.						
3	${\bf Q}.$ I see. Okay. Well, I'm sorry for the loss						
4	of your partner.						
5	A. Thank you.						
6	${f Q}$. Did Mr. Kamionski ever send you any						
7	materials with respect to this case?						
8	A. No.						
9	Q. Where do you currently work?						
10	${f A.}$ I work here at the McLean County Coroner's						
11	Office and also at the Peoria County Coroner's Office.						
12	Q. Are you part time at each location?						
13	A. It's probably full time at each location.						
14	Between my partner and I, we're both probably working						
15	more than we should.						
16	Q. Who is your current partner in this work?						
17	A. Dr. Youmans, Y-o-u-m-a-n-s.						
18	Q. Okay. And are you a contractual employee						
19	for both coroner's offices?						
20	A. I mean, there's no written contract. It's						
21	basically, you know, coroner system Illinois is						
22	coroners who are elected, and then they have basically						
23	forensic pathologists who are private practice who						
24	they call to do autopsies. And we currently do						

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 27

Q. I see. So you are currently in private A. I would describe it as that, yes. Q. And where is your office located? A. They give me rooms here and in Peoria, and my main office is at my house. What's the name of your -- do you have a name for your practice? A. No. Q. Okay. And then other than being requested

Illinois? A. I mean, those are -- those are, they call them hubs or hub morque facilities. So there's about -- it varies between, you know, two dozen and 30 counties that use these two facilities. It's central Illinois. O. How many autopsies have you conducted as of

to do autopsies for McLean County or Peoria County, do

you do any other autopsies in any other counties in

A. That's a good question. I don't keep an exact count. The best estimate is probably somewhere SCOTT DENTON

	Page 2									
	between 8,500 and 9,000.									
	${f Q}$. And prior to prior to May of 2005, how									
	many autopsies had you conducted?									
	A. In Cook County, it was about I did about									
	300 full autopsies a year and then another 150									
	examinations. So from '96 to 2005 would be about nine									
	years. So probably about 2,500, 2,700 autopsies,									
	around there, plus the external examinations.									
	Q. Meaning outside of Cook County?									
	A. No. They were still in Cook County, but									
١	they were we just looked at the body. We didn't									

open the body up. An autopsy is where you actually remove the organs. O. I see. A. And then they call it an external

Q. And how many autopsies had you done of children prior to May of 2005?

examination if you don't remove the organs.

A. I never added them up. It was quite a few. We had numerous infant deaths and numerous child deaths. If it was maybe a couple a month, that would be kind of an average.

Q. And those were autopsies that you worked on?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 8 of 63 PageID #:8492

Page 29 Q. And how many of those cases involved a death that involved strangulation or asphyxiation? A. That's difficult. Asphyxiation is a very broad term. We have many overlaying deaths or asphyxia by infants. I had a young man who asphyxiated by hanging by a lanyard. Honestly, it's quite a while ago. I don't remember. Q. Okay. You say -- what's a lanyard? Can you explain that to me? A. The thing around the neck that holds identification badges. O That was an adult or a child? A. That was a child. I think I published it, too. Q. You published --A. Or I presented it at least at a meeting. O. How exactly was the manner of death in that case? That was an accident because he was jumping on a bed and it caught in a ceiling fan. Q. How old was that child? I don't remember. He was young.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

A. Older than 5, I believe. Preteen, probably.

Q. Older or younger than 5 years old?

Do you know what year that was? 2 I'd have to look O. Was this in Cook County? It was. Beyond this one asphyxiation case you've described, can you describe any other asphyxiation cases of children that you worked on prior to May of Not -- it's hard for me to remember that far 10 back. I'd have to look at my log books. Q. Did you deal with any -- well, would you 11 consider hanging cases to be included within the 12 13 rubric of asphyxiation cases? 15 Q. Would you say that this child whose lanyard got caught in the ceiling fan -- was that a hanging 16 case? 17 18 A. That was. Q. So let me just ask it a different way then. 19 2.0 Can you tell us about any other hanging cases 21 involving children that you worked on prior to May of 22 23 A. Not that I can remember. 24 Q. Since 2005, have you dealt with any cases

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 31

involving children who were asphyxiated?

A. Again, there's numerous infant deaths of overlaying, things like that. I'd have to -- I'd have to look at my records again.

Q. Do you keep records of all autopsies you conduct?

Q. What kind of records do you keep with respect to all autopsies?

A. Basically the cause of death, and I keep a log of the cases I do.

Q. And so in the log, what information would you note?

A. The name of the deceased, the case number, the date of death, what the cause of death at the time of the autopsy I believe to be, and then when I submitted the report to the coroner.

Q. Would you note any other information with respect to that autopsy, that investigation?

Where do you keep this log?

A. On a Word file.

And did you keep this log while you worked at Cook County?

Page 32 No. No. we had Charles Dickinson log books.

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

Page 30

Handwritten log books? ο.

2

9

10

11

13

14

1.5

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Is there such a log book at -- was there such a log book at Cook County in May of 2005?

Would it keep any additional information

beyond what you just described for me?

No. I pretty much did the same thing there

O. Can you tell me, just generally, what is the

role of a forensic pathologist? A. The role is determined -- that's an

interesting question. It depends on what system you are in. If you are in a medical examiner system, the role of a forensic pathologist is to determine the cause of death and then the manner of death, so the cause of death based on the autopsy and the manner of death based on investigation from investigation

And then the forensic pathologist's role in a coroner system is to determine the cause of death and not the manner of death.

Q. Okay. So if you work for a medical

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 9 of 63 PageID #:8493

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

9

10

11 12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

Page 33

SCOTT DENTON

examiner's office, your role is to both determine the cause of death and then the manner of death?

A. Yes.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

 ${\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{ And the cause of death is determined by the} \\ \\ \mbox{medical and pathological investigation that you do?}$

A. Right. Well, the cause of death is basically the body. You know, what does the body say happened to them. That's the cause of death, either through the autopsy or the toxicology findings or radiology findings or microscopic findings. That's -- usually the cause of death is determined that way.

And then the manner of death is the circumstances from the investigation.

Q. And what type of investigation?

 ${\bf A.} \quad \mbox{ The context of the death from all and any} \\[1mm] \mbox{sources that have information.}$

Q. Okay. So the context of the death would be who witnessed the death? That would be one source, right?

A. Yes.

Q. What information people had about the circumstances of the death?

A. Yes.

Q. And this would be information that you

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

generally in the medical examiner system would learn from the police?

Page 34

SCOTT DENTON

Page 36

A. When I was there, the police were the prime investigators, usually, for us. That's why -- that's why his death certificate, after I changed it from accidental hanging, says "pending police investigation," because that's -- that was office policy. We pended cases for pending police investigation.

Q. So you're referring to the fact that you changed the death certificate in this case with respect to Jaquari Dancy?

A. Right. After I did the autopsy with no other information except the autopsy and the medical examiner's investigation report, I did the autopsy and just signed it out as, I think, an accidental hanging.

Q. Okay. So you're saying -- so you're saying, when you worked with the Cook County Medical Examiner's Office, your role was to both determine cause and manner of death?

A. Right. I believe that's by Cook County Statute, the Medical Examiner's Ordinance.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{ And then you're saying that's different from} \\ \mbox{being a forensic pathologist?}$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 35

A. In the coroner system. Right. Because forensic pathology is -- or forensic pathology or forensic pathologist is a specialty or subspecialty of medicine; so that is just a physician who specializes in death investigation and autopsies. And then, depending on where you work, it changes your job description, whether you work for a coroner system or you work for a medical examiner system.

Q. Well, in McLean County, is it a coroner or medical examiner's system?

 $\boldsymbol{\textbf{A}}. \hspace{0.5cm} \text{Every other county except Cook is a coroner}$ ystem.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}$. Okay. So when you are doing autopsies for the McLean County --

A. Coroner.

 ${\bf Q.} \quad \hbox{${\rm --}$ Coroner, are you just determining cause}$ of death and not manner of death?

A. Correct.

Q. And when you are doing autopsies for the Peoria Coroner's Office, are you just determining cause of death, not manner of death?

A. Correct.

Q. And so you're just -- in these cases, you're just looking at what the body tells you and what other tests are done on the body to determine the cause of

death?

A. Essentially, yes. And then I want to make sure that my autopsy findings aren't completely

contradictory from the circumstances; so I usually ask the coroner to see their investigation report. But they determine the manner of death.

A. The coroner and their deputy coroners.

 \boldsymbol{Q}_{\star} . And what investigation do they do in those cases?

A. They go to the scene of death. So in McLean County and Peoria County, all deaths that are unattended are -- the deputy coroner goes to the scene and writes investigation reports, and then those investigation reports usually are given to me before the autopsy, not always but often. And then I perform the autopsy.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ What happens if your autopsy report is contradicted or different than what the investigator's report indicates?

A. I mean, the simple answer is then one of us

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 10 of 63 PageID #:8494

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

1

2

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

Page 37

SCOTT DENTON

to be collected or my autopsy is wrong.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ Has that ever happened in any of the cases you've worked on?

A. Sure.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- **Q.** And what do you do in those cases? What's your role when you find that the report you got from the autopsy is different from the investigator's findings in the coroner's office?
- A. I alert the coroner. I let the coroner know that I either am not finding what is expected or I am finding things that are unexpected. And then I usually let the coroner deal with that with law enforcement or whoever, or whoever is providing the information. In my experience, those are cases that cause the most trouble.
- Q. Have you ever changed the findings of your autopsy in light of an investigator's report?
- ${\bf A}.~~{\bf I}$ mean, Nicole Harris I did, based on the information that I was asked basically to hold off -- so I did -- for investigation.
- I don't know if I've changed -- I know I haven't in the coroner system because I don't -- usually the investigation is usually pretty much done the day or two after the autopsy; so either it's been

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 38

resolved or it's just going to be undetermined.

I've had conflicts with different agencies

down here regarding my cause of death versus their opinions on the cause of death or how the death occurred. That certainly is not uncommon. That happens in every death investigation system, differences of opinion.

- Q. Right. I understand there's differences of opinion. But you acknowledge that, in Nicole Harris's case, that as a result of the police officer's investigation and information the police provided to you, you changed the cause of death in the case?
- A. Right. I took the information that was provided -- well, first, I was asked to hold off on determining the cause of death; so I did.

I made it pending police investigation that day. And then I believe there was a delay, maybe a month, maybe a little bit longer than a month -- I don't remember how long it was -- for all of that information to be provided.

And then we had a protocol for unpending deaths at the Medical Examiner's Office. It has to go before all the other medical examiners at the 2:00 quality assurance meeting. So everything I had would

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

be presented to other medical examiner's office, and then Dr. Donoghue, the chief, had the final say whether or not -- you know, what the cause of death would be since his name was on the death certificate.

- **Q.** I understand that. Let me just ask: Other than Nicole Harris's case, have you ever changed the cause of death as a result of the police investigation or a coroner's investigation?
- A. Not that I can recall. I might have, but I don't remember, sitting here.
- Q. When you said you were asked to hold off on the cause of death, you were asked by Chicago police detectives to hold off on the cause of death in Nicole Harris's case?
 - A. Yes.
- ${f Q}$. And that was after you originally had concluded that this was an accidental death that was caused by a hanging, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you dispute that the leading cause of death in children in the United States is accidental trauma?
 - A. I'd have to know the age group, I guess.
 - Q. Okay. Well, for children 5 and under, would

SCOTT DENTON
Page 40

you agree that the leading cause of death -- well, strike that. Yeah, let me ask that.

Do you dispute that, for children ages 5 and under, the leading cause of death in the United States is accidental trauma?

- A. That's probably true because if you -especially if you include infants, I would say. Yes.
- Q. Do you dispute that asphyxia is the fourth leading cause of unintentional injury deaths in the United States for children between ages 1 and 4?

 $\label{eq:mr.kamionski:} \mbox{ Objection to the form.}$ Foundation. Calls for speculation.

- A. I don't know what the other three are above that. So I mean, I would consider the source, and I would certainly read it. It sounds okay.
- Q. Okay. So you don't dispute it, but you can't adopt it?
- $\boldsymbol{\textbf{A}}. \quad \text{Right.} \quad \text{I'd like to see the other three}$ ranked in order.
- ${\bf Q.} \quad \hbox{Do you dispute that injury from hanging or} \\[2mm] {\rm strangulation occurs very quickly?}$
- A. It does, yes. I don't dispute that.
- Q. Do you dispute that the amount of force required for a child to asphyxiate is very low?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 11 of 63 PageID #:8495

SCOTT DENTON

Page 41

SCOTT DENTON

A. I think "low" is a fair term. It's certainly a few pounds or several pounds of pressure on the neck, maximum.Q. Okay. When you say "several pounds," what

Q. Okay. When you say "several pounds," what do you mean by that?

A. It depends. Usually the weight of someone's

head, 15 pounds, is enough to asphyxiate. You can block the jugular veins at 6 or 7 pounds. So it

depends on what structures you're blocking.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$. For children, would you say it could be less than 7 pounds?

A. It could, sure.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

 $\boldsymbol{Q}.$ You don't dispute that children have smaller structures that collapse easily when forces are applied?

A. I don't dispute that.

Q. And you don't dispute that the amount of force required to occlude blood vessels in children is significantly less than in adults?

A. I would agree with that.

Q. And you don't dispute that obstruction of the jugular vein is -- contributes to asphyxia, unconsciousness, and death in cases?

A. It contributes and also can cause death.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 42 And that's the same with children as well,

right?

A. Yes.

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1

2

4

9

10

1 1

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And you don't dispute that young children 5 and under can have significant difficulties freeing themselves from strangulation-type cases?

A. I do not dispute that.

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$. And you don't disputes that children 5 and under also lack comprehension of dangerous situations?

10 A. I don't dispute that either.

11 Q. In this case, you determined that the
12 instrument of death was an elastic band from a fitted
13 bed sheet, right?

A. That's most consistent with what I believe happened, yes.

Q. And so that would be the ligature, --

A. Yes.

Q. -- the elastic band?

A. Yes

Q. Do you dispute that injury and death can occur from a hanging or strangulation even where a ligature is free at one or both ends?

23 A. Can you repeat that?

Q. Do you dispute that injury and death can

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 43

occur from a hanging or strangulation even where the ligature is free at one or both ends?

A. I'm having a hard time imagining both ends being free and causing ligature strangulation unless the cord is wrapped multiple times around the neck and cannot free itself.

And I would say the same would apply to one end. But usually both ends are secure unless something is wrapped tightly around and it can't free itself.

 ${\bf Q}$. So if the ligature -- the string is wrapped around one's neck and it overlaps, that could cause it to be -- one end to be unable to be freed, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you dispute that hanging and strangulation events in children can occur with low levels of force that cause airway and venous obstruction?

A. Low level of force can cause venous obstruction. I think it's harder to occlude the trachea or the larynx with low level of force. That would require more, I would say.

Q. Okay. So when you're saying "low level," what does that mean to you? SCOTT DENTON

Page 44

A. It would compress probably the jugular veins and the carotid arteries. Probably more force would be required to compress the larynx or the trachea.

Q. Okay. But if the jugular vein was occluded, that could then lead to unconsciousness, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And then that unconsciousness could then eventually lead to death, right?

A. Yes.

Q. You don't dispute -- or strike that.

Do you dispute that accidental asphyxia is a common cause of childhood mortality?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form.

14 Foundation.

A. That word "common" -- I mean, it occurs, unfortunately. I don't know if it's common, but it occurs. That's kind of a relative term.

 ${\bf Q}_{\star}$. Do you dispute that accidental asphyxiation events are more common than homicidal asphyxiation events by a ratio of almost 10:1?

 $\label{eq:mr.kamionski:} \mbox{ Objection to the form.}$ Foundation. Calls for speculation.

A. Could you repeat that?

Q. Do you dispute that accidental asphyxiation

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 12 of 63 PageID #:8496

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON Page 48

Page 45 events are more common than homicidal asphyxiation events by a ratio of almost 10:1? A. I don't know about the ratio, but accidental asphyxia is more common than homicidal asphyxia, yes. Do you dispute that events of hanging can occur with partial suspension and are just as lethal as hanging events involving full suspension? A. Sure. Yes. You dispute that? A. No, I do not dispute. I agree with it. I'm sorrv. 11 12

And do you dispute that such hanging events can occur from low points of suspension?

A. Yes, they can. Q. Do you dispute that an accidental wrapping of a free cord around a neck, especially a cord with elastic properties, can be very difficult for a victim to remove?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2

11

13 14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. You dispute that?

A. No. I'm sorry. I don't dispute that. Especially in a small child with low motor skills,

that would be difficult for them to free, if it was wrapped multiple times.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 46

Is -- let me get back to your -- so both as a forensic pathologist and as a pathologist in a medical examiner's office -- let me strike that.

I mean, would you say you're a forensic pathologist in both a medical examiner's office and a coroner's office?

A. Yes.

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So your job is -- or your title is you are a forensic pathologist, right?

10 A. Right. By medical school and residency and fellowship training, I am a forensic pathologist.

Q. Okay. And whether you're working in a coroner system or a medical examiner system, your role is to determine cause of death, right?

Yes.

And how many options are there for determining a cause of death?

A. Cause of death?

Thousands.

Q. Okay. I see.

Like how someone dies? I quess I don't

Well, you made a distinction between

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

determining a cause of death versus a manner of death.

A. Right. Cause of death is different than the manner or the category of death.

Q. Okay. And so can you help explain that to me? Because I'm a little confused.

Sure. Cause of death is -- the wav I explain it: The cause of death is the anatomic finding that I can actually show someone that caused someone's death, such as a blocked coronary artery or head trauma or bleeding around the brain or fractures or a toxicology report that shows a lethal amount of heroin. That's something I can demonstrate physically this is the cause of death of this person.

O. Okav.

A. The manner of death is the category, which is usually for public health purposes, what category that death best fits into. And there's natural versus unnatural. The unnatural ones are homicide, suicide, accident, and undetermined.

O. Okay. Now I understand. So in terms of manner of death, there are five categories that would be a conclusion?

A. In Illinois, yes.

Natural, homicide, suicide, accidental, and

undetermined?

And you're saying the cause of death is the physical or medical findings as to how one's death resulted?

A. Yes.

Q. It's your -- okay. And it's your testimony that when you are serving as a forensic pathologist in a medical examiner's office, in addition to considering the medical and physical findings, you're also supposed to look at what investigation is done to determine the manner of death?

O. And that's what then leads you to determine whether it's natural, homicide, suicide, accident, or undetermined?

Q. And when working at the Cook County Medical Examiner's Office, when you were making determinations as to the manner of death, you primarily relied on information that was provided to you by the Chicago Police Department when working on cases in the city of Chicago?

A. Yes.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 13 of 63 PageID #:8497

SCOTT DENTON

Page 49 Q. So in this case -- and you testified that von made an original cause and manner of death conclusion in this case, right? A. Q. And that was accidental -- hold on a second. MS. MOGUL: Well, actually, let me do this. Let me withdraw the question. I'm going to mark this as Exhibit No. 96Z. (Harris Deposition Exhibit No. 96Z marked for identification.) MS. MOGUL: So this is an exhibit that's 11 12 Plaintiff Nicole Harris ME subpoena 00001, and it goes 13 all the way through 66. Do you recognize this -- the documents in 15 this exhibit? A. Yes. 16 17 O. Okav. These are the -- this exhibit 18 contains all the materials that we received pursuant to subpoena from the Medical Examiner's Office in Cook 19 20 County with respect to the Jaquari Dancy 21 investigation. 22 A. Okav. Q. So I'm going to just ask you to turn your attention to page 19 of this exhibit.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 50 2 This is the first death certificate you 3 completed with respect to Jaquari Dancy's death investigation? 5 A. Right. Right after I finished the autopsy, 6 Q. Okay. And at that time, you noted the cause of death was hanging? Α. 10 Q. And that it was an accident? 11 12 O. And as to how the injury occurred, you said, 13 "hanged on elastic cord," correct? 14 15 Q. And then you indicated previously that the police asked you to hold off on making your cause and 16 manner of death determinations, and they asked you to 17 18 wait for the police investigation, correct? A. If I remember -- yes. If I remember 19 20 correctly, that day, yes, they came to the autopsy 21 room and said, "Can you hold off?" 22 Q. And they -- okay. So at that time, is that when you then put an "X" through exhibit page 19 of 96Z, and you put "Void" on this?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 51

O. Okay. And then I'm going to ask you to turn the page to exhibit 20 -- or page 20 of Exhibit 96Z. This is another death certificate you

completed in this case, right?

Yes.

A Yes.

Q. And if you see, with respect to 18A, it states "pending police investigation," right?

Q. When did you complete this document? Or let me ask this. When did you put "pending police

investigation"?

11

13

14

1.5 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

A. Looking at the dates, it looks like I did the autopsy on May 15, 2005. And then this -- looking at the date signed, it looks like May 16, 2005. I would have done this the next day.

Q. So the next day you then completed this second death certificate?

A Yes.

O. And then it looks like, later, on June 14 -or strike that.

It seems that you then amended this second death certificate, correct?

A. Right. Or finalized it.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 52 Okay. You finalized it. And then that's

And that this was a homicide?

1 2

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And you said at that time how the injury occurred was ligature strangulation?

when you wrote down that this was a strangulation?

And you signed that on what day?

A. Looks like June 14, 2005.

And then I'm going to ask you to turn to page 18 of 96Z.

14 Q. This appears to be the typed-up death certificate of what you handwrote on page 20; is that 1.5 16 fair?

Q. So this is the third and final death certificate in this case?

A. Right. This would be the one that the Medical Records Department would prepare.

Q. Okay. But it indicates that you were the physician?

A. Yes.

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 14 of 63 PageID #:8498

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

24

1

6

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

withdraw that.

SCOTT DENTON

Q. Prior to doing this final death certificate in this case, you were never told by the members of the police department that the elastic band from the fitted bed sheet reached all the way from the top bunk to the bottom bunk; is that fair to say?

A. I don't recall being told that.

Q. Okay. Prior to doing this final death certificate in Jaquari Dancy's case, you were never told by any members of the Chicago Police Department that Jaquari's father had seen him playing before with that elastic string hanging from the bed?

A I don't recall hearing that.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Q. Prior to doing that final death certificate, you were never told by any members of the Chicago Police Department that Jaquari's father had seen Jaquari tie that elastic band from the sheet around his neck before his death while he was in the bottom bunk bed?

A. No, I don't recall hearing that.

Q. Prior to doing your final death certificate in this case, the Chicago Police Department or members of the Police Department never told you that Jaquari's father had previously seen his boys, Jaquari and his brother Diante, playing and that they let a little

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

girl wrap a rope around their necks?

A. No. That doesn't sound familiar either.

Q. The fact that Jaquari had previously wrapped this elastic band around his head, that was significant information with respect to the investigation into the manner of death in this case; is that fair to say? Strike that. Sorry. Let me

The fact that Jaquari Dancy's father had seen Jaquari wrap that elastic band from that sheet around his neck prior to his death, that is significant information with respect to the manner of death in this case?

 $\label{eq:lambda} \boldsymbol{A}. \quad \text{Sure.} \quad \text{I'd want more information on that.}$ Yes.

Q. Okay. Well, okay. You would say that -you certainly would want to know that information in terms of your determination as to the manner of death, correct?

20 **a.** Sure. I wouldn't ignore it. I think that
21 would be important for all the circumstances.

Q. Okay. So it was definitely one circumstance that was important to consider in the manner of death in this case?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 55

 $\label{eq:A. I would say it's -- anything to do with his neck would be important.}$

 $\label{eq:Q. What other information would you want to $$\operatorname{know}$?}$

A. What happened? When did he -- you know, where, when, why, who. Why is he wrapping things around his neck all the time? That's a question.

Q. But in this case, the father, in fact, testified that he had seen Jaquari wrap that elastic band around his neck while they were living in that apartment and Jaquari was on the bottom bunk; so it's within the time period prior to his death.

A. Okay. I'd want to know more about that, certainly.

Q. And if you had known that information prior to making your final determination as to the manner of death, you would have taken that into consideration?

A. Sure. I would have brought that to the 2:00 meeting. That's more information that the other doctors should know too.

 $\label{eq:Q.Def} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{But that was something you would want to} \\ \text{know in making your own opinion?}$

A. Sure.

Q. Prior to your final determination as to the

SCOTT DENTON

Page 56

SCOTT DENTON
Page 54

cause and manner of death in this case, members of the Chicago Police Department did not tell you that Diante Dancy, Jaquari's brother, was a witness to his death,

A. I don't recall the witness. I believe he was in the room, but I don't recall what he said or what statements were given or anything like that.

 $\boldsymbol{Q}.$ Okay. When were you told that the brother was in the room?

A. I believe the detectives told me that. The two of them -- the two of them were being punished in their bedroom; so I believe I knew that, but I don't remember what else was said or what statements were made.

Q. Okay. And these detectives -- these were the detectives that came to the autopsy that day?

A. I mean, I would have to assume so. I don't like to assume, but I don't remember talking to any other detectives except that day.

Q. Okay. Okay. Were you ever -- Okay. Prior to making your final determination as to the cause and manner of death in this case, no members of the Chicago Police Department told you that Diante Dancy was in the room when Jaquari died -- in the bedroom?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 15 of 63 PageID #:8499

2

3

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

Page 57

SCOTT DENTON

A. I don't think I was told one way or another.
I knew they were in the bedroom together for being punished, but that's all I think I can recall.

Q. Okay. Prior to coming up with your final determination of cause and manner of death in this case, no members of the Chicago Police Department told you that Diante Dancy, Jaquari's brother, was interviewed on May 15, 2005, the same day that you conducted the autopsy in this case?

A. I don't think I knew that, no.

Q. No members of the Chicago Police Department told you that Detective Wo was present for that interview of Diante Dancy on May 15, 2005?

A. Yeah, I don't know that.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

11

13

14

15 16

17

19

20

22

23

24

Q. Okay. No members of the Chicago Police
Department told you that during this interview with
Diante Dancy on May 15, 2005, he said that he knew
about his brother's death?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ KAMIONSKI: Objection. That assumes facts not in evidence. Foundation and form. You can answer.

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \quad \mbox{Again, I don't remember any conversations}$ about any interview with his brother.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\star} Okay. No members of the Chicago Police

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 58

Department told you that Diante Dancy said he knew Jaquari was in the hospital?

A. Again, any -- I don't remember any conversations about what a brother would say or the brother was interviewed. I don't recall that.

Q. Okay. No members of the Chicago Police

Department told you that Diante Dancy said that he saw

Jaquari playing, and he wrapped the elastic string

around his neck from the blue sheet when they were

playing a Spider Man game and that Diante also said he

could not help Jaquari get out of that sheet?

 $\label{eq:mr.Kamionski:} \mbox{ Objection. That misstates}$ what he said.

A. I don't remember hearing that information.

Q. Okay. No member of the Chicago Police
Department ever told you that Diante Dancy did not
report or did not say that his mother was ever in the
bedroom after he and his brother were sent there when
they were punished?

A. Can you say that again?
MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection.

Q. Sure. No member of the Chicago Police
Department ever told you that Diante in fact told --said during this interview that his mother was not in

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 59

the room -- strike that.

No member of the Chicago Police Department ever told you that Diante did not indicate that his mother was ever in the bedroom with him and Jaquari after they were punished?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Sorry.} \quad \textbf{I'm having trouble following.} \quad \textbf{Did}$ not ever indicate is throwing me off.

Q. Sure. Right. So no -- okay. Okay. No member of the Chicago Police Department informed you that Diante never said that his mother wrapped the string around his neck or that the mother wrapped the string around Jaquari's neck?

A. If I understand, he said his mother -- he never said his mother wrapped the string around the neck?

Q. Yes.

A. Again, I don't -- I would say the same thing I said before. I don't remember any conversations or any statements from the brother.

Q. So I'm now going to show you what's previously been marked Exhibit 12. This is a General Progress Report drafted by Detective Wo, one of the defendants in this case, regarding the interview with Diante Dancy on May 15, 2005.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 60

A. Okay.

Q. Prior to coming up with your final determination of the cause and manner of death in this case, you were never provided a copy of this report?

 ${\bf A.} \quad \text{ Certainly it doesn't seem familiar to me; so } \\ {\tt I} \ \ \text{don't believe so.}$

Q. Okay. And I'm going to ask you also to look at 12A. You never saw a version of this report with the word "draft" on it, did you?

A. Again, it doesn't seem familiar. No.

Q. Is it fair to say that Diante Dancy's statements regarding what he saw Jaquari do and how Jaquari died were significant?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ KAMIONSKI: Objection. Calls for speculation. Form.

A. It's certainly worth considering. So, I mean, it would be significant in everything on both sides, you know, trying to correlate these statements with the autopsy.

Q. Okay. You would have taken Diante's statements regarding what he saw Jaquari do with the elastic string into consideration when determining the cause of death in this case?

A. Sure. It would have been presented, and I

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 16 of 63 PageID #:8500

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

2

4

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON
Page 61

SCOTT DENTON

would have considered it.

Q. And you would have taken Diante's statements regarding what he saw Jaquari do with the elastic band into consideration in determining the manner of death in this case?

A. Sure.

2

10

11

13

15

16

17

19

21

22

1 1

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Q. This is information you did not have before you made this final determination?

A. It certainly doesn't seem familiar to me.

Q. Again, that was the final determination of the cause and manner of death in Jaquari Dancy's investigation?

A. On the death certificate?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. No members of the Chicago Police Department ever told you that Diante Dancy, Jaquari's brother, was interviewed by Karen Wilson from the Department of Children and Family Services on May 16, 2005?

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A}. & {\bf Again, I don't recall that. I don't recall} \\ {\bf hearing any of that.} \end{tabular}$

 ${\bf Q.}$ No member of the Chicago Police Department ever told you that Diante Dancy also told Karen Wilson from the Department of Children and Family Services

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 62

that he saw Jaquari put the sheet around his neck and that is why he's dead?

 $\label{eq:mr.Kamionski:} MR.\ KAMIONSKI: \ \mbox{Objection. Assumes facts}$ and misstates what it says. You can answer.

A. Again, that doesn't sound familiar.

Q. You were never told by any member of the Chicago Police Department that Diante was asked by Karen Wilson: Did his mother or father put the sheet around Jaquari's neck? And he said, no, he did not see either of them do that.

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \quad \mbox{Again, I don't recall any interviews with} \\ \mbox{the brother at all, by anyone.}$

Q. At any time were you ever informed that Diante Dancy, the brother, testified in a court proceeding with respect to Nicole Harris's case?

A. I'm sorry. Can you say that again?

Q. Sure. In this case, have you ever been informed that Diante Dancy testified in a court proceeding with respect to Nicole Harris's case?

A. I mean, I think that was one of the things I read on the Internet, that he did not testify, that he was not -- he was deemed not to testify or something like that. I don't know the legal system.

Q. Well, in this case, he did testify in a

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 63

court proceeding.

 ${\bf A.}\,\,$ No, I don't know anything about that.

Q. So you don't know that, in fact, he testified that he saw Jaquari wrap the sheet around his neck?

A. I don't know anything about that.

Q. Okay. But you know that his testimony was not presented to the jury in the case?

 $\textbf{A.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{I} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{don't know if it -- I read it wasn't or} \\ \textbf{that the judge did not allow it.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{That's what I} \\ \textbf{remember.} \\$

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ $\,\,$ Do you remember when you read that?

A. It was recent, I think within -- I read that after -- several months ago, after it was brought up in a trial here.

 $\label{eq:Q.Do} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Okay.} \quad \text{Do you recall anything else about}$ what you read?

A. Just what I mentioned before, Internet, the Internet stuff, that she was released. It was on TV. There was a newspaper article that she was released from prison. It was on TV. But not much else.

Q. So let me ask you about your autopsy of Jaquari Dancy in this case. When was that autopsy conducted?

Page 64

SCOTT DENTON

A. It would have been May 15, 2005.

 ${f Q}$. And where was that autopsy conducted?

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} The Cook County Medical Examiner's Office in Chicago. \end{tabular}} \label{eq:controlled}$

Q. And who was present for that autopsy?

A. My autopsy assistant. I would have to look.

Q. Okay.

 ${\bf A}$. Mark Neely was the autopsy assistant or the autopsy tech, and I believe there were other doctors in the room that were there also.

Q. What other --

 $oldsymbol{A}$. Dr. Donoghue was there because he was the Doctor the day that assigned me the case.

 \boldsymbol{Q}_{\star} . And was Dr. Donoghue present for the entire autopsy?

A. I mean, he would have been doing his own work on a station catty-corner to where I was working. So there's two autopsy rooms with four stations each, and there were five doctors working every day. So each room either had two or three doctors, and I don't remember anyone else besides Dr. Donoghue in the room and my assistant. The photographer would be there taking pictures.

Q. Do you know where Mark Neely works now?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 17 of 63 PageID #:8501

Page 65

SCOTT DENTON Page 66

SCOTT DENTON

A. He no longer works at Cook County; and yes, he works part time for the two doctors in Joliet and Kankakee as an autopsy assistant. Okay. So it's your testimony that when you

were doing the autopsy of Jaquari Dancy at the Cook County Medical Examiner's Office, you were in a room where Dr. Donoghue was also conducting autopsies on another body or bodies?

A. Right. On that day, yes.

Q. Prior to conducting the autopsy, did you speak with anyone about the case?

A Prior to the autopsy?

O. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

11

12

13 14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I don't recall if I did. Yes. I'm sorry. Well, I don't specifically recall talking to him, but we had morning rounds every day at 8:30. So we would stand in a group five doctors, and we'd present the cases to each other. And we'd basically read the investigator's report, and that was it.

Okay. So as you sit here today, you don't -- you can't tell us what was said during that meeting?

Q. And how did the cases get assigned to which

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

doctors?

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

2.0

24

1

2

9

10

1 1

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There was a doctor of the day. So there was -- one of the five doctors is on call. So that doctor of the day divides up the cases as equally as possible among the five doctors.

Q. Who was the doctor of the day?

Since Dr. Donoghue was working, he would be the doctor of the day.

And he was the Chief of the Medical Examiner's Unit at that time?

A. Yes.

Q. And is it fair to say you can't recall anything that was said about Jaquari Dancy's death investigation on May 15, 2005, at 8:30 in the morning?

15 A. I mean, the only thing I can recall is I remember Dr. Donoghue mentioned to me, "Why are you 16 spending so much time on that case." 17

Q. When did he mention that to you?

That was when I was doing all this extensive examination on his body.

21 Q. Okay. But prior to doing -- starting the 22 autopsy of Jaguari Dancy, you read the medical 23 examiner inspector's report with respect to this case?

A. Right. The investigator's report, yes.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 67

Okay. So I'm going to ask you to turn to pages 11 and 12 of Exhibit 96Z.

Q. Is that the -- is that the inspector's report with regard to the Jaquari Dancy investigation?

A. That would be the investigator's report, yes. The medical examiner's investigator's reporter

Q. And who was the investigator on this case from the Medical Examiner's Office?

A. Chester Garelli.

Q. Did you speak with Chester Garelli before you started the autopsy in this case?

A. I don't remember doing so, no.

Q. Did you ever speak with him afterwards?

I don't remember.

Q. Okay. With respect to this report -- so you had an opportunity to read the report?

A Yes.

O. And you learned from the report that Jaquari Dancy was found by his father lying on the floor of his bedroom with the elastic band wrapped from the bed sheet around his neck?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

A. That's what it says here, yes.

Page 68

0 Okay. Do you want to review it?

Sure.

(Pause in proceedings.)

So that's the information you got from the report, correct?

A. Right. This is the information I had prior

Q. Right. And it indicates that the inspector from the Medical Examiner's Office, Chester Garelli, got all of his information from the police officers who were assigned to this investigation?

14 Q. So in this case, you conducted both an 1.5 external examination and a full autopsy, right?

A. Yes. An internal examination, yes.

Q. And so as part of that -- as part of your examination, you looked at the outside of Jaquari Dancy's body?

Okay. And you also examined any clothes that came with his body?

You also examined other items that were

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 18 of 63 PageID #:8502

Page 69 provided to you from the Police Department regarding this case? A. Yes. Q. And the Police Department provided you with

both a phone cord and the sheet and the elastic band that were found in the apartment?

A Yes.

Q. And photographs were taken of Jaquari Dancy's body as well as of the clothing?

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

2

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

Q. Now, were those photographs you took?

No. They were taken at my direction by the photographer that day.

I see. So you would tell the photographer: Take this shot; take that shot?

A. Right. The case identification number tag would be held by me, by the holder, and then the photographer would take that picture.

Q. How long did this autopsy take?

A. I'd be guessing. I'd have to look and see what other cases I had that day. I have to look. It looks like I started at 9:00 a.m. So I have to see what other times I started other cases that day to know for sure.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 70 Okay. When you reviewed this prior to your 2 deposition, did you find any indication anywhere as to when this ended, what time the autopsy ended? 3 A. I mean, I saw my testimony. I guessed -- I made a guess about two and a half hours with the autopsy length. Okay. But there's nowhere in your autopsy 8 report that would indicate how long it took? 10 Was the autopsy recorded in any way? 11 Just by the body diagram notes. Q. Okay. And then the photographs? 12 13 Α. 14 But it wasn't videotaped? 15 No. That was not allowed. And it wasn't audio -- you didn't do an 16

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

audio recording?

A. I would dictate my findings for the report off my body diagram notes at a later time. Q. Okay. So you had a tape recorder there?

20 A. Usually not there. It was usually in my 21 22 office

Q. Oh, okay. 23

17

18

19

24

1

4

6

9

10

11

12

14

1.5

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I'd go up to my office after all the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

cases were done and dictate the report from the notes.

Q. Oh, I see. So you would take handwritten notes during the autopsy, and then later you would then dictate or speak into the audio recorder for that report to be typed up?

A. Yes.

Q. So beyond Dr. Donoghue, Mark Neely, and -strike that.

Mark Neely was the person who took your photographs, right?

No. He was my technician. We had a designated photographer.

Q. Oh. Who was the photographer?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Okay. So beyond the photographer, Dr. Donoghue, Mark Neely, was there anyone else present for the autopsy?

A. Not that I can recall, no.

Q. At some point though, there were -- you met with detectives from the Chicago Police Department?

A. Right. I think they showed up at the examination after I was either completing it or ready to move on. And they showed up and said, you know -and talked to me about this death

Page 72 And they came into the room where you were

2 doing the examination?

And they had an opportunity to examine Jaquari Dancy's body?

A. I don't know if they did. They probably did if his body was right there.

Okay. And they had an opportunity to look at the clothes that were there as well?

A. They had the opportunity if the clothes were out. I don't know if they were out or not.

Q. How many detectives came?

13 I believe it was two.

Okay. And do you know their names?

No. Α.

Their ages? 16

I mean, I don't -- I didn't write it down 17 18 anywhere.

Q. Okay. Do you know what races they were?

A. I don't recall.

And were they men or women?

They were both men.

Now, during your examination of Jaquari Dancy, you examined his clothes that came in?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 19 of 63 PageID #:8503

22

24

1

4

9

10

1.1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

Page 74

Page 73 A. Yes. Right. And you documented that you found pink vomit stains on his sweatshirt, right? Q. Now, if you had found any blood on his clothes, you would have noted that in your report? Q. And reading your report, there's no indication there was any blood found on any of his 10 clothes? 11 A. Correct. 12 And there's no indication there was any 13 blood found anywhere on his body? A. Not that I saw, no. Q. And if there had been, you would have noted 15 that in your report? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you noted during your autopsy that Jaquari Dancy was well developed and a well-nourished 19 2.0 child? 21 A. I believe so, ves. 22 And you determined there was no findings that he was neglected in any way? A. I did not see any evidence of neglect. 24

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

2 malnourished? 3 A. I did not. Q. And you did not find any signs that he had been physically beaten? 6 A. I don't believe so, no. There were some --I believe there was -- I wouldn't call them beatings. There were other marks on his body, but I wouldn't call them beating marks. 10 Q. Right. There was a scar on his right elbow, 11 correct? 12 A Right. There were some scars, and then 13 there were some injuries away from his neck, like on 14 the chest, the upper shoulder, the left upper 15 shoulder; and within the right chest muscle, there was an area of hemorrhage. But I mean those -- I wouldn't 16 call those beating injuries. 17 18 Q. Okay. Were those injuries indicative of 19 anything? 20 A. Just that he sustained some, I would say, 21 mild to moderate blunt trauma, probably mild.

And you didn't see any evidence of being

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

from the medical treatment that was being provided to

Q. Okay. And could that have been blunt trauma

SCOTT DENTON

Page 75 MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Calls for speculation. I'd say usually not. In those places, I've never seen that before -- in those places Q. Okay. Tell me exactly then where you saw the area around his shoulder or -- oh, okav. A. I would say -- on the autopsy report, it's Q. Uh-huh. A. It says, "Within the right upper shoulder over the humerus," which is the ball joint of the 11 shoulder, "there was an area of intramuscular 13 hemorrhage" or bruising to the muscle. And that was 2 14 by 1 1/2 inches, and it was 7/10 of an inch thick. 1.5 16 So it just tells me that he sustained a bruise to his shoulder that caused breeding in the 17 18 19 Q. I see. So that was a bruise on the upper right of his shoulder? 20 21 22 Q. Okay. But that did not indicate in any way that he'd been physically abused? 23 MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Calls for 24

SCOTT DENTON

Page 76

speculation. A. No. It just says that he sustained trauma there. I can't -- it doesn't -- I wouldn't call it ahuse Q. Okay. Were there any other -- okay. Now, you noted that there were marks around -- ligature marks around his neck, correct? Q. And can you describe what those ligature -where those ligature marks were? A. Sure. The best way to see them is on page It looks like it starts on the back of his midline neck, about 6 1/2 inches from the top of the head. It was 2/10 of an inch wide and then goes towards the left side of his neck, kind of horizontally. And then it -- if you go up to the right upper corner, the left side of his neck, you can see

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

-- as it crosses the midline, it starts to fade: and

slightly forwards. And then I made a notation -- just

It was 1/10 of an inch thick. And then it

the ligature mark goes across his neck, slanting

some notations about that.

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 20 of 63 PageID #:8504

SCOTT DENTON

Page 77 Page 78

a slant around his neck?

you can see, like, a line of petechiae, which tells me the ligature was there at one point, but it was light, whether something was over it or it was light. And then it fades onto the back of the right side of the neck.

Q. I see. Okay. So the ligature marks were more pronounced on the left side of the neck versus the right side of the neck?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}$. And there was a slight slant of the ligature marks?
 - A Yes.
- \mathbf{Q} . Okay. And the slant was upward from the front of his neck -- it was lower under his face, and it slanted upwards towards the back?
- A. Right. By about -- because it looks like, in the midline back of the neck, it's 6 and 1/2 inches. At the midline, you know, on his chin, it was 7 inches. On the left side of the neck, it was 7 1/2 inches. So it's slight.
 - Q. Okay. It was a slight vertical slant?
 - A. Yes.
- ${\tt Q.} \quad \hbox{Which would indicate that the string was} \\$ somewhat -- wrapped around his neck was at somewhat of

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

2 A. Right. It was a slight slant, I would say, 3 towards the right side. Q. Meaning what? A. That it was -- on the back of his neck, it was 6 1/2 inches. I mean, all I can do is tell the measurement. Towards the right and left side -- on the right side of his neck, it's 7 inches. O. Seven inches from the top of his head? 10 A. Yes. And then on the left side, it's 7 1/2 11 inches; so you've got a 1/2 inch slant towards the right side compared to the left side. 12 13 Q. I see, okay. Now, the members of the Police 14 Department, they brought these items to the autopsy, 15 the phone cord and the elastic band, correct? A. Yes. It says "in two bags," yes. 16 17 Q. And you removed the phone cord from the bag, 18 and you compared the phone cord to the ligature marks found on Jaquari's neck? 20 21 Q. And you determined that the phone cord --

the phone cord did not match the impressions on Jaquari's neck?

Jaquari's neck?

A. Right, specifically

22

1

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

 ${\bf A.}$ Right, specifically on the left side. It

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 79

 $\mbox{\rm did}$ not match the impression marks on the left side of the neck.

- Q. Okay. You ruled out the phone cord as the instrument of death in this case?
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A.} & {\tt Right.} & {\tt I} & {\tt ruled} & {\tt it} & {\tt out} & {\tt because} & {\tt the} & {\tt elastic} \\ & {\tt cord} & {\tt matched} & {\tt better.} \\ \end{tabular}$
- Q. Okay. Well, there's no evidence to indicate that the phone cord had any role or was ever wrapped around Jaquari's neck?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ KAMIONSKI: Objection. Calls for speculation.

- A. I can't say that the phone cord caused those ligature marks. They're not as consistent as the elastic cord.
- **Q.** Okay. I'm going to ask: Was there any physical evidence to indicate the phone cord was ever wrapped around his neck?
 - A. Not that I know of, no.
- Q. Now, you also compared the elastic band from the blue fitted sheet to the ligature marks on Jaquari's neck?
 - A. Yes

 ${\tt Q.} \qquad \hbox{And you determined that it was the elastic} \\$ band that was the instrument of death in this case?

JEOIT DENTON

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Right.} \quad \text{The pattern injury on his neck}$ matched the pattern of elastic cord very, very well.} \\

Q. You in fact stretched out the band and determined that, when you stretched it, the band had these little loops; and those compared both with the lines as well -- and you could see the little loops on Jaquari's neck?

A. Yes.

Q. And you determined that the elastic band from the sheet was 39 inches in length? So let me be clear. Let me strike that.

A. Yes

Q. You measured the loose part of the elastic band, so the part of the elastic band that was not attached to the sheet, and determined that was 39 inches in length?

A. Yes. As it was lax, laying there without tension, it was 39 inches in length.

Q. And you determined that loose elastic band that was not attached to the sheet was 6 feet 8 inches in length when it was stretched?

A. Yes

23 MR. KAMIONSKI: I got a text they want to
24 mark two exhibits at the dep. What should they mark

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON
Page 80

SCOTT DENTON

Page 80

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 21 of 63 PageID #:8505

SCOTT DENTON

Page 81

ts do you have?

1 medical examiner's office, you had completed your

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

9

10

1.1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

them as? How many more exhibits do you have?

THE WITNESS: Can we take a break now?

MS. MOGUL: Yeah. Sure.

(Recess in proceedings

from 11:45 to 12:09 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of recording number 2 of the videotaped deposition of Dr. Scott Denton. We're now going on the record. The time is approximately 12:09 p.m.

Q. Okay. So I'm going to direct your attention back to Exhibit 96Z, your autopsy report in the medical examiner's file in this case.

On pages 8, 9, and 10, you took notes regarding your autopsy examination, correct?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Q. And these notes you then used to dictate your autopsy report?

A. Right. And the photographs usually too.

Q. Okay. And the photographs too. And your autopsy report is the typewritten report that's pages 1 through 6 of Exhibit 96Z, correct?

A. Yes.

 ${\bf Q.} \qquad {\tt Okay.} \quad {\tt And \ so \ prior \ to \ your \ conversations}$ with the detectives in this case who appeared at the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

the two bags at the bottom; so I don't know exactly when they showed up.

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 84

 ${f Q}$. Okay. Okay. With respect to the physical and pathological findings you made regarding Jaquari Dancy's death, were those findings completed prior to your conversations with the detectives?

A. I would say most of them should have been. The only thing I can think that would be extra I would do would be, like, removing the spinal cord or doing the incision on the back.

Q. Okay.

A. That might be extra afterwards, but I would say it probably would have been done before that.

Q. So prior to speaking with the detectives, you had completed the entire autopsy?

A. Pretty much. Probably not the entire one; or if I did, you know, then they showed up towards the end.

Q. Okay. And so -- okay. So but you had completed your entire autopsy and your entire examination prior to completing the first death

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 83

certificate in this case?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And based on the results of your autopsy, which included your examination of his clothes, the exterior/interior of his body, and the toxicological analysis in this case, you -- based on those findings, you could not determine whether this death was accidental, homicidal, or other? Let me strike -- accidental or homicidal?

A. I would say, I mean, what I wrote on the death certificate when I was done with the autopsy. Just based on what I showed on the body and the investigation report, I said it was hanging accident, just based on the autopsy and the medical examiner's investigation report. So that's what I wrote first.

Q. Okay. So let me just ask this. Based on your findings of the body alone -- right? -- and the clothes, there was no indication whether this was an accident or a homicide, the cause of death -- or the manner of death?

Strike that. Let me ask it again.

Based on the physical findings of the body and the clothes and the phone cord and the elastic cord or elastic band, you could not determine whether

the manner of death was accidental or homicidal?

A. Right. I guess I would say the same thing. I mean, just based on the body -- I mean, I went with the investigation circumstances I was given. The body itself, just those findings, could be, right, like you said, could be either one.

Q. Right. And if you were just determining the -- if you just based the manner of death in this case on the physical findings of the autopsy and your external examination of the phone cord and the elastic band and the clothes, the cause of death would be -- the manner of death would be undetermined?

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} \pmb{A}. & Can you say that again, what I would consider? \end{tabular}$

 ${\bf Q}.$ Sure. All right. Well, let me ask this. When you say that you've conducted an autopsy, what does that include?

A. The autopsy involves basically confirming the person is the right person and then documenting the findings externally and internally as objectively as possible.

Q. Right. And what I guess I'm trying to get is: So that's the external examination of the clothes, correct?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 22 of 63 PageID #:8506

question.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 86

Page 85 A. Yes. And that is the external examination of the body, correct? A. Q. That is an internal examination of the body? Q. And that's a toxicology analysis, right? A. Yes. Is there anything else included in just the autopsy? 11 A. You can do more, but usually that's about 12 it. 13 Q. Right. So based on the autopsy findings, if you had to base it just on the autopsy findings alone, 15 would you say that the manner of death would be undetermined? 16 17 A. Right. Like the body in a vacuum or the 18 body without any circumstances, --19 A. -- I would say by default is undetermined. Q. Right. Okay. So there was no physical or 21 pathological evidence in this case that indicated this 24 MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

2 A. I would say the only thing that could indicate a homicide would be the nature of the ligature that was horizontal because that's inconsistent basically with hanging. That's the only thing, I would say. Right. But in this case, if a child had wrapped the string around his neck and was unable to get that loose, that could have caused his death? 10 MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. A. Yeah. I mean, if a child could do that. I 11 still have trouble believing that a 4-year-old could 12 13 do that. But, I mean, if that happened, that could be 14 a possibility. Q. Okay. Well, in that case, that would 15 indicate that -- there would be ligature marks that 16 were horizontal as opposed to vertical, correct? 17 18 A. Yes. Q. Okay. But other than the horizon-- but in 19 2.0 this case, the marks weren't totally -- the ligature 21 marks weren't totally horizontal, were they? 22 A. Right. They weren't completely flat or horizontal, but they were -- they were almost, you know, they were almost horizontal. AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 87

1

2

4

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There was a slight vertical part to it Ω though?

There was, yes.

Q. Which would indicate that the string was slightly above one part of his head?

A. Yes.

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

O. So it's possible, based on that ligature and the vertical mark -- that ligature mark that there was a slight hanging in this case or a slight pressure from up to down?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the

I would say very slight; but yes, it could be slight -- a slight angle. Instead of being completely 90 degrees, there could be a slight degree deviation of it, yes. There's traction that's not completely perpendicular. There's traction on the cord that's slightly upwards, yes.

O. And that slight traction upwards could have been a contributing factor to the death in this case? MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to form. Calls for speculation.

A. Right. It just -- it indicates -- right. It indicates traction at a slight angle. It could be SCOTT DENTON

Page 88 -- I mean, honestly, it could be from a fixed cord, or it could be from someone pulling on a cord. It could be either one. Q. When you say "a fixed cord," what do you

mean by that?

A. Like the opposite end of a bed sheet that's stuck on -- a bed sheet that's stuck on a mattress.

Q. Right. So in this case, the sheet -- the elastic band stuck on the sheet could have been at such an angle that it caused that slight traction upwards?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Calls for speculation.

A. If you could do, like, a scene reconstruction and try to reconstruct that, I mean, I would say it could be possible.

Q. And that slight traction from the sheet attached to the -- strike that.

That slight traction from the elastic band from the sheet could have been a contributing factor to Jaquari Dancy's death?

A. Sure. Because something is -- it's either tightly wrapped around itself, or it's being pulled. It's one of those two things.

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 23 of 63 PageID #:8507

SCOTT DENTON

Page 89

SCOTT DENTON

Page 90

Q. Right. And I guess the pull -- what I'm saying is the pull could have come from the bed sheet attached to the top bunk of the bed?

 ${\bf A}_{\cdot}$. If it was fixed, sure. If the bed sheet was fixed on the bed to the mattress.

Q. In this case, do you know whether the bed sheet was fixed to the mattress at the time that Jaquari Dancy died?

A. I don't remember.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

23

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

Q. Did the police tell you that?

 ${\bf A.}\ \ {\bf I}$ don't remember. If it's in the -- if it's in the police report, I mean, the typed one, then I would have known that; but I don't remember reading that.

Q. Okay. You don't remember being told that?

A. I don't recall.

Q. But based on the physical evidence in this case regarding Jaquari Dancy's body and his clothes and the toxicology report and the instruments, the phone cord and the elastic band, you could not say with any reasonable degree of medical certainty that his death was a homicide?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the

question.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

70.	Turet	based	00	hio	hodii	21000
м.	Just	Daseu	OH	HTD	Doug	alone

A. dust based on his body alone

Q. Yes.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I would say that's fair. Right. You need circumstances, investigation.

Q. And in this case, you could not determine the manner of death without that circumstantial information?

A. Well, I mean, honestly, I initially did because I went with what the medical investigator -medical examiner investigator said.

Q. Right.

A. And I just -- you know, I had his body, and I had the circumstances that nothing was suspicious or nothing was wrong; so I did --

Q. Right.

A. -- determine a cause of death.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \text{And then I was asked -- then I was asked to} \\ \text{hold off because there might be more information.}$

Q. Right. The police asked -- the police detectives who came to the autopsy asked you to hold

A. Yes.

 ${\bf Q}. \quad \mbox{And then that's when you struck out the} \\$ first death certificate where you found that the death

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 9

was -- the manner was accidental?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Right.} \quad \text{Then I -- we called it pulling the}$ death certificate, which happened quite frequently.} So I pulled the death certificate.

Q. Okay. Based on the police request, the police detective's request?

A. Yes.

 \mathbf{Q} . Okay. And the police detectives that appeared at the autopsy on May 15, 2005?

A. Yes.

Q. Right. And I guess what I want to be clear about is there was no physical or pathological evidence that indicated that Jaquari's death was not accidental at the time that you completed this autopsy?

A. That's fair.

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$. So then the detectives arrive. And did you tell them anything about the ligature marks on his neck?

A. I'm sure that I discussed it with them. I mean, I don't recall discussing it with them, but that's usually what happens when they show up.

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$ Okay. All right. Did they talk to you about -- did they tell you there were bunk beds in the

room where the child was found?

A. I believe there -- I believe I knew there were bunk beds early on, yes.

 ${\bf Q.}$ Okay. And did they tell you that the sheet with the elastic band came from the top bunk in the room?

A. I don't remember.

 ${\bf Q.}$ $\;$ Did they ask you whether the phone cord was the instrument of death in this case?

A. Yes

Q. Okay. And you then informed them that the phone cord was not the instrument of death in this case?

A. That was my opinion, yes.

Q. And if the phone cord had been the instrument of death in this case, that would have indicated it was a homicide, correct?

A. Well, it would have been inconsistent with the circumstances I was given. So then there would --you know, again, like I said before, one of them is wrong. Either the autopsy is wrong or the information is wrong. So it would be more likely -- if it was a phone cord, it would more likely be a homicide.

Q. And the fact that it wasn't a phone cord in

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 92

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 24 of 63 PageID #:8508

2

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 94

Page 93
no way indicated that it wasn't an accidental death?

A. Can you say that again?

Q. Sure. I'll withdraw it, actually.

And did you in fact show the detectives how

And did you in fact show the detectives how the -- put the phone cord against Jaquari's neck and show them that it in fact wasn't the instrument of death?

 $\textbf{A.} \qquad \text{I don't know if I did, but that's something} \\ \text{I would do --- I mean I would routinely do.}$

 ${\bf Q.} \qquad {\tt Okay.} \quad {\tt And you would -- you did that as well}$ with the elastic band from the bed sheet?

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \quad \mbox{I would expect I would do the same with both}$ because I would show them what I see.

Q. Okay. And after you told them that the phone cord wasn't the instrument of death, did you tell them that the elastic band was the instrument of death?

 ${f A}.$ I'm sure I did. If that's what I thought, I would certainly share that.

Q. These detectives, they didn't tell you that Nicole Harris had allegedly confessed to killing her son with a phone cord when you met with them at the autopsy?

 $oldsymbol{A}.$ I don't think they told me there was any

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

confession at the autopsy. I think they said they had her in custody, but I don't remember saying there was

a confession.

Q. Okay. So they didn't tell you that she had

confessed in any way, correct?

A. I don't think so, no.

Q. And -- but they told you she was in custody?

A. Yes

 $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_*$. And they said hold off on coming up with -- strike that.

Did you tell them that you had determined that this death was accidental, and it was -- that it was caused by hanging on an elastic cord?

A. I'm sure I told them what I had done, what I had filled out; and I believe that's why they asked me to hold off.

Q. So you had told them, "Look, I've completed the death certificate, and these are my findings"?

A. I said, "That's what I certified it as; and if you have more information, you know, I need to see it."

 ${f Q}$. Okay. So you had told them on May 15, 2005, that you had certified that this death was caused by hanging, that it was an accident, and specifically the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 95

injury occurred by being hanged on the elastic cord?

A. Based on what I had, yes.

Q. And that was what you wrote in the first death certificate?

A. Yes.

10

11

1.3

15

16

17

18

20

21

Q. And you told them you had certified that?

 ${\bf A}. \quad \text{Well, I submitted it. It wasn't certified}$ yet. It was still in the pending stages.

Q. Okay. So you informed them you had submitted the first death certificate?

A. Right.

11

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{ And that's when they said, "Hold off. We have the mother in custody"?}$

 $\label{eq:A.Right.} \textbf{A.} \quad \text{Right. "And we have more information that}$ this is not an accident," basically.

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}. \quad \text{That the mother was in custody and that}$ there was more information coming.

 $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{\star}$. Okay. Did they tell you what information that was?

A. I don't remember.

 ${f Q}$. Did they tell you anyone else was in

custody?

SCOTT DENTON

Page 96

A. I don't think so.

2 Q. Did they tell you they were talking to

A. Again, I don't think so.

Q. Did they tell you that they were interviewing Diante Dancy, the brother?

 ${f A}.$ I don't recall any mention of interviews with the brother.

Q. So after they asked you to hold off on certifying the death certificate and coming up with your final opinion as to the cause and manner of death, you did so; you pulled the death certificate?

A. Right.

Q. And as we testified earlier, you then filled out the second death certificate where you noted "pending police investigation"?

A. Righ

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$ Did the detectives, during your meeting with them on May 15, 2005, tell you that Ms. Harris had any anger issues?

A. I think so, yes.

Q. Did they also tell you at that time that
Ms. Harris had physically struck her children before
the death?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 25 of 63 PageID #:8509

Page 97

SCOTT DENTON

Page 98

SCOTT DENTON

Page 100

A. I think so because that's why I did the incisions, the filleting or more incisions on the back, because there was evidence of possible other altercations or this punishment. So that's why I was looking for other bruises.

Q. Okay. So they -- did they tell you how Ms. Harris allegedly struck her children?

A. I don't think so.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

- They didn't tell you that she allegedly used a belt?
- A. I think I read that somewhere, but I don't remember when I knew that.
- Q. Okay. Well, when you did this further examination, did you find any indication of any striking on the child?
- A. I didn't -- I don't think I saw any belt marks. That's for sure.

You know, the other bruises that were in the muscles, I don't know what those are from.

And then there were some -- like on the chest, there were three curvilinear marks. I think, you know, could be fingernail marks, I think; but that's all I saw.

Q. Right. But you testified that there were

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

fingernail marks on the body that you assumed were 2 from someone holding Jaguari? 3 A. Sure. That could be possible, ves. Q. So the mark -- what I want to be very clear about, there's no indications and there's no findings that you had to indicate anything with any positive certainty whatsoever that Nicole Harris had struck her Right. I didn't see any evidence of, you 10 know, pattern blunt trauma or anything like that. I 11

didn't see any belt marks. That's for sure.

Q. How long was this conversation with the detectives?

Oh, I don't know. Minutes, I would say.

Q. Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

4

6

9

10

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

A. But I don't know how many minutes. It wasn't an hour. It wasn't a half hour.

Q. It was less than a half hour?

19

And were either of them taking any notes?

A. I don't remember. I don't recall them taking notes.

Q. Okay. Is there anything else they said to you or anything else you said to them?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 99

- A. It was ten years ago. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. Would anything refresh your memory?
- I mean, if someone did take notes, I would look at that to see if that could refresh my memory. But other than that, I don't remember.

MS. MOGUL: Okay. Well, okay, I'm going to then point -- I'm going to ask you to review what's been previously marked as -- give me one second. I'm going to ask you to review what's been previously marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 30 -- or no, sorry, 46. Strike that. Let me start again.

I'm going to ask you to review what's been previously marked as Harris Deposition Exhibit No. 30, and it's Bates stamped City 0000408 and 409.

> (Pause in proceedings.) THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. These are notes that Detective Kelly, one of the defendants in the case, said that -- took regarding meeting you with his partner Detective Noradin on May 15, 2005?

Okay.

You've had a chance to look through these two pages?

A. Yes.

Does this refresh your memory as to anything 1 2 that was said between you and Detective Noradin and Kelly on May 15, 2005?

A. No. But it sounds consistent with what I remember or what I would do.

Q. Okay. This doesn't just -- this doesn't refresh your memory as to anything they said or you said during your conversation on May 15, 2005?

Q. Now, after you met with the detectives on May 15, 2005, you received a call from a Chicago Police Department detective informing you that Nicole Harris had confessed to killing Jaquari Dancy?

A. I don't remember, but if it's written down somewhere, I wouldn't dispute it.

Q. Okay. The call came in on Tuesday, May 17, 2005?

A. Okay. Sounds reasonable.

Q. Okay. You can't say one way or another?

A. No. You know, if I had the file there, I would take a note on the inside of the file and write down. But otherwise, no, I usually wouldn't take

Q. Well, in this case, do you recall testifying

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 26 of 63 PageID #:8510

SCOTT DENTON

ON SCOTT DENTON

that you first learned on the news that Nicole Harris had confessed to killing Jaguari Dancy?

- A. I think that's true, yes.
- Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you remember seeing that news story?

 $\label{eq:A.No,but I know I testified to that; so it was true at one point.}$

Q. It was on TV?

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

2

11

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- A. Yes, it was on TV.
- Q. Was her mug shot shown?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. And so this detective called you to inform you that Nicole Harris had confessed, and they wanted -- this detective wanted you to have this information in terms of -- so that you would write that the manner of death was a homicide in this case?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question.

A. I mean, they would say, you know, she confessed, and then, you know, we have policies and procedures on what we do next; so I would follow those. So if the evidence did support what he said, you know, then I would follow those procedures in the office. You know, I would change it based -- if it

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

fit the autopsy.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

Q. Okay. Well, okay. In this case -- all right. So he called you saying, "Well, she's confessed to killing her child."

- A. Okay
- Q. Well, that's what you previously testified?
- A. Sure

Q. And the purpose of that call would be so that that would influence your opinion and determination as to the cause and manner of death, correct?

A. Sure. It would require -- well, it would require next steps. It would require me to get that information. And then it would definitely be information that needed to be considered.

Q. Right. Well, in this case, they wanted you to hold off on making a cause and manner of death determination in this case?

- A. On the day of the autopsy, yes.
- Q. Pending the police investigation?
- A. Yes.

Q. So, therefore, they wanted the information that they had obtained as a result of the police

investigation to influence these cause and manner of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 103

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question.

death determinations: isn't that fair to say?

A. I mean, that's what usually happens. You know, if we pend it for police investigation, we require those investigation reports before the case is closed.

Q. Okay. I got that. What I'm saying is they wanted their investigation to impact your final determinations?

- A. Sure.
- ${f Q}$. Well, they certainly wanted you to consider that information, that Nicole Harris confessed, in terms of you making a final determination as to cause and manner of death, right?
- $\label{eq:A.Right.} \textbf{A.} \quad \text{Right.} \quad \text{That's -- that's standard procedure,} \\ \text{es.} \quad$
- Q. Right. Because in this case, the detective, when he called you, did he also tell you that they were charging her with murder?
 - ${\bf A.}\,$ I mean, I don't remember. They might have.
- Q. Okay. Is it a fair assumption that, once he told you that Nicole Harris confessed to killing her child, that she was being charged with murder for the

SCOTT DENTON

Page 102

Page 104

child?

1

2

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. That's what usually happened, yes.

Q. Okay. And being a fair assumption that this was a murder case, you knew that your determinations as to cause and manner of death would be relevant in the criminal prosecution of the case?

- A. Sure. Yes.
- Q. Right. And the detectives also knew that your cause and manner of death determinations would be relevant to the criminal prosecution, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So after you were informed of the -- that Nicole Harris confessed, what did you do?
- A. After this conversation, what I would do is follow the procedures and policy of the office. So I would require, you know, a statement of the confession. I would require any information that basically supported, you know, their contention that this was a homicide.

So I would ask them to provide it, you know, as soon as they can or whenever they can because the case wouldn't be finalized until I had that information.

Q. Okay. So let me ask: What were the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 27 of 63 PageID #:8511

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

policies and procedures of the Cook County Medical Examiner's Office in May of 2005 when you were told that there was a confession in the case?

A. You need to -- well, for the pending police investigation, you have to have the police investigation report at the 2:00 meeting, and you have to read it to the other doctors. And you have to state -- you know, describe the autopsy findings. And then there's a consensus opinion by the Quality Assurance Committee of the other forensic pathologists.

Q. And who was part of the quality assurance?

A. Well, Dr. Donoghue, you know, sat at the head of the table, and he was there almost every day. And then the other forensic pathologists would have to attend. And if you weren't there at 2:00, he would go find you.

Q. So whatever doctors were at the office that day would be part of the quality assurance?

A. Right. If it wasn't -- half the staff worked -- half the staff had off Sunday, Monday. Half the staff had off Friday, Saturday.

 $\mbox{So if it was unpended Tuesday through} \label{eq:through} \mbox{Thursday, it would be the entire staff. If it was}$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 106

unpended on a Friday or a Monday, it would be, you know, minus the doctors that were off that day.

Q. Okay. And prior to -- and prior to determining the first cause and manner of death in this case, you had consulted with other members of the Medical Examiner's Office?

A. On the first death certificate?

Q. Yes.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. No. That was just me filling that out.

Q. Okay. So what specifically did you request from members of the Chicago Police Department with respect to this case?

A. You would have to have the final police report. You'd have to have any information that supported, you know, any contention that this was a homicide. That would be -- that would be the most important information. And I would say anything else that supported that.

Q. Okay. So what were you provided in this case?

A. I did recognize the typed report that you provided me, the one from the Chicago Police

Department. Case Supplementary Report, I would have had that, and I would have read that to the doctors.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 107

 $\,$ MS. MOGUL: Okay. So I'm going to show you for purposes of the record what was previously marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 10.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. So you would have gotten a copy from the Police Department -- you got a copy from the Chicago Police Department of this report?

A. Yes.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

21

22

23

Q. Okay. So this is the Clear and Closed Supplemental Report with respect to the case?

A. Yes.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf Q.} & {\bf Beyond \ this \ report, \ did \ you \ receive \ any} \\ & {\bf other \ information?} \end{tabular}$

 ${\bf A}_{\cdot}$. Not that I can remember, no.

 ${\bf Q.}$ And -- okay. You then read this report?

A. Yes.

 ${\bf Q}$. Right? Did you -- okay. And at that time, after you read the report, what did you do?

A. I don't remember what I did. I know what I did by the records because I took it to the quality assurance meeting, to the other doctors -- and I went to unpend it -- for their opinions too.

Q. Okay. And so you shared with the other doctors at the Medical Examiner's Office what was

SCOTT DENTON

Page 108 contained in the Clear and Closed Report from the

police officers?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Yes.} \quad \text{And I would have also described the} \\$ autopsy findings and everything else.

Q. Okay. And nowhere in that Clear and Closed Report does it indicate what Diante Dancy said during his interview on May 15, that he saw Jaquari wrap the elastic band around his neck?

 $\label{eq:mr.Kamionski:Objection.That} \text{ misrepresents what he said in report.}$

MS. MOGUL: It absolutely doesn't.

A. Yeah. I don't recall seeing it if it does.

Q. I'll direct your attention to Exhibit 10.

I'm going to ask you to look at the bottom of page 13 and the top of page 14.

A. You said bottom of page 13?

O Page 13, yea

 ${\bf A.} \quad \hbox{Right.} \quad \hbox{I remember this part.} \quad \hbox{Playing with}$ the blue sheet, like playing Superman or something, that would not cause the injuries.

Q. Right. But nowhere in this report does it indicate that Jaquari (sic) Dancy said on May 15 that he knew about Jaquari's death, knows Jaquari was at the hospital, knows Jaquari was playing, wrapped

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 28 of 63 PageID #:8512

2

3

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

6

9

10

1.1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

elastic around neck from blue sheet?

A. No.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{Nowhere does it say that Diante couldn't} \\ \mbox{help Jaquari get out of a sheet, does it?} \\$

A. No

Q. Nowhere does it say that Diante Dancy said on May 15, 2005, "You can wrap it around arm, and there's no bubbles. You can wrap it around your neck, and you go to the hospital." Does it?

A. No

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

Q. Nowhere does it say that Diante said that he saw Jaquari wrap blue sheet around his neck, does it?

A. I don't think so, no.

Q. In fact, nowhere in that report does it even indicate that Diante Dancy was a witness to Jaquari's death in this case, does it?

A. I don't recall reading that.

Q. So then based on the Clear and Closed Report that you were provided, you then went back to the other doctors in the office, and you then changed your determination as to the cause and manner of death?

A. Right. I presented the case, and the consensus was the cause of death and manner of death was strangulation, homicide.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 110

Q. Okay. And that change in the cause and manner of death was based solely on Nicole Harris's alleged confession?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question.

MS. MOGUL: I'll strike that.

Q. Okay. Your change in the cause and manner of death was based on information provided to you from the Chicago Police Department that Nicole Harris had confessed to killing her child?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the ${\it question}$.

 $\label{eq:A. Yes.} \mbox{ The confession fit the findings of his body, yes.}$

Q. Right. But as we testified earlier, if there was no confession, the finding would have been undetermined or, in this case, accidental based on the way the father said he found the child, correct?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question. Misstates -- I'll leave it at that.

A. I would say, if there was no confession, if the detective had -- if the confession -- if the detective had called me and said there's no confession, it probably would have been undetermined.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 111

 ${\bf Q.}$ Okay. If you were -- okay. If the detective -- if there was no evidence provided to you or -- strike that.

If there's no information provided to you that Nicole Harris had confessed, at that time you would have determined that the manner of death was undetermined?

A. Right. If the detective had called me back and said there was no confession, I would say it would probably be undetermined. It would most likely be undetermined as to manner.

Q. Okay.

 ${\bf A.}\quad$ Which means it's basically an open case.

Q. What I'm getting at is the only additional information that was provided to you after your autopsy in May of 2005 -- the only additional information to you provided from the Police Department was that Nicole Harris had confessed?

 $oldsymbol{\mathtt{A}}.$ Yes. And the report of that confession, yes.

 \boldsymbol{Q}_{\star} . And also the transcript of Nicole Harris's confession?

A. Yes. I believe I had that also.

Q. But there was no information outside of the

SCOTT DENTON
Page 112

confession that influenced -- there was no additional information beyond the autopsy and the confession that influenced your final determination as to the cause and manner of death?

A. No. I would say -- you know, the only thing I would add was the opinions of the other doctors in the office. Besides that, no.

Q. And the opinions of the doctors in the office, were they in consensus? Were there disagreements?

A. There was no disagreement that was told to me. And one of the doctors basically said I made a mistake signing it out as accident -- you know, accidental hanging initially.

So -- because she actually went on her own and looked at the body, like, two days afterwards because the body hadn't been picked up yet, that I didn't know about. And so there was actually strong support for calling it a strangulation homicide.

Q. Okay. Who was this doctor?

A. Dr. Nancy Jones.

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot\cdot}$ Before you brought this to the quality assurance meeting, did she come up to you and say that you got this wrong?

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 29 of 63 PageID #:8513

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON
Page 113

SCOTT DENTON
Page 114

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

O. When did she do that?

A. That was -- I don't know. I would say probably a couple days after. It was either -- I'd say probably it was Tuesday because that would be the next day she would be on, because she was off Sunday Monday.

Q. And what did you say in response to her?

A. I was like, "Well, you know, clearly there is more information. The mother confessed" -- or something to that -- you know, something like that.

Q. Okay. And I -- so -- all right. You're saying that on May 15, 20-- May 17, 2005, Dr. Jones came up to you and said, "You got this wrong"?

A. She said, you know, "The ligature mark was horizontal. There's no way that was hanging," you know. I mean, so yeah, she basically said, you know, that that was a mistake.

And, you know, and then -- by that time, I guess it was public knowledge that the mother had confessed. It was on the news. So I was, like, "Well, you know, clearly you were right, you know, Dr. Jones." And then I waited for the other information to come in.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Q. Do you know whether she saw the news report

A. Don't know. I mean, Dr. Jones -- Dr. Jones liked telling me I was wrong multiple times during our career together; so that was nothing that was unusual. Dr. Jones and I did not have the best relationship.

 ${f Q}$. So in this case, she said that the cause of death, hanging, was wrong?

A. Yes

 $\label{eq:Q.Did} \textbf{Q.} \qquad \text{Did she say the manner of death was wrong as}$ well?

A. I don't remember if she said that. She said that the ligature mark was horizontal; so it definitely wasn't hanging. And I'm not sure what other comments she made. It was, like, "Okay. Thank you."

Q. Well, on -- so then on May 17, 2005, did you go and do another death certificate in this case?

A. No. No, because I don't change things because Dr. Jones comments, makes comments to me.

Q. Okay.

 $oldsymbol{\mathtt{A}}.$ No. You follow policies and procedures of office.

Q. Turning back to -- okay. So beyond

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 115

Dr. Jones' comments to you and the information you were provided that Nicole Harris had confessed, there was no additional information that made you change the cause and manner of death in this case?

A. I wouldn't even say Dr. Jones' comments influenced me one way or another.

I mean, what caused me to change the cause of death and the manner of death was the report and the transcript of the confession that I presented to the doctors that was consistent with the autopsy.

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$. I see. Okay. And the report being the Chicago Police Department's Clear and Closed Report?

A. Yes.

Q. And the transcript of Ms. Harris's confession?

A. Yes. And, you know, any confession has to fit the autopsy. So are they consistent or not? I think I mentioned that before. Are they consistent? And they were -- they were all consistent.

Q. Right. But in this case, it's not -- the autopsy report was consistent with Ms. Harris's confession?

A. Yes.

Q. But it didn't -- the autopsy report didn't

SCOTT DENTON

Page 116

prove that Ms. Harris's confession was accurate?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question.

A. Right. Confessions -- confessions have to stand alone, you know. And then you take that confession and then compare it to the autopsy.

So, you know, confessions can support an autopsy, but an autopsy can't -- doesn't always support a confession. They don't always go both ways.

Q. Right. I understand. I guess what I want to be clear about is the autopsy in this case was consistent with Ms. Harris's confession?

A. Yes

Q. But it didn't prove -- the autopsy in no way proves in fact that Ms. Harris killed her child?

A. No. The autopsy is more supportive that it's a horizontal ligature mark. And so what's the cause of that horizontal ligature mark?

Q. Right.

A. So it requires investigation.

Q. Right. Because, again, the physical and pathological evidence cannot prove that one way or another?

A. Right. It can either support or deny the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 30 of 63 PageID #:8514

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 118

circumstances.

Q. Okay. So I want to turn your attention back to Exhibit 96Z, your autopsy report.

- A. Uh-huh. Okay.
- Q. Pages 1 through 6. Let me ask this. Up and to the point where it says what your opinion is, would you say all of that report was completed on May 15,
- $oldsymbol{\lambda}$. Yes. Because those are objective autopsy findings that do not change.
- $\bf Q$. Okay. All right. And so -- okay. So all of the things on pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and the summary diagnosis on page 6 were the objective findings from your autopsy on May 15, 2005?
 - A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ Okay. Now, this -- and below that it has your opinion, right?
 - A. Yes.
- ${\bf Q}_{\star}$ Okay. And it states that you signed this report and dated it July 8th, 2005?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is that when you drafted what your opinion was in terms of the circumstances of this case?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

A. That's when it would go on paper and I would sign it, but I would say that my opinion here would have to be when I did the second -- when I did the final death certificate on June -- whatever that would

Q. June 14, 2005.

A. Right. Because I would have to agree that that's my opinion on the death certificate, filling that out.

Q. I see. So what was previous -- what is Exhibit -- or page 18, June 14, 2005, that's when you made the determination as to the date -- as to the cause and manner of death?

A. Yes

Q. When you made your final cause of death determination and your final determination as to the manner of death and prior to testifying at trial, you were not told by members of the Chicago Police Department of all of the circumstances of Nicole Harris's interrogation?

A. No. I don't believe so, no.

Q. And prior to your trial testimony, you didn't have a chance to speak with Nicole Harris?

A. No. I never spoke with her.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 119

Q. And prior to your testimony at trial, you were never told by any member of the Chicago Police Department that Nicole Harris said she was pushed and poked during her interrogation?

A. I don't think I ever heard that, no.

Q. Prior to your testimony at trial, you were not informed by any member of the Chicago Police Department that she was interrogated for over 27 hours?

A. I don't think I knew that.

Q. And no member of the Chicago Police
Department ever told you that Nicole Harris was told
that she lied and failed the polygraph test after she
proclaimed her innocence in this case?

 $\label{eq:A.} \textbf{A.} \quad \text{I don't know any details of the} \\ \text{interrogation.}$

 ${f Q}$. No one told you any of those?

A. I don't think so, no.

Q. No member of the Chicago Police Department ever told you that, during her course of her interrogation at Area 5 Police Headquarters, Diante Dancy, her other son, was taken from her?

A. No. No, I wouldn't have known that nor would I ever have been told details of interrogations, SCOTT DENTON

Page 120

really. That wasn't usually something I was made aware of ever.

Q. No member of the Chicago Police Department told you that Nicole Harris was called names, told she was a monster, said she crying false tears when she was crying during the interrogation when she was proclaiming her innocence?

A. No

Q. No member of the Chicago Police Department ever told you that, if she cooperated and confessed, she could have been charged with a lesser degree of murder, make bond, and fight her case from the outside versus in jail?

 $\label{eq:A. No. Like I said, I would never have been told any of that.$

Q. And you were never told by the Chicago

Police Department or any others that Ms. Harris claims
that the confession was fabricated by the members of
the -- the detectives in this case and that they
rehearsed it over and over with her again so that she
would recite it to the States Attorney's Office?

A. No. I don't think I was ever told that.

Q. And in this case, without knowing all of the circumstances of the interrogation, isn't it fair to

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 31 of 63 PageID #:8515

Page 121

SCOTT DENTON Page 122

say that you can't determine whether Nicole Harris's confession was coerced?

A. I'm not an expert on coerced confessions. I've had experience with them subsequent to this, but, I mean, I'm not a good judge of coerced confessions.

O. So at the time you testified at her trial, you weren't an expert about false confessions?

A. No. I have not studied coerced confessions.

You had not received any training on false confessions?

A. No.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

1 1

13 14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O. So -- okay. And at the time -- okay. Without knowing all the circumstances of her interrogation, you can't tell us whether the detectives fabricated the confession or not?

A. No. I rely on the detectives that it's an accurate confession. I rely on others for that.

Q. Right. So in this case, you relied on the detectives in determining that Nicole Harris's confession was accurate, voluntary, and not coerced?

And it was only based on the detectives, your trust in what the detectives had told you?

A. Right. And it -- right. The facts that the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

confession and the report, that they matched the autopsy report and that they were accurate and reliable. I relied on that, yes.

Q. Right. So you relied on the detective officers' representations that she voluntarily confessed to killing her child?

A Yes.

2

3

10

12

13

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

1

2

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. But today, you're now aware that Ms. Harris has alleged that she was coerced into giving a fabricated and false statement?

A. Yes. I'm aware of that. 11

O. And you're aware that there's evidence that indicates that Diante Dancy witnessed his brother wrap the elastic band around his neck?

15 MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. That assumes 16 facts

A. That's what he says in that report, ves.

Q. And that he witnessed Jaquari kill himself?

Yeah, I read that too.

Q. Okay. So in this case, at this point, in terms of the statements you know from Nicole Harris regarding her circumstances or her allegations regarding the interrogation and Diante Dancy's statements, you cannot say -- strike that.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

As you sit here today, you can't tell us whether Ms. Harris's confession was coerced or not. Is that fair to say?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Asked and answered

A. Right. I'm not an expert in coerced

O. And you can't say whether it was fabricated

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Asked and answered

A. No. I mean, the report seemed reliable. That's what I have -- my basis of that. And it matched the autopsy report.

Q. You're saying "the report," meaning the police officer's report?

A. Right. Her statements and the police report are consistent with the autopsy report or autopsy findings.

O. And those are the autopsy findings that you had shared with the detectives who you met with on May 15, 2005?

O. So her confession that was subsequently

Page 124

SCOTT DENTON

given after the autopsy that you conducted are consistent --

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question.

Q. -- with what you shared with the detectives?

A. That would seem to be true, ves.

Q. Now, in this case, was there any pathological or physical findings that -- okay. In

this case, you made a determination that Jaquari Dancy, while he was being suffocated by the elastic band, had vomited?

A. That was most consistent with what was on his sweatshirt, yes, vomit.

O. The pink vomit stains you found on his sweatshirt?

A. Yes.

Q. And that was also consistent with the -with his vein, the venous vein, being cut off?

A. It's consistent with, like, asphyxia. And it's also -- there's a nerve that runs in there called the vagus nerve; And if that gets compressed, that can cause vomiting.

So there's actually three things that run on each side. The jugular vein, carotid artery, and

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 32 of 63 PageID #:8516

SCOTT DENTON Page 126

SCOTT DENTON

Page 128

Page 125 vagus nerve all run together. So if they are compressed, that can cause vomiting. Q. Okay. So in this case, there was indications that you made that you believed Jaquari Dancy vomited while he was suffocating? A. Well, there's vomit on his sweatshirt that accompanied his body that I believe he was wearing, so yes. That would be most consistent, yes. O. Okav. And there was no evidence in this case to indicate that Jaquari Dancy bled from his nose while he was being suffocated? A I didn't see any blood in his nose. Q. And that's something you would have looked for? A. Sure. Q. And if he had -- if you had found blood in his nose, you would have noted that, correct? Q. And you didn't find any blood on his

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

sweatshirt or any other part of his clothes, correct?

And you've already testified you would have

A. I don't believe so, no.

noted that in your report as well?

2 indicate that Jaguari Dancy bled from his nose while 3 being suffocated? MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the question. A. I didn't see any evidence of it. O. In this case, is it possible that, while Jaquari was in the bedroom, he wrapped the elastic band around his neck and it caused him to lose oxygen, causing him to pass out and fall to the ground and die? Is that a possible way he could have died in 11 12 this case? 13 MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the form of the 14 question. 15 A. When you work with possibilities, I mean, almost anything is possible. I usually answer those 16 is it possible or likely or what degree of likeliness. 17 18 I never say anything is impossible. I mean, is it possible? Sure. Is it 19 20 likely, probably -- like I said, I have trouble 21 believing a 4-year-old could wrap that around his neck 22 tight enough to do that.

So there's no evidence whatsoever to

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

that the father saw him do this on another occasion,

Q. Well, in this case though, you have evidence

SCOTT DENTON

Page 127

correct?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

A. The father stated that, yes.

And you have evidence that his brother saw him do this?

A. That's what his brother says, yes.

O. And there's evidence from the father that he had to wrap the band from -- to get him away from the neck several times?

A. Yeah. I would have to get more information on that. That just seems -- it seems unlikely to me, just from my experience. I mean, that's why I said it could be possible. It just seems difficult to believe this child is wrapping things around his neck all the time like that.

MS. MOGUL: I want to take a break here for

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Now going off the record. The time is approximately 1:07 p.m.

> (Recess in proceedings from 1:07 to 1:19 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is approximately 1:19 p.m.

Q. On May 17, 2005, who were the members or the doctors who were part of the quality assurance

discussion? 1

24

2

4

6

9

10

1.1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. I don't know. There's no written record of

Q. There are no notes of the quality assurance meetings?

A. No.

Q. Back in June of 2005, prior to coming up with your final determination as to the manner -cause and manner of death, would you have wanted information regarding Nicole Harris's allegations regarding her interrogation to determine the accuracy of or the validity of that confession?

A. In June 2005? If I knew about her allegations, I probably would want -- I would want more information, I would say. Yes.

Q. But that's something you would want to have taken into account in determining whether her -- the confession would have influenced your change of the cause and manner of death determinations?

A. Right. It's one of the things about -- it would be one of the things I would take into consideration. Yes.

Q. Based on the information -- okay. Assuming the following information is true, that the father had

23 24

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 33 of 63 PageID #:8517

Page 129

seen Jaquari wrap the elastic band around his neck on previous occasions. --

- A. Okav.
- -- that he had seen the child put other things or had other things around his neck, --
- -- that Diante, his brother, saw him wrap the elastic band from the sheet around his neck --

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

10

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

- Q. -- and he believes that that's what caused his death, --
 - A Okav.
- Q. -- and that Nicole Harris said she did not kill her child and that she was coerced and fabricated into giving a confession. --
 - A. Okav.
- -- assuming all that is true, how would you rule the manner and cause of death in this case? MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Incomplete

hypothetical.

A. That's a good question. I would probably --I would probably leave it strangulation, since the ligature mark is horizontal. And then I would probably say undetermined, just because -- because

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

there's -- just because of the information. 2 Q. Okay. And so -- okay. 3 A. Because of conflicting information, I would say. I'm assuming the police report would still be Q. Meaning what? 6 A. Like the police report the police gave me as their report of the confession, I would have that; and I would have the information you just said that was 10 So I would -- if I have conflicting 11 12 information. I would say undetermined and leave it 13 open, or I wouldn't close it. I would leave it open 14 indefinitely. Q. Okay. But you would no longer rule it was a 15 homicide. You would say it's undetermined? 16 A. I would have to resolve the conflict between 17 18 those two stories before I could say anything, I would 20 MS. MOGUL: Okay. I tender the witness. MR. KAMIONSKI: Okay. Good afternoon, 21 22 Dr. Denton. 23 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 24 MR. KAMIONSKI: We've spoken before. My

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

name is Avi Kamionski, and I represent the individual officers.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR KAMTONSKT.

- I want to go through a few points that Ms. Mogul brought up and walk you through them. Okay?

 - Q. Let's go to page 10 of Exhibit 96Z.
 - A. Okav.
- That's the page in which you -- you talked about before where you indicated the -- where you marked the ligature marks around the neck, --
 - A Yes.
 - O. -- correct?

Am I correct you noted on the left side of the neck only one ligature mark from the bed sheet?

- Q. And on the other side, you noted a fainter type of ligature mark from presumably the bed sheet?
- Right. There was a line of petechiae. So there was a clear demarcation that there was pressure on the skin of the neck, but there was no abrasion or scraping of the skin.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 132

SCOTT DENTON

Page 130

And if you go lower down, you also noted that there was a gap in the ligature mark that surrounded the neck; is that correct?

1 2

4

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Q. I'm going to show you what's marked -- I'm going to mark this, actually, as Exhibit 100. It's going to be the back packet of what you've got there.

Just the pictures -- because you didn't use any pictures -- to make it easier.

Let me just -- let's separate the two documents. The top one is stapled; so it's a little clearer.

A. Okav.

Q. Keep that one open to page 10.

A. Okay.

O. And then --

MS. MOGUL: So you're marking this -- okay. MR. KAMIONSKI: What I want to do is --MS. MOGUL: Do you have a copy of that for

22 MR. KAMIONSKI: Yeah. You have it. MS. MOGUL: I -- not in the same order, and 23

it's going to be hard for me to find them because

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 34 of 63 PageID #:8518

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

9

10

1 1

12

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't have?

Citv 1479.

```
Page 133
you're not using this. You gave him a separate set of
                                                                                        I'm going to find it.
pictures, right?
                                                                            2
                                                                                          You would have taken a picture of the back
         MR. KAMIONSKI: No, no. It's your pictures.
                                                                            3
                                                                                of the neck, right?
         MS. MOGUL: Okav.
                                                                                     A. Yes.
         MR. KAMIONSKI: Your document was two
                                                                            5
                                                                                          I mean, 58 has a picture of his back and his
stapled documents. So I'm saying -- I thought, given
                                                                                head, but it's too dark to see anything.
the fact that you only -- you didn't use any of the
                                                                                     O. In your original file, do you have the
pictures in your Exhibit 96Z, I'm just going to make
                                                                                pictures as well or just the Kodachromes, the small
your back part of the exhibit, Exhibit 100.
         MS. MOGUL: No. It's a group exhibit, the
                                                                           10
                                                                                     A. I just have Kodachromes.
                                                                                          MR. KAMIONSKI: I'm going to show you on my
whole thing.
                                                                           11
                                                                                computer, and we'll print it out and mark it
         MR. KAMTONSKI: We can keep it as 96%.
                                                                           12
         MS. MOGUL: No, no. Okay, keep it at --
                                                                           13
                                                                                eventually as -- I'm going to mark a complete set as
yeah. I'm sorry. I thought you were giving him a
                                                                           14
                                                                                my own Exhibit 100 of the photographs from the
whole different set of pictures.
                                                                           15
                                                                                autopsy.
```

SCOTT DENTON

I actually have a feeling it's not included

Q. Can you find the pictures that show the gap

MR. KAMIONSKI: No. It's the same pictures.

in here

We're on the same page. This is the back part of 96Z.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

in the back of the neck?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

A. Actually, I don't see a picture, a close-up picture, of the back of the neck.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

MS. MOGUL: Okay. And I'm just going to

So did you get a set of photographs that we

MR. KAMIONSKI: No. It's Bates stamp number

MR. KAMIONSKI: I think you maybe -- I'm

marking something that was in the City production. It

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

say, for the record, I attached everything I got.

MS. MOGUL: Okay.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 134

Page 135 looks like you're using from the ME production. I'm not saying this is not complete. This is what we got. I'll show you the picture. You got it also. MS. MOGUL: Yeah, I think that that's this. MR. KAMIONSKI: Is it the same thing? MS. MOGUL: It's Bates numbered 25. MR. KAMIONSKI: Oh, you know what? It's my fault because I detached the documents. They were in multiple staple. It's actually stapled to this packet. 11 THE WITNESS: Oh, okav. MR. KAMIONSKI: The pictures aren't totally separated. Some of them are; some of them aren't. 13 14 THE WITNESS: That makes more sense. MR. KAMIONSKI: Let's keep them all stapled 1.5 16 together. So we're still on 96Z. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Now I see it, yes. 18 And there's a clear gap noted in the 19 picture; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And am I correct that that indicates that there was not -- the bed sheet was not wrapped tightly 23 around Jaquari's neck completely, throughout his

SCOTT DENTON Page 136 MS. MOGUL: Objection to form. Foundation. 2 That would be most consistent. It's either that, which is more likely, or that there's something between the ligature and the skin. Either that -- but it's most consistent that there's no pressure on that area of the neck. Q. And there is also less pressure indicated on the left side, correct? MS. MOGUL: Objection to the --Q. On the right side -- I apologize -- which is the other part of the --A. I believe that's -- yes. The right side of 13 the neck also has less pressure, yes. Q. And, in fact, you would agree with me that the -- your physical findings are not consistent with a bed sheet -- with the cord being wrapped around Jaquari's neck tightly multiple times? A. I would agree with that, yes. O. You would agree with me that the bed sheet cord -- strike that. The gap which is behind Jaquari's neck is most consistent with -- back up. Let me strike that. The bed sheet cord was not wrapped completely around his neck based on your physical

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

entire neck; is that correct?

24

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 35 of 63 PageID #:8519

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

2

6

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

Page 138

findings here, you agree with that, correct?

A. That would be consistent with what I see,

A. That would be consistent with what I see, yes, on his neck.

Q. There's nothing in your physical findings that show that it was wrapped around multiple times around his neck, correct?

A. There's nothing -- there's nothing that I can -- okay. Let me see if I understand. There's nothing that I see that shows it was wrapped around multiple times his neck.

Q. There's no medical findings that it was even wrapped around completely tightly one time; is that correct?

A. That is correct. There is no 360-degree complete ligature abrasion. There's a gap on the back of the right side of his neck.

Q. And medically, from a medical perspective, it would be impossible for Diante (sic) to strangle himself unless the cord was wrapped at least one time around his neck?

 $\label{eq:MS.MOGUL: Objection to the form and} \mbox{ foundation.}$

Q. Is that correct?

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I would think strangling himself would

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

require him to wrap it around his neck tightly and it not loosen up.

Q. The other alternative is if there's an opposing force on the cord; would that be correct?

A. Yes. I think I said that, yes.

Q. You have a picture -- you also took photographs of the actual -- let me back up.

So any stories that you've heard now about the cord being wrapped around multiple times around his neck, so witnesses seeing or Diante claiming that he saw it wrapped multiple times around his neck, that is not consistent with your medical findings; would that be correct?

MS. MOGUL: Objection to the form. That mischaracterizes Diante's statements, and it mischaracterizes evidence in the record.

Q. Let me make my question a little clearer. The medical -- the evidence that you saw in the photographs are inconsistent with a cord being wrapped -- with the elastic cord being wrapped around Diante's (sic) neck tight multiple times?

A. That is correct.

 ${\bf Q}.$ The cord itself -- I'd direct your attention to page -- 60 is the ME subpoena number 60.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 139

A. Okay.

 ${\bf Q}_{\star}$ $\,$ Am I correct that is a picture of the elastic band that you took that was dangling out of the sheet?

 $\label{eq:a.b.} \textbf{A.} \qquad \text{Yes.} \quad \text{The free band is laying on the sheet,}$ yes.

Q. When you say "free band," what you saw was that one end of the elastic was not -- no longer attached to the bed?

A. Yes

Q. It wasn't in any sort of a loop form, where it was attached at one side to the bed sheet and then back at the other side to the bed sheet; is that correct?

 ${\bf A.} \quad \ \mbox{I did not see that.}$

 ${f Q}$. Maybe we can call it like a shoelace, like the end of a shoelace. Would that be a fair representation?

A. That's fair, yes.

Q. Based on your experience, is it consistent with what you saw that it is even physically possible to wrap that cord around your neck one time by accident without it just falling off?

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Confusing.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 140

 $\label{eq:Q.Was} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Was there anything confusing about what I} \\ \text{just asked you?}$

A. I think I understand.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \qquad \mbox{Okay.} \quad \mbox{What's your understanding about what} \label{eq:Q.}$ I asked vou?

 ${\bf A.} \qquad \hbox{I don't think what you described is} \\$

Q. What is not possible?

A. That if you put a cord around your neck from my fingers -- from here to here -- and then stop here and then let it go and one end is free, that could not cause strangulation. That would just fall away unless there was traction on the free end.

Q. And traction -- in this case, you need traction on potentially both ends or just the free end? That's right?

A. (No response.)

Q. Would you agree that the single-line cord that is more pronounced on the left side versus the right side is consistent with somebody applying pressure by pulling on the cord around Jaquari's neck?

MS. MOGUL: I'm going to object to the form and mischaracterizes testimony.

A. I would say that the darker abrasion on the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 36 of 63 PageID #:8520

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 142

left side of the neck is consistent with greater force being applied opposite the neck. So the greater force would be coming from the back of his right side of his neck to cause that darker abrasion on the left side front.

Q. And you agree that the force is consistent with it coming from someone or some person -- some person pulling on it? That's consistent with that?

A. It is consistent with that, yes.

Q. Did you see any -- did you learn of any evidence in the room that was consistent with any sort of object, as opposed to another human being, be able to create this type of pressure?

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

A. No.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. There was a discussion about how much -- how angled was the ligature marks. Do you recall that discussion?

A. Yes.

 ${\bf Q.}$ Would you call this an angled ligature mark? ${\tt MS.\ MOGUL:} \ \ {\tt Objection} \ \ {\tt to} \ \ {\tt the} \ \ {\tt form} \ \ {\tt and}$ mischaracterization of the prior testimony.

A No.

Q. Is this -- is the ligature mark that -- have

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

you seen strangulation cases -- I mean hanging cases?

Have you reviewed autopsies of individuals who have been hanged?

A. Yes

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

1

2

4

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Q. And do you have experience in seeing what a common or typical hanging case looks like?

A. Yes

 ${f Q}$. Is the ligature marks in this case consistent with a typical hanging case?

A. No

Q. And why not?

A. I think I mentioned before, hanging deaths usually require a suspension point of the ligature that you can see on the neck. Either it's on the front side of the neck and it's an inverted V-shape, or it's on the back of the neck. And it's usually either upwards and backwards slanting, or it's upwards and forwards to the side slanting. And you can see the ligature mark on the skin or the neck -- on the skin of the face or the neck.

 ${\bf Q}$. And when you had indicated before there was -- I think you called it slight angle or slight angle based on the measurements of where the ligature marks

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 14

were. Is that angle significant to indicate that this is a hanging case?

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A.} & {\bf I} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A.} & {\bf I} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf would} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A.} & {\bf I} \end{$

Q. Not to get into any of the personal disputes you may have with Nancy Jones, with Dr. Jones, or get into -- because you mentioned -- you made a comment that you -- there were certain things you did not see eye to eye on with Dr. Jones. But you initially wrote it as a hanging in your first death certificate.

Would you agree that that was a mistake?

3 Vee

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ Do you wish you didn't write that the first time?

 ${\bf A.} \quad \mbox{I wish I had not.}$

 ${f Q}.$ It was only mentioned in the beginning. We had a discussion on the phone about petechiae. Do you recall that?

A. You asked me about petechiae, yes.

 ${\bf Q}_{\star}$. Does the -- the petechiae is shown -- can you pick a good photograph that demonstrates the petechiae?

A. Okay. Just going through them, picture 26 shows petechiae within the inner lip, the mucosa of

Page 144

SCOTT DENTON

the inner lip, those little red dots inside the mucosa, between the lip and the gum, around the gum.

Those little red dots are a good example of petechiae.

On 29 at the upper left corner, there's lots

On 29 at the upper left corner, there's lots of petechiae.

Q. I'm sorry. Can you go back to page 26?

A. Sure

 $\label{eq:Q. Can you just point to me which marks you are saying is the petechiae?}$

A. Sure. Looking at this picture, inside, so here's, like, the lower frenulum of the lip. So the lip is being pulled outward and downward, and these little red dots are all petechiae.

 \boldsymbol{Q}_{\star} . Can I ask you a question? What is this mark over here?

A. I describe that as, like, an abrasion or a superficial tear of the mucosa.

 $\label{eq:Q. Can you show me on your lip? Where is the $$\operatorname{\mathtt{mucosa?}}$$

20 **A.** It's inside the lip. So if I pulled my lip 21 down, it would be inside my lip.

 $\boldsymbol{\varrho}.$ Could that abrasion in the lip -- you would call it an abrasion?

A. Yes.

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 37 of 63 PageID #:8521

SCOTT DENTON
Page 145

SCOTT DENTON

Q. Consistent with being pressure towards the -- like from contact with the teeth?

 ${\bf A.}$ $\;$ That's what it's consistent with, yes.

Q. If Jaquari was lying flat on the floor with his face down and there was pressure being pulled behind him with the cord and his head was being pushed down, could that abrasion have been caused -- could that abrasion have occurred from his face being pushed into the floor and his teeth pushing into his lips there?

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. The abrasion is fresh, correct?

Δ Voc

Q. I'm sorry. I cut you off.
Where are the petechiae?

A. I was just -- there's other pictures.

 ${\bf Q}_{\star}$. There was one -- can you find the one that -- it's on the side of the head where all the dots are

A. On the face is 53. That shows the petechiae on his forehead and his eyelids. Also, his total facial picture on 56 also shows petechiae on his face.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad$ Do you find significance in -- would you

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

-

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

1

2

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 $\label{eq:Q.Do} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Do you find this much petechiae in a hanging case?}$

A. No

Q. Why not?

A. Petechiae of this degree requires that the jugular vein be compressed and that the carotid artery is still patent. So pressure is still flowing into the head, but the blood cannot drain.

It shows what I would call a large amount of differential pressure in the head. The capillaries are bursting from being unable to drain.

Q. Would the -- why would the -- why could you -- why in this type of scenario would the jugular vein and the carotid not, for lack of a better term, go at the same time?

A. Because it requires more pressure to occlude the carotid artery than it does the jugular vein. So the jugular vein will occlude and compress. In my experience, in strangulation cases, the jugular vein usually stays compressed; and then, through movement or struggle or the ligature coming off or on or the forearm on the neck coming off or on, the carotid will

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 147

put pressure up into the head while the jugular vein cannot drain. So you get this differential pressure, and that's when you get the petechiae.

 ${\bf Q.}$. The case you talked about, the lanyard death, --

A. Yes.

Q. -- the reason the kid accidentally -- that's a hanging? Do you recall that case?

A. Yes.

Q. In that case, there's opposing force of the lanyard. There's not just one loose --

 ${\bf A.}$ Right. The lanyard was suspended and tight above him; so it was an upwards and backwards slanting ligature abrasion.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \qquad \mbox{I want to direct you to page 11 of your} \\ \mbox{report; so we're going away from the pictures.} \\$

 ${\bf A.} \qquad \hbox{Okay.} \quad \hbox{The investigator's report?}$

Q. Yes.

A. Okay.

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$. This is the -- this is the information that you are provided prior to doing the autopsy. Would that be fair?

A. Yes, that's fair.

Q. And you knew nothing else about the case

Page 148

SCOTT DENTON

prior to doing the autopsy other than what you read in this report?

A. That is correct.

Q. So any conclusions that you're reaching prior to speaking to the police officers is just based on this report and your autopsy?

A. Yes

Q. If you look at the third paragraph, --

A. Okay

 ${\bf Q.}$ -- am I correct that you were not informed that Sta-Von had claimed that the bed sheet was even wrapped around his neck once?

 ${\bf A}.$ It doesn't say that here; so I would not have known that.

 ${\bf Q.}$ Right. The word "wrap" does not appear on this -- on the report, correct?

A. Right. The closest it says is "There's a piece of elastic material from a blue sheet around his neck."

Q. So you don't know that there was a story -there was an allegation that it was wrapped around multiple times tight?

 ${\bf A}. \quad \mbox{ At this time, the day of the autopsy, I did} \\ \mbox{ not know that.}$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 38 of 63 PageID #:8522

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

1

2

8

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON
Page 149

SCOTT DENTON
Page 150

Q. If you had known that, that would be inconsistent with your findings, correct?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

11

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Q. So you don't -- so you don't really have a lot to go on other than what's here when you're making your initial determination?

A. That's true.

 ${\tt Q.} \quad \hbox{And you talked about pulling the death} \\$ certificate happens frequently?

 $\label{eq:A.Maybe not frequently, but it happens} $$\operatorname{\textsc{commonly}}$.$

Q. What do you mean by that?

A. Like a death certificate will be filled out -- the procedure was: You would do the autopsy, then handwrite out the death certificate, and then it would be -- when I was there, it was just -- it was put on your workstation, and then the intake attendant would circulate around and pick them up during the day, the autopsies. And he would pick them up.

And if more information came through or, at the 2:00 meeting, if there was a consensus that your cause of death maybe wasn't accurate or it needed more information, then they would say, "Okay, go pull that death certificate and make it pending" or "Change it."

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Q. Which leads to my next question. The fact that there was a change here in the death certificate, that wasn't some out-of-the-normal protocol that happened at the Cook County Medical Examiner's Office? MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

A. No, not at all.

 $\boldsymbol{Q}. \hspace{0.5cm} \text{I want to go to your report, page 3 of the report.}$

A. Okay.

Q. Could you walk through and describe to me on the body the multiple abrasions that you found, I guess starting --

MS. MOGUL: Objection to the form.

MR. KAMIONSKI: Let me finish my question, and then you can make your objection.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{You testified that you had found multiple} \\ \mbox{indications of blunt force trauma?} \\$

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form and it mischaracterizes his testimony.

20 **Q.** Did you say that you found some blunt force
21 -- evidence of blunt force trauma when you were
22 answering questions from Ms. Mogul?

A. Yes

2. Am I mischaracterizing what you said?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 151

A. I don't think so.

Q. And you indicated that those were from mber 3 to number 7?

A. I would say number 2 through number 7 are evidence of other injury that's classified as blunt trauma.

Q. Can you walk through with me where -describing each one of those injuries and where they are located on the body?

A. Sure. Number 2 was what we saw on the picture, on the inner lip, that abrasion that was in the intraoral mucosa, that was 0.25 at one point -- I'm sorry -- 0.15 inch.

So those are superficial abraded impression marks to the intraoral mucosa. So there's two of them. One is a quarter of an inch and one is 0.15 inch.

 $$\operatorname{And}$ the next one, on the right lower back, there's a red abrasion that's small. It's 0.15 inch.

And then on the left side of the chest $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$

Q. Can you -- I'm sorry. Can we do it with the pictures, like a show-and-tell? As you're talking about it, let me know which picture is going to indicate and where on the picture it indicates what

SCOTT DENTON

Page 152

you're saying.

A. Okay. I think whatever picture we looked at before with the petechiae on the lip, that also showed an abrasion. That would be picture 26. So that shows the abrasion on the lower lip. That looks like that's about a quarter of an inch.

On the right lower back --

Q. And there was a second one at 0.15 inches?

A. There's another one, yes. I'm not sure which picture that would be in. There might be another picture of the lips and another picture of —the upper and lower lips are usually photographed separately.

I would say number 40 looks like the upper lip frenulum. Again, you can see the petechiae. And then, right between my fingers there's, like, a red abrasion or red petechia. Looks like an abrasion to me. It's focal. That's probably the other one.

Q. That's this dot over here?

A. Ye

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$. Just for the record, that's above the -- what do you call the piece in the mouth?

A. The frenulum?

Q. Frenulum.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 39 of 63 PageID #:8523

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

5

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 154

A. Frenulum, yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

O. I think we're on to number 3.

A. Number 3, on the right lower back, there's a red abrasion. I believe that is shown on picture -- it's hard to see. It's red on red. I believe it's 38. Yes, picture 38. That's the red abrasion that's on the back.

A. Not with this picture, no, because it's a close-up view.

The next ones, 5 and 6, are over the right and left upper shoulders. That's after the body was filleted and opened. There's two areas of bruising over the right and left shoulders. That would be in a picture that shows the muscle tissues of his upper front body.

I believe 30 is one of them. The picture is -- the picture is kind of dark. Where I'm indicating with my pen, that would be in this area right here, within this muscle over the humeral head. Right here.

Q. Right here?

MS. MOGUL: Can you show that to the camera?

A. So this is a picture -- again, this is

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

called "filleting." It's when you look for deep muscle tissue bruising. And this looks like -- this is his arm, and this is the shoulders, most likely the left shoulder. And this dark area would be the bruise I described on his left shoulder.

 ${f Q}$. Is this the front of him or the back of him?

A. I don't know just from looking at this picture because I don't know the orientation. It's hard to see. They're all a little dark.

 $\boldsymbol{Q}.$ If you look at his ear, can you tell by his ear in that picture?

MS. MOGUL: Objection to the form.

 $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_*$. Does his ear tell you anything? Is that his ear?

 $\label{eq:A.Intro} \textbf{A.} \quad \mbox{I'm sorry.} \quad \mbox{Which picture was it again?} \quad \mbox{I} \\ \mbox{lost my place.}$

O. 30.

A. Yeah, that may be the back of his right ear. But the way the tissue was cut, I can't honestly tell from this picture. It could be a flap of the tissue from the filleting. But it might be -- it might be his back. I can't tell from this picture.

 ${\bf Q.}$. Does the front of the body look like that if you cut it open with the heart?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 155

A. No. Actually, this is -- this is -- you are right. This is the back. This is the back of his right shoulder. So that is the back of the right shoulder over the humeral head; so you are correct.

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$. Now, you noted in the report that you -- the intramuscular hemorrhaging -- the hemorrhaging -- strike that.

 $\label{eq:control_state} \mbox{Is the hemorrhaging only -- you can only} \\ \mbox{note the -- strike that.}$

You are only noting the hemorrhaging after the fillet? Before the fillet, you didn't see any indication of bruising on the shoulder, only afterwards?

A. That's correct.

Q. What is -- what does that indicate to you?

A. It just indicates -- first of all, it indicates the fact that not all trauma is seen externally. People bump themselves, and they get bruises that are deep all the time, and you don't see any external evidence.

So intramuscular hemorrhage just means that the bruise was sustained to a part of that body and that the tissue damage or the capillaries broke deeply inside and not on the surface. So there's no abrasion SCOTT DENTON

Page 156

or scraping, but there's just blunt direct trauma to that area.

 $\label{eq:Q.Def} \textbf{Q.} \qquad \text{Can you tell how long $-$-$ how old the bruise}$ is?

A. It looked recent to me. There's no scientific way to say exactly how old it is. All I can say is whether it appears recent or healing, and it appears recent.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{If Jaquari sustained a bruise while he was} \\ \mbox{being killed and he died -- is it possible that he} \\ \mbox{died before the bruise manifested itself on the skin?} \\$

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ MOGUL: Objection to the form of that question and grossly unfair.

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Yes.} \quad \text{You can sustain a deep bruise that} \\$ doesn't come to the surface for sometimes days.

 $\label{eq:Q.problem} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Would death prevent it from then coming to} \\$ the surface?

A. Right. Because when you die, all that process stops. So there's no -- there's no migrating of the bruise or healing that goes on after death.

Q. I think we're up to number 6.

A. Number 6 is just, looks like, the opposite side of the body. I'm looking for a picture that looks like that on the opposite side.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 40 of 63 PageID #:8524

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

4

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

I would say 23 is that picture. So this is the left shoulder area where the head of the humerus would be because here's the -- actually, that's the right. That is the right -- no. I'm sorry. That's the left back. You are correct.

So that's the left, right here, and this is the intramuscular hemorrhage that's darker. So all the muscle is red-brown, and this area is the hemorrhage. I believe the left was smaller, which is consistent with that. And it is. It's 1 inch. So it's a smaller hemorrhage in the left head of the humerus.

Q. Both number 5 and number 6, do they appear to you to be parallel bruising injuries?

A. Yes. They're kind of symmetrical, I would call them

Q. Symmetrical. Do they -- based on your review of the body, are they consistent with both occurring at the same time?

A. They look similar in age, yes.

Q. And just like you described, the right one could have bruised and not come to the surface before death. The left could also be in that -- the left is also a potentially type of bruise that could have

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 158

surfaced at one point, but death for sure would have stopped it from ever surfacing?

MS. MOGUL: Objection to the form.

- $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Right. Death would prevent any movement or} \\$ healing or reaction to that bruise, further.
 - Q. Okay. Number 7.
- A. Number 7 is the right trapezius muscle in the upper back, and that's a small hemorrhage. That's 3/10 of an inch. So that would be a picture of the right back after the filleting. And the trapezius muscle is kind of on the side. It's one of the side muscles on the back.

Okay. 64 is the picture number. It's a close-up photograph of that hemorrhage. And right below where my thumb and finger are, that dark area, that's bruising of the muscle, of the trapezius.

Q. Is that a different bruise? Is number 7 different than number 3, or is it the same bruise?

I'm sorry. Number 3 was something you visualized on the outside, and number 7 is an internal one; but they are both in the right -- oh, no. That

A. Yes. So that's the trapezius muscle.

one is in the right upper back. I apologize.

Q. How close is the trapezius muscle to the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 159

humeral head?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

A. It's -- I mean, it's in the same region.
It's fairly close. I mean, I'd have to -- honestly,
I'd have to look again at an anatomy book to refresh my memory. It's fairly close.

 $\label{eq:Q.problem} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{It's somewhere -- it's before the midline of the back?}$

A. Yes.

Q. You were asked a lot of questions about conversations you had with the police?

A. Okay. Yes.

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$. As a medical examiner, is it common to have conversations with the police?

A. Yes.

 $\label{eq:Q.Def} \textbf{Q.} \qquad \text{There's nothing out of the ordinary of the}$ police coming to the autopsy?

A. No. It's actually -- in the Medical Examiner's Office when I was there, there was a small -- they call it Liaison Department of the Chicago Police. So the Chicago Police had officers stationed in the Medical Examiner's Office.

Q. When the police asked you to hold off for the investigation, was that part of office policy to do that?

SCOTT DENTON
Page 160

A. Yes.

 $oldsymbol{Q}$. You weren't doing the police any special

 $\hbox{\bf A.} \quad \hbox{No. Absolutely not. I would do that for anyone. Even if a family member called and said to hold off, we would hold off. We would -- I would -- I would do what I did.$

 ${f Q}$. Would you agree that, based on the marks on Diante's (sic) neck, that his death is most consistent with a homicide by another individual?

 $\label{eq:MS_MS_MSGUL:} \text{ Object -- well, objection to the} \\ \text{form. Foundation.}$

Q. I'll rephrase that.

Would you agree that based on the injury on his neck, the ligature mark on his neck, that the injury he sustained to his neck -- strike that.

It's undisputed that he was strangled.

18 Would you agree with that?

A. Right. The findings are most consistent with -MS. MOGUL: Objection. I'm sorry, let me --

I'm going to object to the form and foundation on that question.

Q. You agree that the findings are most

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 41 of 63 PageID #:8525

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

4

6

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

Page 161

A. Yes.

consistent with strangulation?

Q. Irrespective of whether Nicole Harris confessed or didn't confess to the crime, would you agree that the findings are consistent with a strangulation?

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} {\bf A}. & {\tt Yes.} & {\tt The findings are consistent with strangulation.} \end{tabular}$

Q. Not considering Nicole Harris's confession at all, even if she never confessed, you would agree that the findings are consistent with strangulation?

A. Yes.

11

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

1 1

13

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

Q. Now, not considering a confession by Nicole Harris, would you also agree that the findings are most consistent with a manual strangulation by someone or something?

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

Q. I'll rephrase that.

Would you agree that, based on your review of the body and the ligature marks on the neck, that that is -- that strangulation is most consistent to be caused by another person?

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$. Irrespective of the confession.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON
Page 162

A. I would say, just based on the ligature mark on the neck and that gap and the elastic cord causing that ligature mark, it's most consistent with another person's help, yes.

Q. Have you ever in your career seen a case -in your career, have you ever seen a case in which
there was a ligature mark consistent with this where
it was not caused by another individual?

 $\label{eq:MS.MOGUL:Objection} \mbox{ MS. MOGUL: Objection to the form and } \mbox{ foundation.}$

A. With that gap, it's most consistent with the cord being held away from the back of the neck almost horizontally or slightly upwards. And that's -- and I've never seen anything that wasn't caused by another person causing that pattern.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ And you know that the cord is not -- is free one end, correct?

18 **A.** That's what I was told, and that's what I 19 was shown, yes.

20 Q. You saw it? You photographed it?

A. Yes.

Q. You measured it?

A. I did

Q. You touched it?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 163

A. Yes.

Q. The only way -- you'd agree the only way -- to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, the only way that cord could have caused the injuries on that neck is if it was being held by another person?

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

 ${\bf A}. \hfill I$ would say that that's most consistent. That's the most consistent explanation for that injury. Yes.

 $\boldsymbol{\varrho}.$ Would you say that to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty?

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \quad \mbox{\bf Based on the ligature mark and knowing what} \\ \mbox{\bf caused it, I would say yes.}$

Q. Based on the ligature mark, based on the gap, and based on the cord that you determined caused the injury?

 ${\bf A}. \quad \mbox{To a reasonable -- to a scientific -- to a} \\ \mbox{reasonable medical degree of certainty?}$

Q. Yeah.

MS. MOGUL: Objection. Form. Foundation.

A. I would say, to that degree of certainty, that that injury pattern on the neck with that ligature is most consistent with being fixed at both ends, and that would require another person. SCOTT DENTON

Page 164

Q. You'd also agree to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that the cord was not wrapped around the neck tight multiple times?

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \quad \mbox{I see no evidence of that; so I would have} \\ \mbox{to agree with that.}$

Q. Will you also state to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty and medical certainty that this case was strangulation and not a hanging?

A. Yes, it is a strangulation.

Q. Before we talked, you had talked about getting -- being contacted by a student from Northwestern?

A. Yes.

O. We talked about that on the phone?

A. Yes. You asked me about emails, and I said, "Well, there was -- I think there was an email, but then they followed up by a phone call."

Q. You couldn't recall her name when you were being asked questions, but does the name Anna Bisaro refresh your recollection as the person that was contacting you about this case?

A. What I did is I went back through my emails. I just searched through the emails for Northwestern, and that was -- there was one email that came up and

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 42 of 63 PageID #:8526

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

only one, and that was her name. But I -- but I -- there's no email associated with that name. It's just in the list. So that's -- so I did get an email from an Anna Bisaro.

Q. Does hearing the name Anna Bisaro -- does that refresh your recollection as the person that both emailed and called you about this case?

A. I mean, it would be most consistent, but I can't say for sure that it was her. It could have been another Northwestern journalism student.

Q. And you were definitely called about the Nicole Harris case?

A. Well, I mean, the person said, you know, I changed my opinion, and they were going to write an article about it. I was fairly certain, you know, it was this case. It's the only case I could remember where that caused that kind of, you know, kind of scrutiny.

 \mathbf{Q} . And then you were shown Exhibit 95Z, which was an email that was sent to you. Do you recall that?

A. Yes. I read it, yes.

Q. You don't recall receiving the email?

A. I don't.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 166

Q. You're not saying you never received it?

A. I don't know if I received it or not. I don't recall ever seeing it or reading it, and I don't believe I responded to it.

Q. Have you had other cases in which lawyers have contacted you to try to get you to change your opinion?

A. Sure.

 $\label{eq:MS.MOGUL:Objection} \mbox{ MS. MOGUL: Objection to the form and } \mbox{ foundation.}$

Q. Has that happened -- I'll withdraw that. You agree -- I think you testified the confession, Nicole Harris's confession that you read -- did you actually read the transcript of the confession or just the report by the police officers?

A. I think there was a summary. Like the last part of her confession, I believe, was given to me, a transcript of it.

 $\label{eq:Q.} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{And that $--$ what you read was consistent}$ with your findings?

A. Right. The police report that I was given and I believe that transcript, it was consistent with the autopsy.

MR. KAMIONSKI: We can take a break.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 167

 $\label{the condition} \mbox{THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off the record. The time is approximately 2:15 p.m.}$

(Recess in proceedings from 2:15 to 2:29 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of recording number 3 of the videotaped deposition of Dr. Scott Denton. We are now going on the record. The time is approximately 2:29 p.m. BY MR. KAMIONSKI:

 ${f Q}$. Dr. Denton, I want to go back to the discussion about Anna Bisaro, the Northwestern

A. Okay.

student.

Q. You indicated that she had contacted you by phone?

A. Right. I believe -- it's most consistent that it's her that contacted, but it was definitely a Northwestern journalism student.

Q. What I'm trying to get at is: What was your understanding of what she wanted you to do and what she was trying to get you to do? And what was your impression about it?

 $\label{eq:MS.MOGUL:Objection:form, foundation, speculation.} \\ \text{MS. MOGUL: Objection: form, foundation,} \\$

Page 168

SCOTT DENTON

A. I mean, she wanted me to talk to her. That was her main thing. And she was trying to, you know, basically say, you know, there's unfavorable things about you, and you changed your opinion on a case. If you don't talk to me, it's going to look really bad.

And I'm going to write an article; so you need to get your side of the story out.

Q. Did you feel like she was trying to intimidate you?

A. I felt that way, yes.

Q. Did you feel like she was threatening you?

A. Well, you know, saying, "I'm going to write an article, and it's going to be bad about you," that seemed a little bit threatening to me.

Q. Were you offended by it?

A. I was more irritated. Not really offended. I've dealt with the Innocence Project Northwestern students before. So I wasn't offended. I was more irritated.

 $\label{eq:mr. KAMIONSKI:} \mbox{ I have no further questions.} $$ MR. FLYNN: \mbox{ I have just a couple of quick} $$ questions, Joey.$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 43 of 63 PageID #:8527

2

5

6

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

1

2

10

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 169

SCOTT DENTON Page 170

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR FLYNN.

O. Going back to when you held off on determining the cause of death because the officers asked you to, approximately how many times have you done that in total? Let me rephrase. I'm sorry.

Approximately how many times have you held off on determining the cause of death for any reason at all, not just police officers asking you to?

A. Oh, it's extremely common. It was almost weekly. Either pending police investigation or pending further studies or just pending.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

11

13

14

1.5

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And a few minutes ago, you said that if a family member were to call you and ask you to hold off on determining the cause of death, you would do it for that reason as well. Do you remember saying that?
- A. Right. If they had -- if it was reasonable. If they said, you know, "We don't -- we don't think this is really, you know -- we don't think this is really a heart attack. We think they were doing drugs" or something or, you know, something that would delay it for more information, I would do that, sure.
- O. And what other reasons are there other than the police asking you or a potential family member

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

calling you where you would hold off on determining the cause of death?

3 MS. MOGUL: Objection: form, foundation, 4 vaque.

MR. FLYNN: Let me rephrase.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

- Q. What other reasons have you held off in determining the cause of death? What other reasons have caused you to do that?
- 10 A. If we need to do a scene investigation, like if we need to go to the scene for a child death. Toxicology studies are most common, waiting for 13 toxicology results.

MR. FLYNN: Nothing further.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS MOGIIL.

O. You were asked a series of questions by -wait. Strike that.

You said that, with respect to this 19 2.0 Northwestern Medill student, that you went through 21 vour email.

And did you find the email?

- - So what exactly did you find?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

- I found a contact of Northwestern. It was, like, Northwestern.edu or something like that that was -- that came up with her name. But there was no email; so I must have deleted it.
- Q. Okay. And you have no idea as you sit here today whether that was the person who contacted you from the Medill School of Journalism about this case?
- A. No. I can't say specifically who it was. No. It was just a young -- it was a young woman.
- Q. So when she asked you questions about this case, she said, "I want to hear your side of the story," right?

 - Q. Why didn't you respond?
- A. It was kind of her manner. She basically said, you know, "There's unfavorable things about you. You changed your opinion. I'm writing this story; and, you know, you need to get your side of the story out."
- And that's -- that's immediately when I just -- I was like, "No." In my experience, that's a trap. So I just say, "No comment. No thank you."
- Q. Well, did it occur to you that maybe she was young and inexperienced and didn't know how to ask the

SCOTT DENTON

Page 172

question of vou?

- A. I never assumed that with the Northwestern Medill or Innocence Project students, that they were young and inexperienced.
- Q. Well, when this person called you, you had no idea they were asking you about the Nicole Harris case, did you?
- A. No. That's the only case -- that's true. That's the only case I could think of where I changed my opinion that caused, I guess, this kind of scrutiny, I quess.
- Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you don't even know if this person was calling you specifically about the Nicole Harris case?
- A. Right. She did not say Nicole Harris. She just said, you know, "the case that you changed your opinion on, that was -- that made you look bad."
- Q. Okay. Turning your attention back to Exhibit 96, you were asked a series of questions regarding what injuries you found on Jaguari Dancy's body?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. With respect to those injuries that you detailed on page 3 -- 2 through 7, right?

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 44 of 63 PageID #:8528

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

6

9

10

1.1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 174

Page 173 A. Yes. You cannot tell us when those injuries were caused, right? A. Right. I can't say exactly. All I can say is they're recent, but I cannot put a specific time on O. So "recent" could be within a week of his death? A. Usually within a day, I would say. That's 10 the max, maximum. Q. Okay. But you don't know it was caused 11 during the course of his death? 12 13 A. No, I don't. It could have, and it could not have, right? 15 Q. Okay. You don't know how these injuries 16 were caused? 17 18 A. No, I don't. Q. You don't know whether the injuries were 19 caused if he, let's say, bumped into a cabinet, right? 21 A. I mean, it's possible that he could bump into a cabinet. It's probably not likely with those injuries, but it's possible. Q. Okay. What's more likely then for the cause 24

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

of the injuries to his chest or to his shoulders? 2 A. Well, the injuries on his -- I mean, just going through them, I mean 2 through 7, I mean, 3 possibly --Q. I guess my question is: It's all possibilities, right? A. Right. What they are: It's documenting injuries, and then you need a context. Is it consistent or inconsistent? So you could throw out dozens of scenarios and say, "Is it consistent or inconsistent?" 11 12 O. Right. But as you sit here today, you can't 13 tell us whether one scenario is more possible than the 14 15 A. I can say what's more likely than another or if it's consistent or inconsistent That's all I 16 17 18 Q. Well, could any of these injuries have been caused from him falling? 19

The injuries on, like, the backs of his

A. The one, I would say, on the back, on the

right lateral back, that abrasion could be from

falling on something, yes. That could be from

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 175

shoulders, so the humeral heads, if he fell and hit his shoulders on something hard, a floor or a wall, that would be consistent with that.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \qquad \mbox{\bf Okay.} \ \mbox{\bf Now, you testified at Nicole Harris's} \\ \mbox{\bf trial in this case, right?}$

A. Yes.

Q. And you were asked this question, and you gave this answer. "Do you know if there are any signs of abuse or neglect present on his body when you were performing your autopsy?

"Answer: Except for the marks on the neck, $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ did not see any."

A. Okay.

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

 $\label{eq:Q. You were asked that question; you gave that} \label{eq:Q. You were asked that question; you gave that}$ answer?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you stick by that today?

A. Sure. Yes.

 ${f Q}$. Now, I just want to get some terms clear with respect to hanging versus strangulation.

A. Sure.

Q. Okay? The term hanging describes -- let me ask this: Do you dispute that the term hanging describes an asphyxia event resulting from the SCOTT DENTON

Page 176

constriction of the neck as a result of suspension in such a manner that the weight of the body or part of the body pulls on the ligature?

 $\pmb{\lambda}.$ That sounds fairly reasonable. I agree with that.

Q. Do you dispute that the term strangulation is used to refer to asphyxia caused by a ligature in such a manner that the force acting upon it is exerted only by the ligature and not by the full or partial weight of the body?

 ${f A}$. I've never heard that definition before. It seems reasonable.

Q. Okay. If that's reasonable, isn't it fair to say that if someone dies of strangulation, that doesn't mean that it was intentionally caused by a human being?

A. No. Strangulation is usually -- the way I define strangulation, it's a horizontal ligature mark on the neck. And, actually, the weight of the body can be exerted upon a ligature. Especially if a person is kneeling or falling forward while they're being strangled, their own body will exert; so it's not just the ligature itself all the time.

So that's how I would define strangulation,

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 45 of 63 PageID #:8529

Page 177

SCOTT DENTON

as a horizontal ligature mark around the neck.

2

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

21

11

13 14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O. Right. And so it's about the way that the neck is -- the way that the ligature marks are made on the neck, not by how it was caused?

A. Right. Hanging is a -- to me, hanging implies both specific findings on the neck and also how the body is found, you know, like I found them hanging. That is also -- that's -- it describes the scene and also describes what you're going to see on

If someone is strangled, usually, you know, it's either manual strangulation or ligature strangulation or compressional asphyxiation or a choke hold or something of that nature.

So there's -- I guess that's how I would define them

Q. Okay. What do you mean when you say "manual strangulation"?

A. Hands around the neck or hands on the neck.

Q. Well, okay. Let's just say I want to -let's just say, for example, someone is in a kneeling position, and they have a rope around their neck -right? -- and it's tied or fixed to something, and they fall forward and they never get up.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 178

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

That would be a strangulation?

Yes. If the ligature is around the neck and it's horizontal, to me that would be a ligature strangulation, yes.

Q. And that would be not caused by anyone, but by the position of the body in relation to the rope or string or whatever it may be?

Q. Do you dispute that the physical appearance of victims of asphyxia can vary widely regardless of whether the cause is accidental or intentional?

A. Yes.

You dispute that?

No. I'm sorry. I agree with that.

Q. Okay. Do you dispute that the course of the ligature around the neck leaves markings, with the usual appearance being a groove with the deepest point opposite the neck, the so-called "inverted V"?

A. In a hanging injury, I agree with that.

21 Q. Okay. But you're saying that couldn't 22 happen in strangulation?

23

A. Usually the inverted V is, I would call, not strangulation. I would call that a hanging.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 179

Do you dispute that it's not necessary for the ligature to completely surround the neck in order for someone to die of asphyxiation?

A. I do not dispute that. I agree with it.

Q. Are you aware of instances where children have been killed as a result of open-loop injuries?

Do you dispute that fatal pediatric asphyxiation can occur when the ligature involved is not a closed loop?

I guess I would have to know a specific example of that because I'm trying to imagine how that could happen.

Q. Okay. Okay. Well, there's an instance where an 8-month-old male was wrapped in a lamp cord in a motel room and was found on the floor not breathing after the mother had fallen asleep with possible alcohol intoxication. The child died.

A An 8-month-old?

O. Yes.

With a cord wrapped around its neck?

Q. Well, no. It was with a lamp cord.

A lamp cord wrapped around its neck?

Not wrapped, but a lamp cord around his

Page 180

SCOTT DENTON

neck.

1

2

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

A. Okay. I'd have to know more about that. That seems -- an 8-month-old, that's unusual. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't assume that's an accident.

O. You wouldn't assume that was an accident?

No. I would want to know how an 8-month-old could do that, I mean, if it's an open loop. But that's unusual.

Q. Okay. So in that case -- okay. So in that case, there was a full investigation, and the police concluded the child died as a result of neglect and lack of supervision. Would you disagree with those findings?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical.

A. No. I'd want to read that case report. I think that would be interesting to know.

Q. Okay. So there was a case where a 13-year-old male was found with a rope wrapped around his neck. One end of the rope was tied to a tree near a trampoline. The child had previously engaged in play involving a rope and the trampoline. The child was found cold and not breathing with a neck abrasion. The child -- a code was attempted, but the child died.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 46 of 63 PageID #:8530

SCOTT DENTON

Page 181

A. Okay.

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. Incomplete
hypothetical.

Q. Do you believe that dispute -- do you
believe that could have been accidentally caused?

A. Sure. If the rope is wrapped around his

neck and then it cannot free itself, sure, that's possible.

 ${\bf Q.} \qquad \hbox{Have you read any studies regarding}$ pediatric asphyxiation?

A. Not that I can recall.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

11

13

1.5

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Have you yourself conducted any studies regarding pediatric asphyxiation?

A. Other than that case report, I haven't studied them or reviewed the literature, no.

Q. When you say "that case report," that's the one with the lanyard and the child who was hanging from the ceiling fan?

A. Right. Yes.

Q. So that's the only case you can recall that you've ever worked on regarding any asphyxiation of a child beyond the Jaquari Dancy case?

 $\boldsymbol{A}.$ That I can recall sitting here, yes, that's true.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON
Page 182

Q. Okay. Turning your attention back to the

A. Okay.

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. That's the injury you describe on page 3.2, that there was a superficial abraded impression mark on the upper intraoral mucosa?

A. Let me see. I would say it's one of them, because there's two. There's one that's a quarter of an inch, and there's one that's 0.15 inch. So I would say, of the pictures, it shows two of those areas on the lip. So that -- that would be one of them.

Q. Could those injuries have been caused if Jaquari Dancy fell on the ground?

A. Yes

Q. And that could have been if he accidentally tripped and fell on the ground?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, it's your -- it's your testimony today in response to Mr. Kamionski's questions that your physical findings indicate that the elastic band was not wrapped multiple times around Jaquari Dancy's neck; is that correct?

 $\hbox{\bf A.} \quad \hbox{\bf Right.} \quad \hbox{\bf Based on the autopsy findings, I see} \\ \hbox{\bf one line from his back -- going across the front of}$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 183

his neck and then kind of fading off on the back of the right side. So I only see one line. I don't see multiple wrapped lines.

Q. Okay. And you in fact -- is it your testimony that you don't see the elastic band going -doing a 360 around his entire neck? That's what you told Mr. Kamionski?

A. That's true. I don't see that either. And that's what the diagrams show, that it fades on the back of the right side of the neck.

Q. Okay. So in this case -- I'm going to direct your attention to what's been previously marked as Exhibit No. 75?

A. Okay.

Q. That is the copy of the transcript of Nicole Harris's confession that you were given by members of the Chicago Police Department and you reviewed prior to coming up with your final determination as to the cause and manner of death.

A. Okay.

Q. That's correct, right?

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} {\bf A}. & {\tt I} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{lll} {\tt B} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{lll} {\tt B}$

Q. Well, when you say "summary," what does that

SCOTT DENTON

Page 184

mean?

1

2

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I mean I -- you know, purportedly there's 26 hours or 25 hours of -- I never received 26 hours of transcripts of an interrogation.

Q. Right. Right. You never -- Okay. You just read what's in this transcript, which was the final confession in the case?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{Yes.} \quad \text{I believe the final confession is a} \\$ good way to put it. Yes.

Q. Right. And so you read this exhibit, Exhibit No. 75?

A. Yes. I believe I did, yes.

Q. And I'm going to ask you to turn your attention to page 15 of that exhibit.

A. Okay.

 $\label{eq:ms.mogul: I don't know if I've got another copy of that.} % \begin{center} \begin{ce$

MR. KAMIONSKI: This one? The confession?
MS. MOGUL: Yeah.

MR. KAMIONSKI: I've got it.

Q. Did you have a chance to read page 15?

A. Yes

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \qquad \mbox{Okay.} \quad \mbox{So let me -- let me read it.} \quad \mbox{Here it} \\ \mbox{says that Ms. Harris was asked what kind of string it} \\$

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 47 of 63 PageID #:8531

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

4

6

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

SCOTT DENTON

Page 185 was. Elastic. She was asked what she did with it She said she put it around his neck. She was asked, "How many times did you do that?" And she said, "About four, maybe." And she then indicated that after she wrapped it around his neck four times, he wasn't crying, and he wasn't moving," correct? A. That's what it says, yes. Q. And you read that, right? A. I believe so, yes. 11 12 O So that -- her confession, in fact, is not 13 consistent with the physical findings you did in this autopsy, is it? 15 A. Right. There's only one ligature mark on 16 her neck, ves. 17 O. So her -- the part of the confession that 18 says she wrapped it four times around his neck, that's not consistent with your physical findings? 19 A. That's true.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Q. It also says that after she wrapped it

around his neck, that she noticed his nose was

21

24

2

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

bleeding, correct?

SCOTT DENTON
Page 186

SCOTT DENTON

Q. And that also is not consistent with your physical findings of this autopsy?

- A. Right. I did not see any blood in his nose.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ And you -- and you didn't have any evidence that his nose bled?
 - A. I had none.
- Q. Did you tell the Chicago Police Department or any of the detectives that the confession in fact was not consistent with your physical findings?
 - A. No
- Q. Did you tell anyone from the State's Attorney's Office that?
 - A. No
- Q. So in this case, your actual autopsy findings, in fact, contradict the confession in this
 - A. Not necessarily. Because I -- just because she wraps it around his neck multiple times doesn't mean it stays wrapped around his neck multiple times.

So that's -- all I see is one ligature mark.

I don't know how many times it was wrapped around his neck or if she wrapped it around four times or not.

But all I see is one ligature mark. So if she wrapped it around his neck multiple times, only one ligature

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 187

mark made an impression. That's all I can see.

And I don't know if the sweatshirt was also caught up in that. We haven't talked about that. But I don't know if the sweatshirt is in between. She could have wrapped it around his neck multiple times and caught the sweatshirt and have one tight ligature mark and have the sweatshirt there, and then actually she's wrapping it around his sweatshirt. That's another possibility.

- $\boldsymbol{Q}.$ Okay. So your testimony now is that it's possible that the elastic string was wrapped around his neck?
- $\boldsymbol{\text{A.}} \quad \text{No. I'm saying I don't know whether it was } \\ \text{or not.}$
 - ${\bf Q.}$ Okay. I understand that.
- $\label{eq:A. So I'm not saying possible or not. I'm saying I don't know.}$
- Q. Okay. So let me ask this. Reading the confession though, does it indicate anywhere that she unwrapped the string?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did it indicate anywhere that the sweatshirt was caught up in the elastic band?
 - A. No.

Page 188

1 Q. So there's no support for, now, this
2 possible theory that she may have wrapped it around

possible theory that she may have wrapped it aroun the neck four times, maybe not?

 $\label{eq:lambda} \textbf{A.} \quad \text{I'm sorry.} \quad \text{I don't understand your}$ question.

Q. Okay. Let me withdraw that.

Well, certainly the fact that there was no bleeding from the nose, that was not supported by your physical findings?

A. Right. I saw no -- I mean, I can look at my autopsy report again, but I described -- I should have described his -- I mean, the only thing I say is "The nares exudes serosanguinous fluid," which is red fluid; but that's usually from the lungs.

 $\hbox{So his -- so there is red fluid within his } \\ \hbox{nose in my autopsy report.}$

- Q. And that would be the mucosa?
- A. No. That's from the lungs. That's called pulmonary edema fluid. So that's fluid in the lungs during asphyxia that comes up and out.

21 So when I see him -- and also it's caused by 22 resuscitation. So what I'm seeing in his nose is 23 serosanguinous fluid, is red fluid that could be from 24 resuscitation, or it could be from the act of

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 48 of 63 PageID #:8532

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

1

2

6

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 189

SCOTT DENTON

asphyxia, either one or both.

O. Okav. But it didn't indicate that he bled from his nose?

A. No. I can't say if he bled from his nose one way or another. I mean, all I see is this red

I didn't see any injury to his nose. There's no broken capillaries. There's no direct blood in his nose. There's just this serosanguinous

Q. Okay. And when we spoke on December 12, 2015, I asked you about whether you found any signs that he bled from his nose, correct?

A. Right. And I said I did not, just as I'm saving now.

Q. Okay. Well, if there was blood in his nose --

So is it your testimony today then that your physical findings regarding the ligature marks on Jaquari's neck do not contradict the confession given by Ms. Harris?

A. You'll have to say that again.

Q. Well, okay. I want to be clear.

A. Okav.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

11

13

14

1.5 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 190

So your physical findings regarding the ligature marks on Diante -- strike that

Is it your testimony that the ligature marks that you found on Jaquari's neck, those are not inconsistent with Nicole Harris's confession?

A. "Not inconsistent." I have trouble with "not inconsistent." I mean not inconsistent is consistent.

So I mean, I can explain -- the ligature marks are single. There's one single ligature mark that I can see from the back, going across the front to the side. Then it stops. That's not -- that is inconsistent with four wraps of a ligature around his neck, touching his skin.

So if that is the scenario and that's what she's saving, that all four were around her neck on 16 the skin, that is inconsistent.

Q. When is the first time you told anyone that you did not believe the ligature marks were fully wrapped around Jaquari's neck?

A. When did I first what? I'm sorry.

22 O. Tell anyone that you did not believe the elastic band had been 360 degrees wrapped around Jaquari's neck?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 191

I don't know.

Is it when you first spoke with

A. I don't remember. I don't know.

Q. Is it possible that's the first time you ever told anyone that?

A. It wasn't you? I mean, we sat here and I told you there was a single ligature mark.

 ${f Q}$. You never told me that.

A. Well, that's what it is. I mean, it's described in my autopsy report as a single ligature mark. To me, it -- I mean, I can just read my report; and it says, you know, there's a single ligature mark that's one-tenth of an inch to two-tenths of an inch wide. I never assumed that was an issue, I guess.

Q. I'm sorry. Can you just point to me so that I can be clear -- where do you say that there was a single ligature mark?

A. "Around the neck is a slightly upwards and backward slanting ligature abrasion."

MR. KAMIONSKI: Can you just -- the page and exactly where you're reading from.

A. Sure. The page is number 2 of my autopsy report. It says singular -- it says, "Upwards and

SCOTT DENTON

Page 192

backwards slanting ligature abrasion . . . " not abrasions. It's a single abrasion. ". . . most prominent on the left side of the neck, ranging from a tenth of an inch to two-tenths of an inch wide."

And it shows -- "The ligature impression shows weave pattern impression marks." That's those vertical marks. The impression -- it's all impression -- it's all one -- it's all one mark. I mean, I never thought that was an issue.

Q. Okay. But just to be clear, you never used the term "singular" here, did you?

A. I don't think I needed to do that.

I understand. So just to be clear, you didn't use the term "singular," correct?

A. Well, let me read -- I'd like to read it. please.

No, I did not specifically use the term "single" or "singular."

O. Instead, you describe repeatedly the ligature impression mark?

23 And there's only one ligature in this case, 24 correct?

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 49 of 63 PageID #:8533

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 194

A. Yes. The elastic cord is the ligature, in my opinion; and it's a single elastic ligature mark on his neck

Q. Right. Let me just be clear. There's one ligature that we're talking about, and that would be the elastic band from the sheet?

- A. That's -- in my opinion, yes.
- \boldsymbol{Q}_{\star} . And you describe the ligature impression mark?
 - A. Yes

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. Now, Mr. Kamionski gave you a scenario where he suggested that Jaquari was pushed down on the ground with someone holding the elastic band behind him. Do you remember that question?
 - A. Yes
- Q. And you said that you believed that was -that was a possibility?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If that were the case, wouldn't you expect to see both -- ligature marks on both sides of the neck of the same consistency and color?
- $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{If it was coming from straight in the back} \\$ of the neck, I would.
 - If it's coming from -- because the deepest

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

impression was on the left side of the neck. So if the pull or the traction was coming from the back of the right side, that would be consistent with that and having that gap there and having the darkest area exactly opposite that gap. So that would indicate the traction was coming from behind him.

- Q. Okay. But in this case -- okay. But if someone was -- if someone was pushing him down and holding both sides of the string behind him, you would expect to see symmetrical lines on his sides of his neck?
- A. If it was just -- if they were just pulled back, I would expect to see, actually, a larger gap. I would expect to see it stop maybe right here and then stop here. So you would just see about half the neck with the abrasion, what you just described.
- Q. Okay. But if they were directly behind him, holding this -- this person -- right? -- was directly behind Jaquari?
- 20 **A.** Behind and to the right would be most 21 consistent if that was -- if we're going to follow 22 that hypothetical.
- Q. I see. So you're saying, based on the ligature marks, the person would have to be to the

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 195

right?

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

23

24

A. Right. The force would have to be coming from the back of his right side of his neck to cause the deeper abrasion on the left and then that gap in that area

 ${\bf Q}_{\star}$. I see. But if -- Okay. What I'm asking you is a different hypothetical.

- A. Okay.
- ${\bf Q.}$. If someone was standing behind Jaquari and was holding both sides of the string and pushing Jaquari down?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. You would expect to see -- I guess you would then expect to see a ligature mark that had the same color and have an arc around Jaquari's neck?
 - A. Yes
- $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}$. It wouldn't be fainter on one side and darker on the other?
- ${\bf A.} \quad \mbox{No. It should be symmetrical, and it should}$ be kind of like a U-shape on his neck.
- \mathbf{Q} . Okay. So it might be, like, 180 degrees, but it would be -- and the center would be, what, his Adams apple?
 - A. Yes, or above the Adams apple is usual.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 196

1 Q. In this case, do you know how Jaquari was 2 found in the bedroom?

A. I don't recall. No, I don't, unless it says that somewhere in the investigator's report.

- Q. Did you ever ask anyone how he was found?
- A. I don't think so.
- Q. Did you ever look for any evidence to

indicate how he was found?

- A. No. I looked at the lividity; so I looked at evidence on his body. I looked at the petechiae on his face, which could be evidence -- which is most indicative evidence of being face down.
- Q. Wait. I'm sorry. Say that again. You looked for --
- A. Well, the lividity or settling of blood in his body. So that would be evidence of his position. His livor -- his livor mortis was posterior, in the back. That means the blood settled in his back after death.

And then when you see florid petechiae of that nature in his face, which was severe, usually that's someone lying face down after death.

 ${f Q}$. Okay. So in that case then, the petechiae up in his eyes and his lips that you described and are

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 50 of 63 PageID #:8534

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

described, that could have been caused from him being face down after he died?

A. It makes it worse. It doesn't cause that,

A. It makes it worse. It doesn't cause that, from being face down. But if there are petechiae, being face down makes the petechiae worse. The lividity and the gravity pressure makes those petechiae look much worse. It doesn't cause the petechiae.

Q. And so you're saying, based on the petechiae and where you found the livor mortis, you believed he was face down?

A. No. I'm saying that's -- it's a possibility, but I don't know how he was found.

Q. Okay.

11

13

15

16

1.7

18

19 20

21

23

24

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

22

23

A. I would have to rely on other statements, how he was found. I saw no evidence that he was face up or face down when he was found.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$ And the police never told you how he was found.

A. I'd have to look at their report again, but I don't -- you know, what I read from their report. But if it doesn't say in there, I don't know.

Q. Did you ask them how he was found?

A. I don't remember.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Page 198 MS. MOGUL: I'm going to ask you to turn --2 actually. I'd like to just take a moment to try to figure out -- wrap up. Okay? 3 THE WITNESS: Okav. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off the record. The time is approximately 3:09 p.m. (Recess in proceedings 8 from 3:09 to 3:13 p.m.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going back on 10 the record. The time is approximately 3:13 p.m. BY MS. MOGUL: 11 12 O I'm turning your attention back to Exhibit 13 10 in this case, the Clear and Closed Report? Q. Turning your attention to page 9, --15 A. Okay. 16 17 O. -- I'm going to -- the third paragraph from the bottom, it states, "Sta-Von stated adamantly that 18 he had to unwind four or five loops of the elastic 2.0 band from Jaquari's neck." 21 A. Okav. 22 Sta-Von Dancy, as you know from reading this

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

report, is the father of Jaquari Dancy.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 199

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$. And he's the one who found Jaquari Dancy in the bedroom with the elastic band around his neck?

A. Yes.

 ${\bf Q}_{\bullet}$. And he's the one who said he had to unwind it four or five times?

A. Yes.

Q. Nowhere does it state that the -- his sweatshirt was blocking that band, does it?

A. It does not.

Q. And nowhere in Nicole Harris's statement does it say that his sweatshirt was blocking or in between his skin and the elastic band?

A. It does not.

 ${\bf Q}$. In fact, there's just no evidence anywhere that indicates that the sweatshirt was in some way in between the elastic band and his neck?

 ${\bf A.} \quad \hbox{Right.} \quad \hbox{There's no mention of the hooded}$ sweatshirt caught up in the band. That's true.

Q. When you spoke with Mr. Kamionski, did you discuss with him this possibility that the sweatshirt was caught between the elastic band and the neck?

A. No

Q. Is the first time you ever told anyone that the elastic band may not appear to have gone around

SCOTT DENTON

Page 20

Jaquari's neck 360 degrees because the sweatshirt may have blocked it -- is that the first time you've ever said that, today?

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection. That mischaracterizes his testimony.

A. Yes.

23

24

1

2

4

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So -- and just -- okay. To be clear, the first time you've ever told anyone the hypothesis that there may not be a full ligature mark around the neck of Jaquari Dancy because his sweatshirt may have been between the elastic band and his neck was today?

A. Right. That's something that needs to be looked at. I don't know if it's true or not, but it would -- you know, what I was trying to do is explain this discrepancy of what's in here and what the autopsy report shows.

 ${\bf Q}_{\cdot}$. And it's possible, though, that your autopsy report just absolutely refutes the confession in this case, correct?

A. It's possible, yes.

 ${\bf Q}$. So when you testified at Nicole Harris's trial, were you asked this question, and did you give this answer?

"Question: So let's talk about the first

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 51 of 63 PageID #:8535

2

3

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

1

2

6

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

opinion you rendered on May 15, 2005, at approximately 9:00 a.m. in the morning. What was that opinion?"

Your answer: "My opinion at that time was that this was a tragic accident, that this was a boy who was in his upper bunk bed, who had become entangled with an elastic band fitted sheet and had fallen to the ground from his upper bunk, and that this was just a tragic accident that occurred, and it was a hanging. And I really had no other information that it wasn't that.

So at that point, after consulting with the other doctors who were doing autopsies, we agreed that this was most likely just a tragedy, that this was an accident, and it was due to a hanging from the elastic cord."

 $\label{eq:weighted} \mbox{Were you asked that question?} \quad \mbox{Did you give } \\ \mbox{that answer?}$

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

23

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- ${\bf Q.} \qquad \hbox{So what other doctors did you consult with}$ to make your first opinion in this case?}
- $\boldsymbol{\text{A.}} \quad \text{It would have been probably Dr. Donoghue in} \\$ the autopsy room.
 - $\mathbf{Q}.$ But it says "doctors."
 - A. Yeah. I don't know who those would be.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON
Page 202

Whoever was on the schedule that day.

Q. So when you formed that first opinion, did Dr. Donoghue come over and look at the body as well?

- A. I don't remember.
- Q. But you certainly would have discussed it with him, and you would have told him what his findings were when you made that first opinion in this case?
 - A. Yes.

MS. MOGUL: I have nothing else.

MR. KAMIONSKI: Just to wrap up.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAMIONSKI:

Q. Your findings in this case and the examination and the photographs and the marks on Jaquari's body are consistent with someone pulling on the cord, on the elastic band, on both sides of the band, towards the back right side of the neck; --

- Q. -- is that correct?
- A. Right. Based on the ligature mark and that gap, that could be a possibility, and that's consistent, yes.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 203

 ${f Q}$. And the gap is inconsistent with the cord being wrapped 360 around the neck tight to cause his death?

 ${\bf A.}$ Right. The gap there is inconsistent with the ligature cord being tight around that area of the neck.

- Q. I want to show you -- this is number 25.
- A. Okay.
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf Q.} & \begin{tabular}{ll} {\tt Do you see} & the ligature mark in picture \\ {\tt number 25?} \end{tabular}$
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that above the ligature mark it appears to be abraded as well, like it's a wider abrasion? Would that be a correct --
 - ${\bf A.}\,\,$ Yes. Where it's fading away, yes.
- Q. Right. Is that consistent with the cord scratching up against the neck consistent with potentially Jaquari struggling?
- A. It's consistent with movement of the cord; so either the cord is moving or Jaquari is moving. So the cord being on the neck and then the cord moving against the neck, skin of the neck.
- Q. And that's at the point of -- that's right by the point of suspension, where there's a gap in the

SCOTT DENTON

Page 204

cord, correct?

A. Right. Where it fades away and the cord would come off the skin or if something was on the skin with the cord, yes, that would be consistent with that. Yes.

Q. It's possible that -- strike that.

Your findings are consistent with the -some person strangling Jaquari with the cord, as we
described, pulling on both ends of the cord, and then
taking the cord and wrapping it around afterwards to
make it look like an accident?

 $\label{eq:MS.MOGUL:Objection:form, foundation,} % \begin{center} MS. MOGUL: Objection: form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical. \end{center}$

- A. I would say that's a possibility. That would explain why I see one mark and then there's multiple people that say it's wrapped four or five times around the neck. That's one explanation, yes.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ You talked about "The nares exude" -- this fluid that's coming out of the nose?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. It's a red fluid?
- A. Y
 - Q. It could look like blood?
 - A. To someone who doesn't know any better, it

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 52 of 63 PageID #:8536

SCOTT DENTON Page 206

Page 205 could, yes. MS. MOGUL: I'm going to just object to incomplete hypo-- speculation. Q. It's red in color? A. Right. That's the serous part. The serous part is the clear fluid, and then the sanguinous means red. So sanguine -- so it's a red clearish fluid. Q. And you found that in the -- in his nose? A. Right, the openings of the nostrils or the nares. 11 Q. Could that fluid have also come out of his nose at the time that he was killed? 12 13 A. Yes. Have you -- in your experience in other 15 cases that you've either read about or have seen, have you heard of fluid coming out -- sero--16 17 A. Serosanguinous. 18 Q. -- serosanguinous fluid coming out of the nose in asphyxia cases? 21 Q. Does serosanguinous fluid have -- what is 22 it? Does it have blood in it at all? Is it any blood

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

24

23

24

A. It has -- it has some red blood cells in it,

but it's mostly just -- it's mostly edema fluid from 2 the lungs that's mixed with some bloody fluid also in the lungs. 3 Is it possible to -- this may be outside of Q. your purview. If we had a sample of it today from back in the day, could we test it to see if that's what it was, versus blood? Either you know or you don't know. Yeah, I don't know. I've never heard of Α. 10 that one. 11 Q. You were asked questions about whether or 12 not you indicated certain things in your report. If 13 you go to page 2 of your report, where you talked 14 about the ligature mark abrasion, in paragraph marked number 1, can you -- isn't it true that you noted that 15 the ligature mark was passing into the back right side 16 of the head and then completely fading behind the 17 18 right mastoid process? A. That's what it says, yes. 19 20 Q. That's the gap that we're talking about, 21 correct? 22 A. Yes. Q. You were asked some questions about a case of a lamp cord and a case of a trampoline?

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 207 A Yes. Q. Do you have all the facts of those cases? Do you know if the cord was wrapped around the neck multiple times in those cases? A. I don't know anything other than what I've heard here. Would you agree, whether it's a lamp cord, a trampoline rope coming out of a tree, or the cord of a bed sheet, a single loop is not going to be able to 11 cause a strangulation unless it goes 360 -- unless it goes 360 around the neck? 13 MS. MOGUL: Objection. I believe that 14 absolutely mischaracterizes his testimony. A. No. It doesn't have to go 360. It can just 1.5 16 be on the front of the neck. So if -- I mean, you're 17 assuming both ends are fixed. 18 Q. Let me rephrase that then. You'd agree that 19 a single cord without an opposable end on the other side --20 21 Okav. A. 22 Q. It's just dangling like a shoelace.

SCOTT DENTON

Page 208 somebody unless it's wrapped around at least 360, if 1 2 not more? MS. MOGUL: Objection: form, foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. I would say it has to be wrapped around 360 enough where it cannot free itself, so it's tight enough not to free itself. I wanted to mark Exhibit 97, just for housekeeping, as your CV. A. Okay. It's right here. There is one right 10 11 there. 12 Q. And that's your CV? Let's establish that 13 for the record. 14 A. It is. 1.5 Q. And that's your most up-to-date CV? 16 17 (Harris Deposition Exhibit 97Z 18 marked for identification.) 19 MR. KAMIONSKI: I have nothing further. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 21 BY MS. MOGULE 22 Q. I want to turn your attention back to 23 picture 25. 24 A. Okav. AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

-- is not going to be able to strangle

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 53 of 63 PageID #:8537

Page 209

SCOTT DENTON Page 210

Q. And I'll ask you to show it to the video camera

A. Okav.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

1 1

13

14

1.5 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

Q. Is it your testimony that those two lines on the left side of the back of Jaquari's neck, that those don't indicate that the elastic band was wrapped more than once around his neck?

A. No. Because what I just -- what I just heard from my autopsy report -- the mastoid process is right here at the back of the ear. So right here at the back of the ear is where the gap is. The gap is right here in this area of darkness. So this is what I described as it fading.

And if there's two little marks there, you know, they may or may not be there. It looks like they are there in this picture. But the gap I describe is below the right side of the mastoid process, which is this part, the bone right here.

So right here on his neck, you know, on my diagrams, that's where I saw a gap. So I would say that, to me, shows a gap; and I don't know why there is a gap there.

Q. I'm going to ask you to turn your attention to page 65.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

A. Okay.

Q. And this is the front of Jaquari Dancy's neck?

4 A. It looks like the right side of his neck because that would be his chin and then the back of his head and the front.

O. Okav.

2

3

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1

2

6

9

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16 17

18

19

20

21

A. It looks like his head is turned towards the

10 Q. Okay. So his head in this case is turned towards the left shoulder, or his chin is turned towards the left shoulder?

A. Okay. Let me see. Chin, left shoulder, yes. So it's showing his right neck and then the skin folds that would be in the front.

Q. And I just -- so there appears to be one line that's below his chin?

A. Right. There appears to be one red line going across his neck.

Q. And if you go up there -- if you go up from that line, there's other red marks that are linear?

A. Those are all the petechiae.

Q. You don't -- okay. So you're saying that these aren't lines? That could not -- are you saying

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 211

that that would not be lines, other lines created by the ligature in this case?

A. I mean, they don't look like it to me.

Q. Is it possible?

A. I mean, it doesn't look like this to me; so I would have to say -- I mean, honestly, I have to say no. I mean, it could be skin folds too, you know, that have congestion. I see that also in hanging and neck injuries.

Q. And petechiae may be caused in cases that both involve strangulation and hanging, correct?

Q. And the fact that there's petechiae does not indicate that the cause of death is accidental or homicidal, correct?

A. That's true. Petechiae does not indicate

Q. I'm turning your attention to page 54.

A. Okav.

O. This is a picture of the front of Jaquari Dancy's neck?

A. Looks like it, yes.

Q. And it looks -- on the right side of the neck, there's two lines on the right side of his neck below his chin.

A. On the right side of this picture?

Yeah. Not on the right side of the picture. Left side of the picture, right side of his neck.

MR. KAMIONSKI: Objection to the characterization of the picture.

A. So we're looking at his right or --

Q. I'm going to point, which would be the right side of his neck.

A. I see the right side of his neck, yes.

Q. Right. And I'm going to ask you: Does it appear that there are two lines caused by the ligature in this case?

A. I mean, honestly, I just see the one ligature line that goes into the other picture we just

Q. And what about this line below that?

A. I mean, that's -- I mean, that's what you see in impression marks. Actually, it's called a tram-track mark. So actually you see an impression mark, and the darker areas are above and below it.

22 So that's well known. It's just a -- called a tram-track mark. It can look like two lines, but 23 24 it's just one.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON Page 212

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 54 of 63 PageID #:8538

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

1

2

10

1 1

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON
Page 214

SCOTT DENTON

Page 216

Q. I'm going to turn your attention to page 55.

A. Okav.

O. What was the purpose of this photo?

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A.} & {\bf The \ purpose \ of \ this \ photo \ with \ the \ bed } \\ {\bf sheet?} & \end{tabular}$

Q. Yeah.

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

2

11

13

15 16

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. It was basically how it matches. Because the ligature abrasion is on the neck, and then what I did is I just held it up so it matches. It shows it matches. There's no other lines above or below this ligature mark.

So it's actually -- it's completely covering the ligature abrasion. There's no marks above it and no marks below it.

And I think, in the Kodachromes, it actually shows the little loops too, like, fairly clearly. You can see the loops making little bump abrasions above it.

Q. Okay. So you have copies of these Kodachromes?

 ${f A}.$ I have the originals. I mean, the Kodachromes are shot on film; so I have the Kodachromes, yes.

 $\mathbf{Q}.$ Would you say those pictures are better in

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

terms of the quality than these that we're showing you

A. Oh, yeah. Absolutely.

Q. So if I subpoenaed you, you'd provide me copies -- you'd provide me those Kodachromes?

A. I mean, if you send me a subpoena and the judge says do it, I'd do it, sure. There's also a copy of the Kodachromes at the ME office.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ Okay. Well, they provided us -- they provided us these pictures.

A. What they did is they scanned them for you. They scan Kodachromes by machine onto a disc for you, which is not the best.

 ${\bf Q.} \qquad {\tt Okay.} \quad {\tt So} \ {\tt is} \ {\tt it} \ {\tt your} \ {\tt testimony} \ {\tt that} \ {\tt unless}$ the judge orders that you produce those --

A. No. I'm just saying, you know, if everybody agrees -- if you send me a subpoena and there's no one that says don't do this, then I'll do it. Sure. I have no problem.

Q. Why do you have the Kodachrome pictures?

A. There's actually -- each doctor has their own set of all their autopsy pictures. So each picture that's taken at the Medical Examiner's Office was shot twice. So there was a picture that -- so

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 215

every picture is shot, like I said, twice.

So there's a finding. A picture is shot that goes directly into the medical examiner's file, case files, and then there's another picture that goes to the doctor. So every doctor has their own set of autopsy pictures. And that was done before digital photography.

You know, most of us kept our Kodachromes. Some threw them away. Some kept them.

Q. I'm going to turn your attention to page 56.

A. Okay.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \qquad \mbox{This is a picture of Jaquari Dancy's face} \\ \mbox{and his nose, right?}$

 $\label{eq:A.Right.It's called identification} \textbf{A.} \quad \text{Right. It's called identification}$ photograph.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ Right. Okay. Well, is that the picture that indicates there was the slight mucosa in his nose?

A. No. That doesn't say anything about his nose. This would be -- the identification photograph would be cleaned up, and so there would be no fluid or any excess, you know, debris at that picture at all.

Q. Okay. Well, in this case, there has been evidence and testimony that Sta-Von Dancy, Jaquari Dancy's father, found him in the bedroom.

ancy s rather, round him in the bedroo

A. Okay

 ${\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{ And that when he found him, he found him}$ with a bubble coming out of his nose.

A. Okay.

 $\mbox{\bf Q.} \quad \mbox{That was most likely a mucosa bubble; is} \\ \mbox{that fair to say?} \\$

A. I don't know what a mucosa bubble is. I mean, that would -- to me, that would be fluid that's, you know, consistent with pulmonary edema fluid or fluid that's in the nostril and it makes a bubble. It could be any kind of fluid.

Q. Okay.

A. But there's some kind of fluid in his nose. It could be, you know, mucus. It could be just mucus.

Q. Okay. I want to be clear. On December 21, 2015, when I asked you about the picture of Jaquari's face and I asked you about whether he would bleed from the nose, are you telling me that you didn't say to me and Mr. Clutter that you thought it could have been a mucosa coming from his nose or a mucosa in his nose?

A. It could be mucus. I might have said mucus, and you could think mucosal, that it could be mucus.

MS. MOGUL: I have nothing further.

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 55 of 63 PageID #:8539

SCOTT DENTON

Page 217 MR. KAMIONSKI: I have nothing. 2 MR. KAMIONSKI: Doctor, you have the 3 opportunity if you want to read the deposition, and that's called reserving signature and signing it; or you could trust that the court reporter took everything down. That's called waiving signature. The choice is yours. THE WITNESS: I will waive it. I trust you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the 10 videotaped deposition of Dr. Scott Denton. We are now 11 going off the record. The time is approximately 3:42 12 13 14 (Deposition concluded at 3:42 p.m.; 15 by agreement, signature waived.) 16 17 18 19 21 22 23

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

2 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 3 4 I, BRENDA L. ZEITLER, a Certified Shorthand 5 Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter within 6 and for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that the witness, SCOTT DENTON, M.D., whose testimony 8 appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken on January 7, 2016, by me to the best of my ability and 11 thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; 12 that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 13 employed by any of the parties to the action in which 14 this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a 15 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel 16 employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. 17 18 20 21 Brenda L. Zeitler, CSR-RPR 22 Illinois License No. 084-004062 23

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

36:19.20 37:1

SCOTT DENTON

Page 219

	40.544.11.10	72.16	1 151 00	52.14
A	40:5 44:11,18	72:16	amended 51:22	appears 52:14
a.m 1:22 4:3	44:24 45:3,15	ago 7:6 9:1,22	amount 40:23	156:7,8 203:13
69:22 201:2	47:24 49:5	10:9 14:8,20	41:17 47:11	210:16,18
ability 218:10	83:8,9 84:1	15:7 17:12,14	146:11	218:8
able 13:10	91:1,14 93:1	19:7,20,20	analysis 83:6	apple 195:23,24
141:12 207:10	94:12 110:17	22:4 29:7	85:7	applied 41:15
207:24	112:14 178:12	63:14 99:1	anatomic 47:7	141:2
above-entitled	211:14	169:13	anatomy 159:4	apply 43:7
1:17	accidentally	agree 40:1 41:20	ANDREA 1:10	applying 140:20
abraded 151:14	147:7 181:5	45:10 118:7	anger 96:20	approximately
182:5 203:13	182:15	136:14,18,19	angle 12:16,18	4:3 81:9
abrasion 131:23	accompanied	137:1 140:18	87:14,24 88:10	127:18,22
137:15 140:24	125:7	141:6 143:11	142:23,23	167:2,8 169:5
141:4 144:16	account 128:17	160:8,14,18,24	143:1	169:7 198:6,10
144:22.23	accuracy 128:11	161:5,10,14,19	angled 141:17	201:1 217:11
145:7.8.13	accurate 116:1	163:2 164:1,5	141:20	arc 195:15
147:14 151:11	121:17,20	166:12 176:4	Anna 164:19	area 74:16 75:6
151:19 152:4,5	122:2 149:22	178:15,20	165:4,5 167:11	75:12 119:21
152:17.17	acknowledge	179:4 207:8,18	answer 6:4	136:6 153:20
153:4.6 155:24	38:9	agreed 6:10	36:23 57:21	154:4 156:2
174:21 180:23	act 188:24	201:12	62:4 126:16	157:2,8 158:15
191:20 192:1.2	acting 176:8	agreement	175:8,11,15	194:4 195:5
194:16 195:4	action 218:13,17	217:15	200:23 201:3	203:5 209:12
203:14 206:14	actual 138:7	agrees 214:17	201:17	areas 153:14
213:8.13	186:14	airway 43:17	answered 9:5	182:10 212:21
abrasions	adamantly	akamionski@	123:5,11	arm 109:7 154:3
150:11 192:2	198:18	2:10	answering 6:5	arrive 91:17
213:17	Adams 195:23	al 4:9	6:15 150:22	arterial 13:8,14
absolutely	195:24	alcohol 179:18	answers 5:22	arteries 44:2
108:11 160:4	add 20:21 112:6	alert 37:9	ANTHONY 1:9	artery 13:16
200:18 207:14	added 28:19	Alison 25:10	Anwar 24:24	47:9 124:24
214:3	addition 48:9	alive 13:13	anymore 20:23	146:8,19
abuse 76:4	additional 32:7	allegation	apartment	article 63:20
175:9	111:14,16	148:21	55:11 69:6	165:15 168:6
abused 75:23	112:1 115:3	allegations	apologize	168:15
accept 6:10	address 24:19	122:22 128:10	136:10 158:22	articles 8:7
accident 29:19	administered	128:14	Apparently	asked 15:22
47:19 48:15	4:23	alleged 110:3	25:18	37:19 38:14
50:10 83:13.19	adopt 40:17	122:9	appear 148:15	39:11.12 50:16
94:24 95:15.17	adult 29:12	allegedly 93:21	157:13 199:24	50:17 62:7
112:13 139:23	adults 41:19	97:7.9	212:12	90:17,17,19,20
180:4,5 201:4	afternoon	allow 63:10	appearance	94:15 96:9
	130:21,23	allowed 70:15	178:10,18	123:4,10 140:2
201:8,14	age 39:23	altercations	APPEARAN	140:5 143:19
204:11	157:20	97:4	2:1	159:9,22
accidental 34:6	agencies 38:2	alternative	appeared 81:24	164:15.19
34:16 39:17,21	ages 40:3,10	138:3	91:9	169:5 170:17
	ages 70.5,10	130.3	71.7	109.5 1/0.1/

107-21 112-23

24

171:10 172:19 175:7,14 184:24 185:2,4 127:24 128:4 189:12 200:22 201:16.206:11 206:23 216:17 216:18 asking 169:9,24 172:6 195:6 180:24 sleep 179:17 aspect 16:13 attendant 149:17 sphyxia 29:5 40:8 41:22 44:11 45:4,4 124:19 175:24 176:7 178:11 188:20 189:1 205:19 asphyxiate 40:24 41:7 asphyxiated 29.6 31.1 asphyxiation 29:2,3 30:5,6 30:13 44:18,19 44:24 45:1 177-13 179-3 9 181:10.13.21 assigned 64:13 65:24 68:12 assistant 1:10 64:6,8,22 65:3 71:4 ssociated 165:2 assume 6:4 56:17,18 180:4 180:5 ssumed 98:1 172:2 191:15 ssumes 57:19 62:3 122:15 201:12 assuming 128:23 129:17 130:4 207:17 ssumption 103:22 104:3

105:10,12,19

50:5.20 51:14 attached 80:15 80:20 88:18 56:16 57:9 89-3 134-17 60:19 63:22 23 139:9,12 64:2,5,6,8,9,15 attack 169:20 64:18 65:3.5 65:10,12 66:22 attempted 67:13 68:8.15 69:19 70:3,6,7 70:10 71:3,17 attend 105:16 73:18 75:7 attention 49:24 78:14 81:11,14 81:10 108:13 117:2 138:23 81:17,20 82:18 82:23 83:4,11 172:18 182:1 83:14 84:9.16 183:12 184:14 84:18 85:10,13 198-12 15 85:14 90:20 208:22 209:23 91:9,15 92:21 211:18 213:1 93:23 94:1 102:1,19 105:8 attorney 2:8,13 8:19 21:23 108-4 111-16 112:2 115:10 22:13 218:15 115:17.21.24 Attorney's 120:21 186:12 116:6,8,8,11 116:14,16 attorneys 1:10 117:3,9,14 122:2 123:14 2:3 9:16.20 18:16,18,21 123:18,18,20 124:1 134:15 21:2,6,8 audio 70:16,17 147:21 148:1.6 148:23 149:14 26:24 27:1,13 159:16 166:23 175:10 182:23 27:14.21 28:3 185-14 186-2 186:14 188:11 31:5.9 35:5.13 188:16 191:11 35:19 65:7 191:23 200:16 142:3 149:19 200:17 201:22 209:9 214:22 autopsy 6:20 7:5 7:10 10:12 215:6 Avenue 2:4 11:1.5 13:24 average 28:22 Avi 2:7 4:20 21:19 28:12 31:16,19 32:18 9:24 131:1

122:8,11,12 179:5 bag 78:17 bags 78:16 82:4 balanced 20:11 back 11:15 hall 75:11 12:14.17.21 Balodimas 1:7 30:10 46:1 2:11 76:13 77:4.15 band 42:12,18 53:3.16 54:4 81:11 82:13 54:10 55:10 97:3 109:19 61:3 67:22 111:8 114:24 69:5 78:15 79:19,24 80:3 128:7 132:7 80:4,9,14,14 80:19 83:24 133:9,17,20,24 134:2,5 136:22 84:11 88:9.19 137-15 138-7 89:20 92:5 139:13 141:3 93:11.16 108:8 142:17 144:6 122:14 124:11 151:18 152:7 126:9 127:7 153:3.7.9 129:1,8 139:3 154:6,18,22 139-5 7 182-20 155-2 2 3 183:5 187:23 157:5 158:8,10 190:23 193:6 158:12.22 193:13 198:20 199:2,8,12,16 164:22 167:10 199:18,21,24 169:3 172:18 200:11 201:6 174:20.21 202:17,18 182:1,24 183:1 209:6 183:10 190:11 Bartik 1:7 2:10 base 85:14 193:22 194:2 194:13 195:3 based 32:18,19 37:18 83:3,6 196:18,18 198:9,12 83:12,14,16,22 84:3,8 85:13 87:7 89:17 202:18 206:6 206:16 208:22 209:5,10,11 210:5 90:1 91:5 95:2 101:24 109:18 backs 174:24 110:2,8,17 backward 121:22 128:23 136:24 139:20 142:24 148:5 191:20 ackwards 142:18 147:13 157:17 160:8 160:14 161:19

SCOTT DENTON Page 220 badges 29:11

SCOTT DENTON

Page 218

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

aware 6:16 12:14 120:2

34:15 36:4,18

192:1

bad 168:5.15

162:1 163:12 163:14,14,15

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 56 of 63 PageID #:8540

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

				Page 221
102 22 104 22	(0.6.64.0	100 0 11	L 200 10	150.16
182:23 194:23 197:9 202:22	60:6 64:9 72:13 73:21	199:8,11 blood 41:18 73:5	bone 209:18 book 32:4.5	158:16 bubble 216:4.6
basically 7:24	74:6,7 92:2,2	73:9,13 125:12	159:4	216:8,11
10:10,11 14:16	94:15 111:23	125:16,19	books 30:10	bubbles 109:8
14:23 19:23	118:21 125:7	146:10 186:3	32:1.2	bump 155:18
20:12 26:21,22	125:21 127:12	189:9.16	bottom 53:5,17	173:21 213:17
31:10 33:7	136:12 153:4,5	196:15,18	55:11 82:4	bumped 173:20
37:19 65:18	153:18 157:9	204:23 205:22	108:14,16	bunk 53:4,5,18
84:18 86:5	166:4,17,22	205:22,24	198:18	55:11 89:3
95:15 104:18	167:16 181:4.5	206:7	Boulevard 2:8	91:24 92:3,5
111:13 112:12	183:22.22	bloody 206:2	bov 201:4	201:5.7
113:17 168:3	184:8,12	Bloomington	boys 53:23	burst 13:11
171:15 213:7	185:11 190:19	1:20 4:4	brain 47:10	bursting 146:13
basis 123:13	190:22 207:13	blue 58:9 79:20	break 81:2	busy 26:2
Bates 99:14	believed 125:4	108:19 109:1	127:15 166:24	
134:20 135:6	193:16 197:10	109:12 148:18	breathing	C
beaten 74:5	believes 129:10	blunt 74:21,22	179:17 180:23	cabinet 173:20
beating 74:9,17	believing 86:12	98:10 150:17	breeding 75:17	173:22
beatings 74:7	126:21	150:20,21	Brenda 1:18	call 15:24 18:24
bed 29:20 42:13	belt 97:10,16	151:5 156:1	4:23 218:4,21	19:6 26:24
53:4,11,18	98:11	blurring 17:21	brief 17:14	27:16 28:15
67:22 88:6,7	best 21:18 27:24	bodies 65:8	broad 29:4	66:3 74:7,9,17
89:2,3,4,5,6	47:17 76:11	body 6:22 12:5	broke 155:23	76:3 100:11,16
93:11 131:17	114:6 214:13	12:10 28:11,12	broken 189:8	102:8 139:16
131:20 135:22	218:10	33:7,7 35:24	brother 16:3	141:20 143:4
136:16,19,23	better 79:6	36:1 65:8	53:24 56:3,8	144:23 146:1
139:9,12,13	146:16 204:24	66:20 68:19,22	57:7,23 58:4,5	146:11 152:22
148:11 201:5	213:24	69:9 70:11,19	58:18 59:19	157:16 159:19
207:10 213:4	beyond 30:5	72:5,7 73:13	61:17 62:12,14	164:17 169:14 178:23,24
bedroom 56:12	32:8 71:7,15	74:8 83:5,12	96:6,8 122:13	called 1:16 5:3
56:24 57:2	107:12 112:2	83:17,22 84:3	127:3,5 129:7	9:5 14:13.15
58:18 59:4 67:22 126:8	114:24 181:22 Bisaro 164:19	84:4 85:3,5,17 85:18 89:18	brother's 57:18	19:3 91:2
196:2 199:2	165:4.5 167:11	90:1.12 98:1	brought 8:13,19 55:18 63:14	101:12 102:3
216:1	bit 14:23 38:18	110:14 112:16	78:14 82:3	103:19 110:23
beds 91:24 92:3	168:16	110:14 112:16	112:22 131:7	111:8 120:4
beginning 81:6	blame 23:9	150:11 151:9	bruise 75:17,19	124:20 142:23
143:16 167:5	bled 125:10	153:13,17	154:4 155:22	154:1 160:5
behalf 2:6.10.16	126:2 186:5	154:23 155:22	156:3,9,11,14	165:7.11 172:5
4:18,20	189:2,4,13	156:23 157:18	156:20 157:24	188:18 212:19
believe 8:9 9:22	bleed 216:18	161:20 172:21	158:5,17,18	212:22 215:14
13:16,23 14:14	bleeding 47:10	175:9 176:2.3	bruised 157:22	217:4,6
17:10 21:22	185:23 188:8	176:10,19,22	bruises 97:5,18	calling 112:19
25:12 29:24	block 22:18 41:8	177:7 178:7	155:19	170:1 172:13
31:16 34:21	blocked 47:9	196:10.16	bruising 75:13	Calls 40:12
38:17 42:14	200:2	202:3,16	153:14 154:2	44:22 60:14
56:5,10,12	blocking 41:9	bond 120:12	155:12 157:14	75:1,24 79:10
, ,				l

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

87:21 88:12 146:21 166:5 90:24 91:3,4 103:6,18 104:4 camera 153:23 209:2 205:15,19 207:2,5 211:10 104:6.22 105:3 211.14 94.18 95.4 10 caused 39:18 47:8 75:17 96:10,12,15 106:7 114:18 106:5,12,20,23 cancer 25:24 107:10 109:16 categories 47:21 109:22 110:17 79:12 86:9 118:4,8 143:10 capillaries 13:11 category 47:3,15 146:12 155:23 111:13 114:7 47:16 88:10 94:13,23 149:9,13,15,24 189.8 114.18 115.4 catty-corner 115:7 126:9 150.2 218.2 car 14:6 17:14 115:20 116:11 129:10 145:7 certified 94:19 64:17 career 20:13 114:5 162:5,6 161:22 162:8 94:23 95:6,7 218:4 117:24 119:14 aught 29:20 162:14 163:4 120:12,19,23 30:16 187:3,6 carotid 44:2 124:24 146:8 163:13,15 165:17 170:9 121-18 122-20 187:23 199:18 certify 218:6 124:7,9 125:3 199:21 certifying 96:10 146:16,19,24 case 1:5 4:8 6:24 125:10 126:7 126:12,23 cause 1:17 13:22 13:23 14:17 chance 24:15 99:22 118:23 172:10 173:3 31:10.15 32:17 7:15.18.21 129:18 140:14 174:19 176:7 184:21 32:18,22 33:2 8:12,16,18,19 142:7,9,10 176:15 177:4 change 101:24 8:23 9:17 13:2 143:2,4 146:1 33:4,6,8,11 178:6 181:5 110:1,7 114:19 115:3,7 117:10 34:20 35:16,21 13:22 15:23 146:4 147:4,8 182:12 188:21 16:7.10.11.14 147:10.24 36:1 37:15 197:1 211:10 128:18 149:24 18:22 19:5 162:5,6 164:8 38:3,4,12,15 212:12 150:2 166:6 20:7 21:13.20 39:3.7.12.13 causes 13:8.10 changed 15:14 20:7 34:5,11 164:21 165:7 25:8,9 26:7 165:12,16,16 39:20 40:1,4,9 eausing 43:4 37:16.21 38:12 29:18 30:5.17 41:24 43:12.17 168:4 171:7.11 126:10 162:2 31:14 34:11 38:10,12 39:6 172:7,8,9,14 172:16 175:5 43:19 44:12 46:14,17,18 39:6 109:20 165:14 168:4 162:15 ceiling 29:20 39:14 42:11 49:1,3 51:5 47:1,2,6,7,13 48:3 49:2 50:7 30:16 181:18 cells 205:24 180:9,10,16,18 171:17 172:9 172:16 181:14,16,20 changes 35:6 52:19 53:2.8 181:22 183:11 50:16 56:1,21 center 195:22 53:21 54:6,13 184:7 186:14 57:5 60:3,23 central 27:19 characteristic 54.24 55.8 186-16 192-23 61-11 83-19 certain 143.8 205-23 56:1,22 57:6,9 193:19 194:7 84:11 90:16 165:15 206:12 characterizati... 59:23 60:4.23 196:1.23 96:11 102:10 certainly 38:5 212:6 61:5 62:15,17 198:13 200:19 102:17,24 40:15 41:2 charged 103:24 62:19 24 63:8 201:20 202:8 103-14 104-5 9 54-17 55-14 120:11 63:23 64:13 202:14 206:23 106:4 108:20 charging 103:20 109:21,23 110:1,7 112:3 114:7 115:4,7 65:11 66:17.23 206:24 210:10 93:19 103:12 Charles 32:1 67:9,13 68:14 69:2,16 79:4 chest 74:14,15 97:21 151:20 211:2 212:13 188:7 202:5 215:4,23 ertainty 89:21 79:24 81:12,24 ases 29:1 30:7 116:18 118:13 98:7 163:3,11 174:1 Chester 67:11 83:1.6 84:8 30:12.13.20.24 163:18.21 118:15 124:22 85:22 86:7.15 31:11 34:8 125:2 128:9,19 164:2.7.7 67:12 68:10 86:20 87:9,20 129:18 140:12 35:23 36:12 ertificate 34:5 Chicago 1:6,6 88:8 89:6.18 37.2 5 14 141:4 149:22 34:11 39:4 2:4.9.14.16 4:7 90:5 92:9.13 41:23 42:6 169:4,8,15 50:2 51:4.18 4:9.19 6:24 7:2 48:22 65:18,24 66:4 69:21,23 170:2,8 173:24 178:12 183:19 51:23 52:15,19 53:1,8,13,20 92:16 99:18 22:18 39:12 100:24 101:16 48:21,23 53:9 102:2,16,18 71:1 142:1,1 195:3 197:3,7 61:13 83:1,11 53:14,21 56:2

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

conflict 130:17

130:3.11

211:8

149:21

84:14 103:12

consideration

55:17 60:22

61-4 128-22

considered 61:1

102:15

considering

161:9,13

consistency

193:21

48:10 60:16

consistent 10:20

79:13 100:4

115:10,17,18

116:12 123:18 124:2,12,17,19

125:8 136:2,5

137-2 138-12

139:20 140:20

141:1.6.8.9.11

142:10 145:1,3

160:9,19 161:1

161:5,7,11,15

161:21 162:3,

162:11 163:7.3

163:23 165:8

167:16 174:9

166:19,22

174:10,16

157:10.18

136:15,22

115:19,21

11:1.19 42:14

174:8

36.21

36.5

10:6,9 13:19

14:5,7,20,21

15:7 16:4.17

18:6 19:4,21

98:12 100:8

104:14

17:6,8,17 18:5

175-3 185-13

SCOTT DENTON

Page 223

56:23 57:6,11	circumstance	closest 148:17	Committee	28:3 57:9
57:15,24 58:6	54:22	clothes 68:21	105:10	63:24 64:2
58:15,22 59:2	circumstances	72:9,10,24	common 21:9	68:14 84:16
59:9 61:16,22	14:2,2 15:4	73:6,10 83:5	44:12,15,16,19	124:1 181:12
62:7 64:4	33:13,22 36:5	83:18,23 84:11	45:1,4 142:7	conducting 65:7
71:20 100:11	54:21 84:4	84:24 89:18	159:12 169:10	65:10
106:11,22	85:18 90:4,13	125:20	170:12	confess 161:4
107:6 110:9	92:19 117:1,23	clothing 69:9	commonly	confessed 93:21
115:12 118:18	118:19 120:24	Clutter 9:11	149:11	94:5 100:13
119:2,7,11,19	121:13 122:22	216:20	compare 116:6	101:2,13,20
120:3,9,16	circumstantial	code 180:24	compared 78:12	102:4 103:13
159:19,20	90:6	coerced 121:2,3	78:18 79:19	103:23 104:13
183:17 186:7	city 1:6,20 2:16	121:5,8,20	80:5	110:10 111:5
chief 39:2 66:9	4:9.18 18:19	122:9 123:2.6	complete 51:10	111:18 113:10
child 28:20	48:22 99:14	129:14	134:13 135:2	113:21 115:2
29:12,13,21	134:21,24	cold 180:23	137:15	120:10 122:6
30:15 40:24	Civil 1:18 6:9	collapse 41:14	completed 50:3	161:4.10
45:22 73:20	claimed 148:11	collected 37:1	51:5,17 82:1,8	confession 3:21
86:7,11 92:1	claiming 138:10	color 193:21	82:18,23 91:14	15:18,18 16:7
97:15 102:4	claims 120:17	195:15 205:4	94:17 117:7	16:9,10,12
103:24 104:1	classified 151:5	come 13:10 89:2	completely 36:4	94:1,3 104:17
110:10,18	cleaned 215:21	112:23 113:24	86:22 87:15,17	105:3 110:3,13
116:15 122:6	clear 3:14 80:11	156:15 157:22	135:23 136:24	110:16,21,22
127:13 129:4	91:11 98:4	202:3 204:3	137:12 179:2	110:24 111:9
129:14 170:11	107:9 108:1,5	205:11	206:17 213:12	111:19,22
179:18 180:11	109:18 115:12	comes 188:20	completing	112:1,2 115:9
180:21,22,24	116:11 131:22	comfortable	71:22 82:24	115:15,16,22
180:24 181:17	135:18 175:19	23:6.7	comprehension	116:1.6.9.12
181:22	189:23 191:17	coming 57:4	42:9	120:18 121:2
childhood 44:12	192:10.13	60:2 94:9	compress 44:1,3	121:15,17,20
children 28:18	193:4 198:13	95:19 96:10	146:20	122:1 123:2.24
30:7,21 31:1	200:7 205:6	128:7 141:3,7	compressed	128:12,18
39:21,24 40:3	216:16	146:23.24	124:21 125:2	129:15 130:8
40:10 41:10.13	clearer 132:13	156:16 159:16	146:8.22	161:9,13,24
41:18 42:1.4.8	138:17	183:18 193:22	compressional	166:13,13,15
43:16 61:19.24	clearish 205:7	193:24 194:2,6	177:13	166:17 183:16
96:23 97:7	clearly 113:9,22	195:2 204:19	computer	184:7,8,18
98:8 179:5	213:16	205:16,18	134:12	185:12,17
chin 77:18 210:5	close 130:13	207:9 216:4,21	concluded 39:17	186:8,15
210:11,13,17	158:24 159:3,5	commencing	180:11 217:14	187:19 189:20
212:1	close-up 133:23	1:22	concludes 217:9	190:5 200:18
choice 217:7	153:11 158:14	comment 16:9	conclusion	confessions
choke 177:13	closed 3:14	16:11 143:7	47:22 49:3	116:4,4,7
Chris 9:11	103:7 107:9	171:22	conclusions	121:3,5,7,8,10
circulate 149:18	108:1,5 109:18	comments 16:6	148:4	123:7
circumferenti	115:12 179:10	114:15,20,20	conduct 31:6	confirming
12:23	198:13	115:1,5	conducted 27:21	84:18
ı	I	l ´	l	

SCOTT DENTON

202:17 203:1.5

onversations 14:9,11 17:15 185:19 186:1,9 203:16,19,20 189:13 192:14 conflicting 190:8 194:3,21 17:20 18:2.9 203:21,21 192:24 200:19 202:21 203:14 conflicts 38:2 202:16,24 18:15 57:22 204:1,2,4,8,9 203:16,17,19 confused 47.5 58-4 59-18 204:10.206:24 204:1 206:21 confusing 139:24 140:1 204:4,7 216:10 81:23 82:9 207:4,8,9,19 onstriction 159:10.13 Cordaro 1:8 13:15,16 176:1 Cook 1:10,11 congestion corner 76:19 consult 201:19 3:11 8:15 consensus 105:9 109:23 112:9 consulted 106:5 15:14 28:4,9 144:4 coronary 47:9 consulting 28:10 30:3 22:15.17 31:24 32:5 oroner 26:21 31:17 32:22 201:11 consider 30:12 contact 145:2 34:18,21 35:1 48:18 49:19 64:3 65:1,5 35:1,7,9,11,15 35:16 36:6,10 40:14 54:23 171:1

contacted 164:11 166:6 167:14,17 105:1 150:4 36:15 37:9.9 37:12,22 46:13 cooperated 171.6 120:10 oroner's 26:10 26:11,19 35:20 contacting copies 213:19 164:21 214:5 36:9 37:8 39:8 contained 108:1 сору 60:4 107:5 contains 49:18 107:6 132:20 oroners 26:22 183:15 184:17 36:10 contention 104:18 106:15 correct 9:8 214:8 context 33:15,17 cord 43:5 45:16

45:16 50:13

contract 26:20 69:5 78:15,17 78:18.21.22 68:6 73:11 contractual 79:3,6,8,12,14 74:11 76:7 contradict 79:16 80:2 78:15 81:14,21 186:15 189:20 82:12 83:23.24 84-24 85-3 contradicted 84:10 87:18 86:17 92:17 88:1,2,4 89:20 92:8,12,15,23 94.5 102.11 contradictory 110:18 125:17 92:24 93:5.15 125:20 127:1 93:22 94:13 contributes 131:15,16 41:22.24 95:1 136:16.20 132:3 135:19 contributing 136:23 137:19 87:20 88:20 138:4.9.19.20 136:8 137:1.6 onversation

138:23 139:22 140:9.18.21 145:6 162:2,12 162:16 163:4 163:15 164:2 179:15,21,22 179:23.24 157:5 162:17 193:1 201:15 182:22 183:21

35:18,22 39:18 50:13,18 51:23 54:19 56:4 137:13,14,23 138:4.13.22 139:2,14 create 141:13 145:13 148:3 created 211:1 148:10,16 149:2 155:4,14 rime 161:4 criminal 21:3

correctly 6:5,15 50:20 correlate 60:18 ounsel 4:14 218:12.15 Counselors 4:11 count 27:24 counties 27:14 27:19 county 1:10,11 1:20 3:11 8:15 8:18 15:14 26:10.11 27:13 27:13 28:4,9 28:10 30:3 34:18.21 35:9 35:11,14 36:8 36:14,14 48:18 49:20 64:3 65:1.6 105:1 150:4 couple 5:15 28:21 113:4 168:23 ourse 119:20 173:12 178:16 ourt 1:1 4:23 5:20,21,24 62:14.18 63:1 covering 213:12 CPD 82:3 crazy 25:21.22

104:6,10 CROSS 131:4

185-8 23

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 57 of 63 PageID #:8541 SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

				Page 225
Cross-Examin	50:3 53:8 54:9	168:19	93:7,15,17	defendants 1:12
3:4,4 169:1	60:11 61:11	death 11:2,6,9	94:12,18,23	2:10 59:23
crosses 76:24	66:13 68:19	11:19,20 13:22	95:4,10 96:10	99:18
crying 120:5,6	69:9 72:5 82:8	13:23 14:1,3	96:12,12,15,24	defense 8:19
185:8	88:21 89:18	14:17,17 15:2	101:16 102:10	9:16,20 22:12
CSR-RPR 1:19	122:23 172:20	15:3,8,13,15	102:17 103:1	define 176:18,24
218:21	182:21 210:2	15:16 29:1,17	103:15 104:5,9	177:16
current 26:16	211:21 215:12	31:10,15,15	106:4,7 108:23	definitely 54:22
currently 15:12	216:1	32:17,17,18,19	109:16,21,23	102:14 114:14
26:9,24 27:2	dangerous 42:9	32:22,23 33:2	109:23 110:2,8	165:11 167:17
Curriculum	dangling 139:3	33:2,4,6,8,11	111:6 112:4	definition
3:13	207:22	33:12,15,17,18	114:8,10,18	176:11
curvilinear	dark 134:6	33:22 34:5,11	115:4,8,8	degree 87:15
97:21	153:19 154:4,9	34:20 35:5,17	118:4,8,13,15	89:21 120:11
custody 94:2,7	158:15	35:17,21,21	118:17 128:9	126:17 146:7
95:13,18,24	darker 140:24	36:2,7,13 38:3	128:19 129:11	163:3,10,18,21
cut 124:18	141:4 157:7	38:4,4,6,12,15	129:18 143:10	164:1,6
145:15 154:19	195:18 212:21	39:3,4,7,12,13	147:5 149:8,13	degrees 87:15
154:24	darkest 194:4	39:17,21 40:1	149:15,22,24	190:23 195:21
CV 208:9,12,15	darkness 209:12	40:4 41:23,24	150:2 156:16	200:1
	date 4:2 9:4,9	42:12,20,24	156:20 157:23	delay 38:17
D	31:15 51:15	44:8 46:14,17	158:1,4 160:9	169:22
D 3:1	118:12	46:18 47:1,1,2	169:4,8,15	deleted 20:24
D-e-n-t-o-n 5:10	dated 117:20	47:3,6,7,9,13	170:2,8,11	171:4
damage 155:23	dates 14:14	47:15,17,21	173:8,12	demarcation
Dancy 3:11,17	51:13	48:3,4,12,20	183:19 196:19	131:22
6:21 11:18	day 1:8,21 2:11	49:2 50:2,3,8	196:22 203:3	demonstrate
16:3 34:12	37:24 38:17	50:17 51:4,18	211:14,17	47:12
49:20 56:3,23	50:20 51:16,17	51:23 52:14,18	deaths 28:20,21	demonstrates
57:7,13,17	52:9 56:16,19	53:1,7,13,17	29:4 31:2	143:21
58:1,7,16	57:8 64:13.19	53:20 54:6.11	36:14 38:22	DEMOSTHE
59:24 61:17,23	65:9,16 66:2,4	54:13,18,23	40:9 142:13	1:7
62:14,18 63:23	66:6,8 69:13	55:12,17 56:1	debris 215:22	Denton 1:15 3:3
65:5 66:22	69:21,23	56:3,22 57:5	deceased 31:14	4:10 5:2,8,10
67:5,21 72:24	102:19 105:14	57:18 60:3,23	December 9:8	81:8 130:22
73:19 89:8	105:19 106:2	61:4,11,13	17:18 189:11	167:7,10
96:6 100:13	113:6 148:23	66:13 71:24	216:16	217:10 218:7
101:2 108:6,22	149:18 173:9	79:4,24 82:8	deemed 62:22	deny 116:24
109:6,15	202:1 206:6	82:24 83:8,11	deep 154:1	dep 22:24 80:24
119:22 122:13	days 7:6 9:21	83:19,20 84:1	155:19 156:14	department
124:10 125:5	10:9 14:8,20	84:8,11,12	deeper 195:4	6:24 7:2 48:22
125:10 126:2	15:7 17:12,14	85:15 86:9	deepest 178:18	52:21 53:3,9
181:22 182:13	112:16 113:4	87:20 88:21	193:24	53:15,21,22
198:22,23	156:15	89:22 90:6,16	deeply 155:23	56:2,23 57:6
199:1 200:10	dead 62:2	90:24,24 91:3	default 85:20	57:11,16 58:1
215:24	deal 30:11 37:12	91:4,13 92:9	defendant 2:16	58:7,16,23
Dancy's 16:3	dealt 30:24	92:12,16 93:1	18:16	59:2,9 61:16

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

61:18,22,24 103:1,10 104:4 61:2 138:15,20 200:15 describes 62:7 69:1,4 71:20 78:14 175:22,24 177:8,9 discuss 13:4 199:20 104.9 128.19 160.9 determine 15:2 32:16,22 33:1 Dickinson 32:1 discussed 10:8 100:12 106:11 describing dictate 70:18 106:23 107:6,7 110:9 111:17 34:19 36:1,7 10:18 12:13 13:1,18 15:6,8 18:1 91:20 description 35:7 46:14 48:12,14 die 126:11 118:19 119:3,8 119:12,19 designated 83:7,24 90:5 90:16 121:1 156:18 179:3 died 25:23 56:24 202:5 71:12 120:3,9,17 159:19 183:17 Designates 3:22 128:11 60:13 89:8 discussing 91:21 126:11 156:10 detached 135:8 determined discussion 128:1 32:13 33:4,11 42:11 73:22 141:16,18 143:17 167:11 186.7 detail 15:1 156:11 179:18 detailed 172:24 180:11,24 Department's 115:12 depend 15:16 details 119:15 119:24 78:21 79:23 80:4,9,15,19 dispute 39:20 40:3,8,16,20 40:22,23 41:13 197-2 dies 11:9 46:22 depended 15:16 depending 35:6 detective 3:17 94:11 111:6 176:14 3:19 57:12 163:15 differences 38:7 41:16,17,21 depends 14:1 59:22 99:17.19 determining 38:8 42:4,7,10,20 32:14 41:6,9 100:2,12 35:16,20 38:15 different 12:8 42:24 43:15 101:12.14 12:11 30:19 34:23 36:21 37:7 38:2 47:2 deponent 4:9,22 deposition 1:15 46:17 47:1 44:10.11.18.24 60:22 61:4 84:7 106:4 103:18 110:22 45:5,9,10,12 3:8,9,12,14,16 45:15.20.21 110:23 111:2,8 3:18,20,23 122:4 121:19 128:17 133:15 158:17 100:15 175:23 detective's 91:6 5:11 6:8.18.19 176:6 178:10 169:4.8.15 158:18 195:7 9:15 10:11 22:21 23:16,19 detectives 39:13 56:10,15,16,19 170:1,8 developed 73:19 differential 146:12 147:2 178:14,16 179:1,4,8 49:9 70:2 81:7 99:10,13 107:3 71:20 72:12 81:24 82:9,17 difficult 29:3 45:17,23 181:4 disputes 42:8 deviation 87:16 diagnosis 167:6 208:17 217:3,10,14 90:20 91:8,17 117:13 127:12 143:5 93:4,20 96:18 diagram 70:11 difficulties 42:5 distance 12:23 218.8 14 98.13 100.10 70.19 digital 215:6 distinction 104:8 120:19 diagrams 6:22 direct 3:3 5:6 depositions 5:13 DISTRICT 1:1 deps 23:2,3,4 deputy 36:10,15 121:15,16,19 121:22,23 183:9 209:20 81:10 108:13 Diante 3:17 16:3 138:23 147:15 describe 27:4 123:21 124:5 53:24 56:2.23 156:1 183:12 divides 66:4 30:6 76:9 186:8 57:7,13,17 DIVISION 1:2 58:1,7,10,16 58:23 59:3,10 59:24 60:11 doctor 64:13 66:2,3,6,8 112:20 214:21 105:8 144:16 direction 69:12 determination 150:10 182:4 192:20 193:8 54:18 55:16,24 56:21 57:5 directly 11:21 209:17 described 30:6 61:17,23 62:7 62:14,18 96:6 194:17,18 215:3 215:5,5 217:2 doctors 55:20 60:3 61:8,10 102:10.18 32:8 108:3 140:6 154:5 103:14 109:21 112:3 118:12 64:9,19,20 65:2,17 66:1,3 108:6 109:3,6 disagree 180:12

> Diante's 60:20 AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

109:11,15

119:21 122:13

137:18 138:10 190:2

122:23 129:7

157:21 188:11

188:12 191:11

194:16 196:24

197:1 204:9

209:13

118:16.16

124:9 128:8

149:6 183:18

determinations

48:19 50:17

SCOTT DENTON

				Page 227
	l		l	
127:24 201:12	drain 146:10,13	80:19 83:23,24	entire 64:14	1:16 3:3,5,6
201:19,23	147:2	84:10 88:9,19	82:18,19,23,23	5:6 28:16
document 51:10 133:5	Drive 2:14	89:20 92:5	105:24 135:24 183:6	66:20 68:15,16
documented	driving 10:14,15 drugs 169:21	93:11,16 94:13 95:1 108:8	entitled 4:8	68:18 71:22
73:2	Drusen 25:5	109:1 122:14	equally 66:4	72:2,23 81:14 82:24 83:4
documenting	due 201:14	124:10 126:8	especially 40:7	84:10,23 85:2
84:19 174:7	duly 5:4 218:8	129:1,8 138:20	45:16.22	85:5 97:14
documents	uniy 5.4 216.6	139:3,8 148:18	176:20	131:4 170:15
49:14 132:12	E	162:2 182:20	ESQ 2:2,3,7,13	202:15 208:20
133:6 135:8	E 3:1	183:5 185:1	Essentially 36:3	examinations
doing 22:22	e-trial 7:3	187:11.23	establish 208:12	28:6.8
25:24 35:13,19	ear 154:10,11,13	190:23 193:1,2	estimate 27:24	examine 72:4
53:1,7,13,20	154:14,18	193:6,13	et 4:9	examined 5:4
64:16 65:5	209:10,11	198:19 199:2	event 175:24	68:21,24 72:24
66:19,21 67:14	earlier 96:14	199:12,16,21	events 43:16	examiner 32:15
72:2 82:12	110:15	199:24 200:11	44:19,20 45:1	34:1 35:8
147:21 148:1	early 92:3	201:6,14	45:2,5,7,12	46:13 66:23
160:2 169:20	easier 132:10	202:17 209:6	eventually 44:8	90:10 159:12
183:6 201:12	easily 41:14	elbow 74:10	134:13	examiner's 3:10
Donoghue 39:2	EASTERN 1:2	elected 26:22	everybody	21:11 33:1
64:12,14,21	edema 188:19	email 3:8 15:24	214:16	34:15,19,22
65:7 66:7,16	206:1 216:10	20:23,23 21:1	evidence 57:20	35:10 38:22
71:7,16 105:13	either 11:14	23:21 24:2,8	73:24 74:1	39:1 46:3,5
201:21 202:3	18:1,9 21:10	24:11,16,19	79:7,16 85:22	48:9,19 49:19
dot 152:19	21:23 33:8	25:14,17	89:17 91:13	64:3 65:6
dots 144:1,3,13	36:24 37:10,24	164:16,24	97:3 98:9	66:10 67:7,10
145:19	42:10 54:2	165:2,3,20,23	101:22 111:2	68:10 81:12
downward	62:10 64:20	170:21,22	116:22 122:12	82:1 83:14
144:12	71:22 84:6	171:4	125:9 126:1,6	105:2 106:6
dozen 27:18	88:3,22 92:21	emailed 20:22	126:23 127:3,6	107:24 150:4
dozens 174:10	98:20 113:4 116:24 136:2,4	165:7	138:16,18	159:18,21
Dr 4:10 5:8,10	142:15,18	emails 15:22,23	141:11 150:21	214:23 215:3
26:17 39:2	169:11 177:12	164:15,22,23	151:5 155:20	examiners 38:23
64:12,14,21	183:8 189:1	employed 218:13,16	164:4 186:4	example 144:3 177:21 179:12
65:7 66:7,16 71:7,16 81:8	203:20 205:15	emplovee 26:18	196:7,10,11,12 196:16 197:16	excess 215:22
105:13 112:21	206:7	218:15	199:14 215:24	excess 213:22 exert 176:22
113:13,23	elastic 42:12,18	ended 70:3,3	exact 27:24	exert 176:22 exerted 176:8,20
113.13,23	45:17 50:13	ends 42:22 43:2	exactly 10:16	exhibit 3:8,9,12
115:1,5 130:22	53:3,11,16	43:3,8 140:15	12:19 13:4	3:14,16,18,20
143:6,9 167:7	54:4,10 55:9	163:24 204:9	15:10,10 16:6	3:22 22:21
167:10 201:21	58:8 60:22	207:17	29:17 75:5	23:16.18.19
202:3 217:10	61:3 67:22	enforcement	82:4 156:6	49:8,9,11,15
draft 60:9	69:5 78:15	37:13	170:24 173:4	49:17,24 50:23
drafted 3:16	79:5,14,19,23	engaged 180:21	191:22 194:5	51:3.3 59:21
59:22 117:22	80:2,9,13,14	entangled 201:6	examination	67:2 81:11,21
	· ·			,=-

SCOTT DENTON

disagreement

disagreements

66:5 105:7,18

107:21,24 109:20 112:6,8

112:12 115:10

106:2,24

112:11

112:10 disc 214:12

discrepancy

				Page 228
99:10,13 107:3		139:19 147:22	file 31:22 81:12	98:5 105:8
108:13 117:3	fabricated	147:23 176:13	100:20.21	108:4 110:13
118:11 131:9		216:7	134:7 215:3	117:10,13
132:6 133:8.9	120:18 121:15	fairly 159:3,5	files 215:4	123:19.20
133:9,10	122:10 123:8	165:15 176:4	filled 94:15	124:8 136:15
134:14 165:19	129:14	213:16	96:14 149:13	137:1,4,11
172:19 183:13	face 77:14	fall 126:10	fillet 155:11.11	138:12 149:2
184:10,11,14	142:21 145:5,8	140:12 177:24	filleted 153:14	160:19,24
198:12 208:8	145:21,23	fallen 179:17	filleting 97:2	161:5,7,11,14
208:17	196:11,12,21	201:7	154:1,21	166:20 177:6
exhibits 3:7	196:22 197:2,4	falling 139:23	158:10	180:13 182:20
22:23 80:24	197:5,11,16,17	174:19,22,23	filling 106:9	182:23 185:13
81:1	215:12 216:18	176:21	118:8	185:19 186:2,9
	facial 145:23	false 120:5	film 7:9 213:22	186:15 188:9
expect 93:12 193:19 194:10	facilities 27:1,17		final 39:2 52:18	
	27:19	121:7,9 122:10 familiar 25:7		189:19 190:1
194:13,14	fact 34:10 54:3,9		53:1,7,13,20	202:7,14 204:7
195:13,14	55:8 58:23	54:2 60:5,10	55:16,24 56:21	finger 158:15
expected 37:10	63:3 80:3	61:9 62:5	57:4 60:2 61:8	fingernail 97:22 98:1
experience	92:24 93:4,6	family 61:19,24	61:10 96:11	,
37:14 121:4	109:14 116:15	160:5 169:14 169:24	103:9,14	fingers 11:16
127:11 139:20	133:7 136:14		106:13 112:3	140:10 152:16
142:6 146:21	150:1 155:17	fan 29:20 30:16	118:4,15,16	finish 150:14
171:21 205:14	183:4 185:12	181:18	128:8 183:18	finished 23:4
expert 121:3,7	186:8,15 188:7	far 15:14 30:9	184:6,8	50:5
123:6	199:14 211:13	153:8	finalized 51:24	Firm 22:11,18
explain 29:9	factor 87:20	fatal 179:8	52:1 104:22	first 5:4,11 7:3
47:4,7 190:9	88:20	father 53:10,15	financially	13:12 24:5
200:14 204:15	facts 57:20 62:3	53:23 54:9	218:16	38:14 50:2
explanation	121:24 122:16	55:8 62:8	find 37:6 70:2	82:24 83:15
163:8 204:17	207:2	67:21 110:18	74:4 97:14	90:24 95:3,10
extensive 66:19	fade 76:24	126:24 127:2,6	105:17 125:19	101:1 106:4,7
exterior/interi	fades 77:4 183:9	128:24 198:23	132:24 133:19	143:10,13
83:5	204:2	216:1	134:1 145:18	155:16 190:18
external 28:8,15	fading 183:1	fault 135:8	145:24 146:3	190:21 191:2,5
68:15 84:10,23	203:15 206:17	favors 160:3	170:22,24	199:23 200:2,8
85:2 155:20	209:13	Federal 1:17 6:9	finding 37:10,11	200:24 201:20
externally 84:20	failed 119:13	feel 168:8,13	47:8 110:16	202:2,7
155:18	fainter 131:19	feeling 133:21	215:2	fit 102:1 110:13
extra 82:11,15	195:17	feet 80:20	findings 10:12	115:17
extremely 21:9	fair 6:6 41:1	fell 175:1 182:13	10:24 11:5,18	fits 47:17
26:2 169:10	52:16 53:5	182:16	33:9,10,10	fitted 42:12 53:4
exude 204:18	54:7 60:11	fellowship 46:11	36:4 37:8,16	79:20 201:6
exudes 188:13	66:12 90:3	felt 168:12	48:4,10 70:18	five 10:7 47:21
eye 143:9,9	91:16 103:1,22	female 19:10,10	73:22 82:7,8	64:19 65:17
eyelids 145:22	104:3 120:24	fight 120:12	83:7,17,22	66:3,5 198:19
eyes 196:24	123:3 139:17	figure 5:24	84:5,9,20	199:5 204:16
		23:15 198:3	85:13,14 94:18	fixed 88:1,4 89:4

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 58 of 63 PageID #:8542

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

				Page 229
00.5.7.1(2.22	22.12.16.27	e	20.5.45.7	114.10.116.0
89:5,7 163:23	32:12,16,21	foundation	28:5 45:7	114:18 116:9
177:23 207:17	34:24 35:2,2,3	40:12 44:14,22	68:15 176:9	118:1 131:6,9
flap 154:20	46:2,4,9,11	57:20 136:1	180:10 200:9	132:1 140:11
flat 86:22 145:4	48:8 105:10,15	137:22 141:14	fully 190:19	144:6 146:16
Flaum 25:11	forgive 5:16	145:11 150:5	further 3:6	149:5,23 150:7
floor 2:4 67:21	form 40:11	160:12,22	97:13 158:5	167:10 170:11
145:4,9 175:2	44:13,21 57:20	161:17,23	168:22 169:12	206:13 207:15
179:16	60:15 85:24	162:10 163:6	170:14 208:19	210:20,20
florid 196:20	87:11,21 89:23	163:20 166:10	208:20 216:24	goes 36:15 49:12
flowing 146:9	101:17 103:2	167:23 168:10	218:14	76:15,20
fluid 188:13,14	110:4,11,19	170:3 202:19	G	156:20 207:11
188:15,19,19	116:2 124:3	204:12 208:3		207:12 212:15
188:23,23	126:4,13 136:1	four 19:20 64:18	game 58:10	215:3,4
189:6,10	137:21 138:14	185:5,7,18	gap 10:19 132:2	going 6:4,14
204:19,21	139:11,24	186:22 188:3	133:19 135:18	10:11,12 13:9
205:6,7,11,16	140:22 141:14	190:13,16	136:21 137:15	19:24 22:20,21
205:18,21	141:21 145:11	198:19 199:5	162:2,11	23:13 38:1
206:1,2 215:21	150:5,13,18	204:16	163:15 194:4,5	49:8,23 51:2
216:9,10,11,12	154:12 156:12	fourth 40:8	194:13 195:4	52:11 59:20
216:14	158:3 160:12	fractures 47:10	202:23 203:1,4	60:7 67:1
Flynn 2:13 3:4	160:22 161:17	frankly 8:20	203:24 206:20	79:15 81:8,10
4:18,18 168:23	161:23 162:9	free 42:22 43:2	209:11,11,16	99:6,7,9,12
169:2 170:5,14	163:6,20 166:9	43:4,6,9 45:16	209:20,21,22	107:1 108:14
flynnk@gtlaw	167:23 168:10	45:23 139:5,7	Garelli 67:11,12	127:17 132:5,6
2:15	170:3 202:19	140:11,13,15	68:10	132:7,24 133:8
focal 152:18	204:12 208:3	162:16 181:7	Garrett 2:18 4:5	134:1,11,13,16
folds 210:15	formed 202:2	208:6,7	General 3:16	140:22 143:23
211:7	forward 176:21	freed 43:13	7:1 59:21	147:16 151:23
follow 101:21,23	177:24	freeing 42:5	generally 32:11	160:22 165:14
104:15 114:22	forwards 76:21	frenulum	34:1	167:1,7 168:5
194:21	142:19	144:11 152:15	getting 111:14	168:6,14,15
followed 164:17	found 67:21	152:23,24	164:11	169:3 174:3
following 59:6	69:6 73:2,5,9	153:1	girl 54:1	177:9 182:24
128:24	73:13 78:19	frequently 91:3	give 27:6 99:8	183:5,11
follows 5:5	90:24 92:1	149:9,10	200:22 201:16	184:13 190:11
force 40:23	110:18 124:14	fresh 145:13	given 15:19	194:21 198:1,5
41:18 43:17,19	125:16 150:11	Friday 105:22	36:17 56:7	198:9,17 205:2
43:21 44:2	150:16,20	106:1	84:4 92:19	207:10,24
138:4 141:1,2	171:1 172:20	front 1:20 4:4	124:1 133:6	209:23 210:19
141:6 147:10	177:7,7 179:16	11:14 12:20	166:17,21	212:8,11 213:1
150:17,20,21	180:19,23	77:14 141:5	183:16 189:20	215:10 217:11
176:8 195:2	189:12 190:4	142:16 153:17	giving 122:9	good 4:13 5:8
forces 41:14	196:2,5,8	154:6,23	129:15 133:14	20:13,20 27:23
forearm 146:24	197:10,13,16	182:24 190:11	go 5:16 6:22	121:5 129:21
foregoing 218:8	197:17,19,23	207:16 210:2,6	36:13 38:22	130:21,23
forehead 145:22	199:1 205:8	210:15 211:20	70:24 76:18	143:21 144:3
forensic 26:23	216:1,3,3	full 24:11 26:13	105:16 109:9	184:9
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

Googled 7:24 34:6,16 39:18 111:5,18 115:2 112:19 130:16 hearing 53:12 gotten 107:5 40.20 42.21 116:15 118:23 53-19 58-14 160.10 onestly 24:17 24:23 29:6 gravity 197:6 43:1,15 45:5,7 119:3,12 120:4 61:21 165:5 45:12 50:8 greater 141:1.2 120:17 122:8 heart 154:24 88:1 90:8 154:19 159:3 GREENBERG 53:11 83:13 122:21 129:13 held 69:17 86:5 87:9 161:3,14 GROGAN 1:11 94-13 24 165:12 172:6 162:12 163:5 211:6 212:14 hooded 199:17 112:14 113:16 groove 178:18 172:14.15 169:3.7 170:7 horizon-- 86:19 horizontal 10:19 grossly 156:13 114:8,14 142:1 184:24 189:21 213:9 help 22:7 47:4 58:11 109:4 162:4 142:7,10,13 ground 5:17 208:17 Harris's 3:20 16:7 22:11,14 143:2,4,10 146:3 147:8 12:2 86:4,17 86:21,23,24 126:10 182:13 182:16 193:13 164:8 175:20 175:22,23 emorrhage 113:16 114:13 116:17,18 129:23 176:18 201:7 38:9 39:6.14 group 39:23 65:17 133:10 62:15,19 110:2 74:16 75:13 155:21 157:7,9 177:5.5.8 111:21 114:2 178:20,24 115:14,21 157:11 158:8 177:1 178:4 guess 39:23 46:22 70:5 181:17 201:9 116:1.12 158:14 orizontally 84:2,22 89:1 201:14 211:8 118:20 121:1 76:17 162:13 hemorrhaging hospital 58:2 108:24 109:9 91:11 113:20 211:11 121:19 123:2 155:6.6.8.10 116:10 150:12 anging-type 128:10 161:9 heroin 47:12 11:2.6.19.20 172:10.11 166:13 175:4 hit 175:1 our 16:20 174:5 177:15 happen 178:22 183:16 190:5 hits 8:1 21:12 98:17,17 hold 37:19 38:14 179:11 191:15 199:10 200:21 179:13 98:18 happened 8:14 33:8 37:2 head 5:23 11:10 11:11,22 12:2 39:11,13 49:5 50:16,21 90:18 ours 70:5 119:9 184:3,3 195:13 guessed 70:4 guessing 8:24 19:18 69:20 42:15 55:5 86:13 91:3 12:21,23,24,24 13:10 41:7 90:20 94:9,16 95:12 96:9 184:3 house 19:3 27:7 gum 144:2,2 104:2 150:4 47:10 54:4 102:17 159:22 housekeeping 166:11 76:15 78:9 160:6,6 169:14 208:9 38:6 91:22 hub 27:17 87.5 105.14 170.1 177.14 HALE 2:7 half 16:20 70:5 134:6 145:6,19 holder 69:17 hubs 27:17 103:4 149:9.10 holding 98:2 193:13 194:9 146:10,12 human 141:12 98:17.18 hard 30:9 43:3 147:1 153:21 105:20,21,21 humeral 153:21 132-24 153-5 155-4 157-2 11 194-18 195-10 194-15 154:9 175:2 159:1 206:17 155:4 159:1 hands 177:19,19 harder 43:20 210:6.8.10 Hollis 22:11 175:1 humerus 75:11 157:2,12 handwrite Harris 1:3 3:8,9 Headquarters 119:21 homicidal 44:19 149:15 45:1,4 83:8,9 84:1 211:15 3:12 4:9,15,17 8:1 15:17 21:2 22:21 23:16,19 handwritten heads 175:1 hundred 5:15 32:2 71:2 healing 156:7,20 158:5 homicide 8:18 hvpo-- 205:3 15:12,13 47:18 47:24 48:15 handwrote 37:18 49:9,12 hypothesis 52:15 93:21 96:19,23 health 47:16 200:8 hanged 50:13 97:7 98:7 hear 171:11 52:4 83:19 hypothetical 95:1 142:4 99:13 100:13 85:23 86:3 129:20 180:15 heard 119:5 hanging 11:2,9 12:1 29:6 101:1,13 103:13,23 181:3 194:22 195:7 202:20 138:8 176:11 89:22 92:17.23 205:16 206:9 101:16 104:19 30:12,16,20 104:13 110:9 207:7 209:9 106:16 109:24 204:13 208:4

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 231

				Page 231
	:ald- 22-12	indicating 11:17	112.24 115 1 2	:
I	include 23:13 40:7 84:17	indicating 11:16 153:19	113:24 115:1,3 127:9 128:10	instrument 42:12 79:4.24
idea 171:5 172:6	40: / 84:1 / included 30:12	153:19 indication 70:2	127:9 128:10 128:15,23,24	42:12 /9:4,24 92:9,12,16
identification		73:9.12 83:18		
23:17 29:11	83:4 85:9 133:21	97:14 155:12	130:1,3,9,12 147:20 149:20	93:6,15,16 instruments
49:10 69:16	incomplete	indications 98:5	149:23 169:22	89:19
208:18 215:14	129:19 180:14	125:4 150:17	201:9	intake 149:17
215:20	181:2 202:20	indicative 74:18	informed 59:9	intake 149:17
identify 4:11	204:13 205:3	196:12	62:13.18 92:11	178:12
ignore 54:20	204:13 203:3	individual 4:21	95:9 104:12	intentionally
Illinois 1:1,21	inconsistent	131:1 160:10	119:7 148:10	176:15
2:4,9,14 4:4,7	86:5 92:18	162:8		interested
26:21 27:15,20	138:19 143:3	individuals	informing 100:12	218:17
47:23 218:6,22	149:2 174:9,11	142:3	initial 149:6	interesting
imagine 179:12	174:16 190:5,6	inexperienced	initial 149:0	32:14 180:17
imagining 43:3	190:7,7,13,17	171:24 172:4	90:8 112:14	internal 68:16
immediately	203:1,4	infant 28:20	143:9	85:5 158:20
171:20	indefinitely	31:2	injuries 74:13	internally 84:20
impact 103:9	130:14	infants 29:5	74:17,18	Internet 7:21
implies 177:6	indicate 59:3,7	40:7	108:20 151:8	8:9 20:17
important 15:19	70:8 75:22	influence 102:9	157:14 163:4	62:21 63:18,19
15:20 54:21,23	77:23 79:7.16	102:24	172:20.23	interrogated
55:2 106:17	86:3,16 87:4	influenced	173:2,16,19,23	119:8
impossible	98:6 108:6,22	112:1,3 115:6	174:1,2,8,18	interrogation
126:18 137:18	109:15 125:10	128:18	174:24 179:6	118:20 119:4
impression 79:1	126:2 143:1	inform 101:12	182:12 211:9	119:16.21
151:14 167:22	151:24 155:15	information	injury 40:9,20	120:6,24
182:5 187:1	182:20 187:19	20:8 31:12.18	42:20.24 50:12	121:14 122:23
192:5,6,7,7,21	187:22 189:2	32:7 33:16.21	52:6 80:1 95:1	128:11 184:4
193:8 194:1	194:5 196:8	33:24 34:14	151:5 160:14	interrogations
212:19,20	209:6 211:14	36:24 37:14,19	160:16 163:9	119:24
impressions	211:16	38:11,13,20	163:16,22	interview 3:17
78:22 inch 75:14 76:15	indicated 50:15	48:21 54:5,12	178:20 182:4	57:13,16,23
76:23 78:11	85:22 91:13	54:14,17 55:3	189:7	58:24 59:23
	92:17 93:1	55:15,19 58:14	inner 143:24	108:7
151:13,16,17 151:19 152:6	131:12 136:7	61:7 68:5,7,11	144:1 151:11	interviewed
157:10 158:9	142:22 151:2	90:7,18 92:21	innocence 19:16	57:8 58:5
182:9,9 191:14	167:14 185:6	94:20 95:14,16	119:14 120:7	61:18
191:14 192:4,4	206:12	95:19,20	168:19 172:3	interviewing
inches 75:14	indicates 13:6	101:14 102:14	inside 100:21	96:6
76:14 77:18,19	13:12 36:22	102:15,22	144:1,10,20,21	interviews 62:11
77:20 78:6,8,9	52:22 68:9	103:13 104:17	155:24	96:7
78:11 80:10,16	87:23,24	104:23 106:14	inspector 68:9	intimidate 168:9
80:18,20 152:8	122:13 135:21	106:17 107:13	inspector's	intoxication
incision 82:13	151:24 155:16	110:8 111:4,15	66:23 67:4	179:18
incisions 97:2,2	155:17 199:15	111:17,24	instance 179:14	intramuscular
11101310113 77.2,2	215:17	112:2 113:10	instances 179:5	75:12 155:6,21
I	1	1		

				SCOTT DENTO
				Page 232
157:7	168:21	203:20 204:8	128:13	32:7 132:15
intraoral 151:12	issue 191:15	210:2 211:20	jury 63:8	133:12.13
151:15 182:6	192:9	215:12.24	July 05.8	135:15
introduced	issues 6:13	Jaquari's 53:10	K	Kelly 1:8 2:11
19:22	96:20	53:15,22 56:3	Kamionski 2:7	3:19 99:17
inverted 11:13	items 68:24	57:7 59:12	3:4,5 4:20,20	100:3
142:16 178:19	78:14	61:17 62:9	10:1,1,2 12:13	Kenneth 22:10
178:23	/8:14		13:19 14:8,12	
	J	78:19,23 79:9	14:22 17:5.9	kept 215:8,9
investigation	J1:8	79:21 80:7	17:19 18:2,12	kid 147:7
3:11 31:19	Jackson 2:8	91:13 93:5 108:23 109:15	18:13 23:2,9	kill 122:18
32:19,19 33:5	jail 8:2 120:13		26:6 40:11	129:14
33:13,14 34:7	JAMES 1:8	135:23 136:17	44:13,21 57:19	killed 116:15
34:9,15 35:5	Jan 2:3 4:16	136:21 140:21	58:12,21 60:14	156:10 179:6
36:6,9,11,16	January 1:22	189:20 190:4	62:3 75:1.24	205:12
36:17 37:20,23	4:2 16:23	190:20,24	79:10 80:23	killing 93:21
38:6,11,16	218:10	195:15 198:20		100:13 101:2
39:7,8 48:11		200:1 202:16	85:24 86:10	102:4 103:23
49:21 50:4,18	Jaquari 3:11	209:5 216:17	87:11,21 88:12	110:10 122:6
51:8,12 54:6	6:21 11:17	Jenner 22:17	89:23 101:17	kind 28:22 31:8
61:12 66:14	16:3 34:12	job 35:6 46:8	103:2 108:9	44:17 76:16
67:5 68:12	49:20 50:3	Joey 2:2 4:13	110:4,11,19	153:19 157:15
83:13,15 84:4	53:8,16,23	168:24	116:2 122:15	158:11 165:17
90:4 96:16	54:3,9,10 55:9	joeymogul@a	123:4,10 124:3	165:17 171:15
102:20,24	55:11 56:24	2:5	126:4,13	172:10 183:1
103:5,6,9	58:2,8,11 59:4	JOHN 1:8	129:19 130:21	184:24 195:20
105:5,6 116:20	60:12,13,21	joint 75:11	130:24 131:1,5	216:12,14
159:23 169:11	61:3,11 62:1	Joliet 65:2	132:19,22	kitchen 19:3
170:10 180:10	63:4,23 65:5	Jones 112:21	133:3,5,12,16	kneeling 176:21
investigator	66:13,22 67:5	113:13,23	134:11,20,23	177:21
67:9 90:9,10	67:20 68:18	114:3,3,6,20	135:5,7,12,15	knew 56:12 57:2
investigator's	69:8 72:5,23	143:6,6,9	150:14 166:24	57:10,17 58:1
36:21 37:7,17	73:19 82:7	Jones' 115:1,5	167:9 168:22	92:2 97:12
65:19 66:24	88:21 89:8,18	journalism 19:1	180:14 181:2	104:4,8 108:23
67:6,7 147:17	98:2 100:13	19:14,16 20:13	183:7 184:18	119:10 128:13
196:4	101:2 108:7,22	165:10 167:18	184:20 191:3	147:24
investigators	108:23,24	171:7	191:21 193:11	know 6:3 8:14
34:4	109:4,12	judge 63:10	199:19 200:4	8:22 11:1
involve 211:11	122:18 124:9	121:5 214:7,15	202:11,13	12:22 13:7
involved 16:13	125:4,10 126:2	jugular 41:8,22	208:19 212:5	15:14,15 16:12
29:1,2 179:9	126:8 129:1	44:1,4 124:24	217:1,2	17:22 19:2,8
involves 84:18	145:4 156:9	146:8,15,19,20	Kamionski's	19:12,17 20:10
involving 30:21	172:20 181:22	146:21 147:1	182:19	20:14 21:20
31:1 45:7	182:13,21	July 26:1 117:20	Kankakee 65:3	22:22 25:18,19
180:22	193:12 194:19	jumping 29:19	Karen 61:18,23	26:21 27:18
Irrespective	195:9,11 196:1	June 51:20	62:8	30:1 33:7 37:9
161:3,24	198:23 199:1	52:10 118:4,6	keep 27:23 31:5	37:21,21 39:3
irritated 168:18	200:10 203:18	118:11 128:7	31:8,10,21,23	39:23 40:13
			l	l

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 59 of 63 PageID #:8543

				rage 200
44:16 45:3	200:14 201:24	22:11,18 37:12	levels 43:17	211:2 212:12
54:17 55:4,5	204:24 206:7.8	LAWRENCE	Liaison 159:19	212:15 213:8
55:13,20,22	206:9 207:4,6	1:11	License 1:19	213:11,13
57:14 60:18	209:15,19,21	lawyers 166:5	218:22	light 37:17 77:2
62:23 63:2,3,6	211:7 214:16	lax 80:17	lied 119:13	77:3
63:7,9 64:24	215:8,22 216:8	laving 80:17	ligature 10:12	liked 114:4
69:24 71:23	216:10,15	139:5	10:17,20,23	likeliness 126:17
72:6,11,14,19	knowing 120:23	lead 44:5.8	11:10,12,13	line 77:1 131:21
77:18 79:18	121:13 163:12	leading 39:20	12:5,10,12,14	182:24 183:2
82:3,4,20	knowledge	40:1.4.9	12:17,19 13:2	210:17,18,21
86:24 89:6	113:20	leads 48:14	13:5,7,13	212:15,17
90:12 92:20	known 55:15	150:1	14:16 42:16,22	linear 210:21
93:8 94:20	89:13 119:23	learn 34:1	43:2,4,11 52:7	lines 80:6 183:3
97:18,19,22	148:14 149:1	141:10	76:6,9,10,20	194:10 209:4
98:10,14,16	212:22	learned 67:20	77:2,6,10	210:24 211:1,1
100:20 101:6	knows 108:23	101:1	78:18 79:13,20	211:24 212:12
101:19,20,23	108:24	leave 110:20	86:4,16,20	212:23 213:10
101:24 103:5	Koda 7:7	129:22 130:12	87:7.8 91:18	lip 143:24 144:1
104:16,18,20	Kodachrome	130:13	113:15 114:13	144:2,11,12,18
105:8,13 106:2	7:11 214:20	leaves 178:17	116:17,18	144:20,20,21
106:15 107:19	Kodachromes	left 8:15 74:14	129:23 131:13	144:22 151:11
112:5,13,18	7:5,8 134:8,10	76:16,19 77:7	131:17,20	152:3,5,15
113:3,9,11,15	213:15,20,22	77:19 78:7,10	132:2 136:4	182:11
113:17,17,19	213:23 214:5,8	78:12,24 79:1	137:15 141:17	lips 145:9
113:22,22	214:12 215:8	131:16 136:8	141:20,24	152:11,12
114:1,3 115:16	Kyle 2:13 4:18	140:19 141:1,4	142:9,14,20,24	196:24
116:5,7 119:15	<u> </u>	144:4 151:20	146:23 147:14	list 165:3
122:21 128:2	L	153:13,15	160:15 161:20	literature
135:7 148:20	L 1:18 2:2,13	154:4,5 157:2	162:1,3,7	181:15
148:24 151:23	218:4,21	157:5,6,9,11	163:12,14,23	Litigation 4:6
154:7,8 162:16	lack 42:9 146:16	157:23,23	176:3,7,9,18	little 14:23
165:13,15,17	180:12	192:3 194:1	176:20,23	38:18 47:5
166:2 168:2,3	lamp 179:15,22	195:4 209:5	177:1,3,12	53:24 80:5,6
168:14 169:18	179:23,24	210:11,12,13	178:3,4,17	132:12 138:17
169:19,21	206:24 207:8	212:4	179:2,9 185:15	144:1,3,13
171:16,18,24	Landando 1:9	legal 62:23	186:20,23,24	154:9 168:16
172:13,16	2:11	length 70:6	187:6 189:19	209:14 213:16
173:11,16,19	lanyard 29:6,8	80:10,16,18,21	190:2,3,9,10	213:17
175:8 177:7,11	30:15 147:4,11	lesser 120:11	190:13,19	lividity 196:9,15
179:11 180:2,6	147:12 181:17	let's 5:22 131:9	191:8,11,13,18	197:6
180:17 184:2	large 146:11 larger 194:13	132:11 135:15	191:20 192:1,5	living 55:10
184:16 186:21		173:20 177:20	192:21,23	livor 196:17,17
187:2,4,13,17	larynx 43:21 44:3	177:21 200:24	193:1,2,5,8,20	197:10
191:1,4,13	44:3 LaSalle 4:6	208:12	194:24 195:14	LLC 2:7
196:1 197:13	lateral 174:21	lethal 45:6 47:11	200:9 202:22	LLP 2:12
197:21,22	law 2:2,3,7,8,13	level 43:19,21	203:5,9,12	located 27:5
198:22 200:13	14 17 4.4,3,7,0,13	43:23	206:14,16	151:9
		•		•

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

210:4,8 211:22 156:11 nastoid 206:18 26:12 13 211.23 manner 13-22 163:14 176:18 209.917 log 30:10 31:11 31:12,21,23 loop 139:11 179:10 180:7 natch 78:22 14:1,3,17 15:2 15:3.8.13.15 185:15 186:20 natched 79:6 32:1,2,4,5 15:16 29:17 207:10 loops 80:5,6 long 10:6 16:17 32:17,18,23 190:10 191:8 80:2 122:1 16:19 18:4,5 198:19 213:16 33:2,12 34:20 35:17,21 36:7 191:12.13.18 123.14 19:21 23:21 matches 213:7.9 213:17 192:8.21 193:2 47:1,3,15,21 48:12,20 49:2 38:19 69:19 ose 80:13,19 193:9 195:14 213:10 70:8 98:12 material 148:18 86:9 147:11 200:9 202:22 50:17 54:6,12 54:18,23 55:16 156.3 loosen 138:2 203.9 12 naterials 3:10 onger 14:23 lose 126:9 7:4,14,20,23 204:15 206:14 38:18 65:1 loss 26:3 56:1.22 57:5 206:16 208:8 8:5 26:7 49:18 130:15 139:8 60:3 61:4,11 212:20,21,23 lost 154:16 matter 20:2 ook 7:20.23 8:5 lot 25:24 146:1 83:20 84:1.8 213:11 mattress 88:7 23:20 30:2,10 149:5 159:9 84:12 85:15 89:5,7 marked 3:22 max 20:4 173:10 31:4 48:11 lots 144:4 90:6 91:1 23:3.17.19 96:11 101:15 49:10 59:21 low 40:24 41:1 maximum 41:3 69:20.21 72:8 43:16,19,21,23 102:10.17.24 99:8.10.13 173:10 94:17 99:4.22 45:13,22 103:15 104:5,9 107:2 131:13 McCorkle 4:6 108:14 148:8 lower 77:14 106:4 109:21 132:5 183:12 McLean 1:21 154:1,10,23 132:1 144:11 109:23 110:2,7 206:14 208:18 8:17 26:10 27:13 35:9.14 157:20 159:4 151:18 152:5.3 111:6.11 112:4 marking 132:18 168:5 172:17 152:12 153:3,9 114:10 115:4,8 36:8,13 luck 20:13 nean 11:7 13:24 188:10 196:7 118:13,17 markings 197:7,20 202:3 204:11,23 lungs 188:14,18 188:19 206:2,3 128:8,9,19 129:18 171:15 178:17 15:12 19:18 marks 10:17 20:21 21:5,9 211:3,5 212:23 ooked 7:4,5 lying 67:21 145:4 196:22 176:2,8 183:19 211:17 12:13,14,17 13:2,5 14:24 22:6,12 26:20 27:16 36:23 8-11 13 28-11 manual 161:15 74.8 9 76.6 7 37.18 40.14 M 177:12,17 76:10 77:6,11 41:5 43:24 68:18 112:16 M 1:8 125:13 152:2 mark 10:13.20 78:18 79:1.13 44:15 46:4 M.D 1:15 3:3 156:5 196:9,9 10:23 11:13 79:20 86:16,20 56:17 60:17 5:2 218:7 196:10 14 12-19 13-13 86:21 91:18 62:20 64:16 200:13 212:16 machine 214-12 14:16 22:21 main 27:7 168:2 looking 35:24 51:13,14 97:5 49:8 64:8.24 98:1.11 131:13 72:17 74:16 making 48:19 50:16 55:16,22 71:7,9,16 76:20 80:24,24 141:17 142:9 142:24 144:8 78:6 83:10 144:10 154:7 84:3,3 86:11 156:23 212:7 56:21 102:17 87:8,8 98:4 151:15 160:8 86:13 88:1,5 103:14 149:5 113:15 114:13 ooks 23:21 161:20 175:11 88:15 89:12 90:8 91:21 93:9 99:3 51:13,15,20 213:17 116:17.18 177:3 189:19 ale 179:15 129:23 131:17 52:10 69:22 190:2,3,10,19 131:20 132:2,6 180:19 76:13 77:16 192:6.7 193:20 101:19 103:4 nalnourished 135:1 142:7 194:24 202:15 134:12.13 103:21 113:17 152:5,14,17 154:2 156:22 141:20,24 142:20 144:14 114:3 115:7 121:5 123:12 74:2 209-14 210-21 man 29:5 58:10 212:19 213:13

160:15 162:1,3 AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

213:14

126:15,19

SCOTT DENTON

manifested

156:24 209:15

SCOTT DENTON

Page 235

127:11 134:5	107:24 137:11	messed 23:10	133:4,10,13	move 71:23
142:1 149:12	137:17 138:12	met 17:1,15	134:16,22	movement
159:2,3 165:8	138:18 150:4	71:19 93:22	135:4,6 136:1	146:22 158:4
165:13 168:1	159:12,17,21	100:10 123:21	136:9 137:21	203:19
173:21 174:2,3	163:18 164:7	MICHAEL 1:9	138:14 139:24	moving 185:8
174:3 176:15	214:23 215:3	microscopic	140:22 141:14	203:20,20,21
177:17 180:7	medically	33:10	141:21 145:11	mucosa 143:24
	137:17	midline 76:14		144:2,17,19
184:1,2 186:19			150:5,13,18,22	
188:10,12	medications	76:24 77:17,18	153:23 154:12	151:12,15
189:5 190:7,9	6:13 medicine 35:4	159:6	156:12 158:3	182:6 188:17
191:7,10,12		migrating	160:11,21	215:17 216:6,8
192:8 207:16	Medill 19:1,2,13	156:19	161:17,23	216:21,21
211:3,5,6,7	19:14 170:20	mild 74:21,21	162:9 163:6,20	mucosal 216:23
212:14,18,18	171:7 172:3	millimeter 7:9	166:9 167:23	mucus 216:15
213:21 214:6	meeting 29:16	Milwaukee 2:4	168:10 170:3	216:15,22,22
216:9	38:24 55:19	minus 106:2	170:16 184:16	216:23
meaning 7:8	65:22 96:18	minute 20:3	184:19 198:1	mug 101:10
28:9 78:4	99:19 105:6	minutes 10:7	198:11 202:10	multiple 43:5
123:15 130:6	107:21 112:23	16:20 18:7	202:19 204:12	45:24 114:4
means 5:24	149:21	20:2 98:14,16	205:2 207:13	135:9 136:17
16:12 111:13	meetings 128:5	169:13	208:3,21	137:5,10 138:9
155:21 196:18	member 58:15	mischaracteri	216:24	138:11,21
205:6	58:22 59:2,9	141:22	moment 198:2	148:22 150:11
meant 16:15	61:22 62:6	mischaracteri	Monday 105:21	150:16 164:3
measured 12:19	119:2,7,11,19	138:15,16	106:1 113:7	182:21 183:3
80:13 162:22	120:3,9 160:5	140:23 150:19	monster 120:5	186:18,19,24
measurement	169:14,24	200:5 207:14	month 8:22	187:5 204:16
78:7	members 53:2,9	mischaracteri	16:23 28:21	207:5
measurements	53:14,21 56:1	150:24	38:18,18	murder 103:20
142:24	56:22 57:6,11	misrepresents	months 9:1	103:24 104:4
mechanism 13:8	57:15,24 58:6	108:10	63:14	120:12
media 8:8	61:16 78:13	misstates 58:12	morgue 27:1,17	muscle 74:15
medical 3:10	106:5,11	62:4 110:20	morning 4:13	75:13,18
21:11 32:15,24	118:18 120:18	mistake 112:13	5:8 65:16	153:16,21
33:5 34:1,14	127:23 183:16	113:18 143:11	66:14 201:2	154:2 157:8
34:18,22 35:8	memory 22:5	mixed 206:2	mortality 44:12	158:7,11,16,23
35:10 38:22,23	99:2,4 100:1,7	moderate 74:21	mortis 196:17	158:24
39:1 46:3,5,10	159:5	Mogul 2:2 3:3,5	197:10	muscles 97:19
46:13 48:4,9	men 72:21,22	3:6 4:13,14 5:7	motel 179:16	158:12
48:10,18 49:19	mention 16:2	5:16,19 6:2,8	mother 58:17,24	
52:21 64:3	66:18 96:7	22:20 23:7,11	59:4,10,11,13	N
65:6 66:9,22	199:17	49:6,11 81:3	59:14 62:8	N 3:1
67:7,10 68:10	mentioned 16:1	99:6 107:1	95:13,18	name 4:4,13 5:9
74:23 81:12	18:24 63:18	108:11 110:6	113:10,20	9:13 19:8,11
82:1 83:14	66:16 115:18	127:15 130:20	179:17	20:20 25:7
89:21 90:9,10	142:13 143:7	131:7 132:18	motor 45:22	27:9,10 31:14
105:1 106:6	143:16	132:20,23	mouth 152:22	39:4 131:1
100.1	1.0.10	102.20,20		

164:18,19 165:1,2,5 171:3 names 72:14 120.4 Nancy 112:21 143:6 nares 188:13 204:18 205:10 natural 47:17,24 48:15 nature 6:23 10:18 14:18 86:3 177:14 196:21 near 180:20 necessarily 186:17 necessary 179:1 neck 10:13.17 11:12,14,15 12:20:20:13:7 29:10 41:3 53:17 54:11 55:2,7,10 58:9 59:11.12.15 62:1,9 63:5 67:23 74:13 76:7,14,16,19 76:20 77:5,7,8 77:14.17.19.2 78:1,5,8,19,23 79:2,9,17,21 80:1,7 86:8 91:19 93:5 108:8 109:1,8 109:12 122:14 126:9,21 127: 127:13 129:1.5 129:8 131:13 131:17.23 132:3 133:20 133:24 134:3 135-23 24 136:6,13,17,21

136:24 137:3.6 94-20 105-4 137:10,16,20 140:14 168:6 138:1.10.11.21 170:10.11 139:22 140:9 171:18 174:8 140:21 141:1 2 needed 102:15 141:4 142:15 149:22 192:12 142:16.17.20 needs 36:24 142:21 146:24 200:12 Neely 64:8,24 148:12.19 160:9,15,15,16 161:20 162:2 neglect 73:24 162:12 163:5 175:9 180:11 163:22 164:3 neglected 73:23 175:11 176:1 176:19 177:1,3 neither 218:12 nerve 124:20.2 177:4,6,10,19 125:1 177:19,22 never 16:9 28:19 178:3,17,19 53-2 8 14 22 179:2,21,23 59:10,14 60:4 180:1,20,23 60:8 62:6 75:4 181:7 182:22 118:24 119:2 183:1,6,10 185:3,7,16,18 120:14 16 185:22 186:18 162:14 166:1 186:19,22,24 172:2 176:11 177:24 184:3,5 187:5,12 188:3 189:20 190:4 191:9.15 192:8 192:10 197:18 190:14.16.20 190:24 191:19 206:9 192:3 193:3,21 news 101:1,5 193:23 194:1 113:21 114:1 194:11,16 newspaper 8:7 195-3 15 20 198:20 199:2 Nicole 1:3 3:20 4:8,15,17 7:24 15:17 16:7 199-16-21 200:1,9,11 202:18 203:2.6 21:2 22:11 203:17,21,22 37:18 38:9 203:22 204:17 39:6.13 49:12 207:5,12,16 62:15,19 93:21 98:7 100:12 209:5,7,19 210:3,4,14,19 101:1,13 211:9.21.24.24 103:13.23 212:4,9,10 104:13 110:2,9 213:8 111:5,18,21 ecks 54:1 114:2 115:2 need 23:14 90:3 118:19,23

215:13.18.20 216:21 nostril 216:11 nostrils 205:9 notation 76:21 notations 76:22 note 12:16 31:13 31:18 100:21 noted 50:7 73:6

73:15.18 76:6 119:3,12 120:4 96:15 125:17 121:1,19 122:21 128:10 125:23 131:16 131:19 132:1 129:13 161:3,9 161-13 165-12 135-18 155-5 166:13 172:6 notes 3:19 6:22 22:7 70:11,19 172:14.15 71:1.3 76:12 190:5 199:10 200:21 81:13,16 82:2 night 22:23 23:4 82:3 98:20.22 nine 28:6 99:3,17 100:23 nods 5:23 128:4 Noradin 1:9 2:11 99:20 oticed 185:22 noting 155:10 100:2 November 9:3 number 31:14 North 2:4 4:6 NORTHERN 69:16.81:7 134:20 138:24 Northwestern 151:3.3.4.4.10 152:14 153:2,3 19:15 20:19 156:21.22 164:23 165:10 158:6.7.13.17 167:11,18 158:18,19,20 168:19 170:20 167:6 191:23 171:1 172:2 203:7.10 Northwestern 206:15 171:2 umbered 135:6 nose 125:10,12 numerous 28:20 125:17 126:2 185:22 186:3,5 28:20 31:2 188:8,16,22 189:3,4,7,9,13

SCOTT DENTON

O'REILLY 1:11 oath 4:23 5:5 object 140:22 141:12 160:11 160:22 205:2 objection 40:11 44:13.21 57:19 58:12,21 60:14 62:3 75:1.24 79:10 85:24 86:10 87:11.21 101:17 103:2 108:9 110:4,11

189-17 204-19

216:4,14,19,21

205:8,12,19

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 60 of 63 PageID #:8544

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

				Page 237
110:19 116:2	office 2:2 3:11	25:10 26:3,18	107:17,23	203:8 207:21
122:15 123:4	9:12 18:11	27:8,12 29:8	108:5 110:1,7	208:10,24
123:10 124:3	21:11 26:11,11	32:24 33:17	111:1,1,12	209:3 210:1,7
126:4,13	27:57 33:1	34:17 35:13	112:20 113:12	210:10,13,23
129:19 136:1,9	34:7,19 35:20	36:8 39:24	114:15,21,24	211:19 213:2
137:21 138:14	37:8 38:22	40:15,16 41:4	115:11 117:2,4	213:19 214:9
139:24 141:14	39:1 46:3,5,6	43:23 44:4	117:11,11,16	214:14 215:11
141:21 145:11	48:9,19 49:19	46:12,21 47:4	117:19 121:12	215:16,23
150:5,13,15,18	64:3 65:6	47:14,20 48:7	121:12 122:20	216:2,5,13,16
154:12 156:12	67:10 68:10	49:17,22 50:1	124:8 125:3,9	old 29:21,23
158:3 160:11	70:22,24 82:1	50:7,22 51:2	128:23 129:3,6	156:3,6
160:21 161:17	101:24 104:15	52:1,13,22	129:9,12,16	Older 29:23,24
161:23 162:9	105:2,18 106:6	53:7 54:16,16	130:2,2,15,20	once 103:22
163:6,20 166:9	107:24 109:20	54:22 55:13	130:21 131:3,7	148:12 209:7
167:23 168:10	112:7,9 114:23	56:8,15,20,20	131:8,10 132:8	one's 43:12 48:4
170:3 180:14	120:21 150:4	56:20 57:4,15	132:14,16,18	one-tenth
181:2 200:4	159:18,21,23	57:24 58:6,15	133:4,13,18	191:14
202:19 204:12	186:12 214:8	59:8,8 60:1,7	134:16,22	ones 47:18 134:9
207:13 208:3	214:23	60:20 63:7,16	135:11,17	153:12
212:5	officer's 38:10	64:7 65:4,20	137:8 139:1	open 28:12
objective 117:9	123:16	66:21 67:1,3	140:4 143:23	111:13 130:13
117:13	officers 1:7 4:21	67:17 68:1,4	147:17,19	130:13 132:15
objectively	18:16 68:11	68:21 70:1,7	148:9 149:23	154:24 180:7
84:20	108:2 131:2	70:12,20,23	150:9 152:2	open-loop 179:6
obstruction	148:5 159:20	71:15 72:8,14	158:6,13	opened 153:14
41:21 43:18,20	166:15 169:4,9	72:19 74:18,22	159:11 167:13	openings 205:9
obtained 102:23	officers' 122:5	75:5,6,15,22	171:5 172:12	opinion 13:21
occasion 9:2	offices 26:19	76:5,5 77:6,13	172:18,23	20:7 38:7,9
126:24	oh 70:23 71:2,13	77:21 78:13	173:11,16,24	55:22 92:14
occasions 129:2	75:6 98:14	79:3,7,15	175:4,13,17,22	96:11 102:9
occlude 41:18	135:7,11	81:10,19,23	176:13 177:17	105:9 117:6,17
43:20 146:18	158:21 169:10	82:6,6,14,22	177:20 178:16	117:23 118:2,8
146:20	214:3	82:22 83:3,16	178:21 179:14	165:14 166:7
occluded 44:4	okay 5:24 6:1	85:21 86:15,19	179:14 180:2,9	168:4 171:17
occur 42:21 43:1	8:11,22 9:19	89:15 91:5,8	180:9,18 181:1	172:10,17
43:16 45:6,13	9:23 10:3,6,8	91:23 92:4,11	182:1,3 183:4	193:2,7 201:1
171:23 179:9	10:16 11:5	93:10,14 94:4	183:11,14,20	201:2,3,20
occurred 15:17	12:9,12 13:18	94:22 95:9,20	184:5,15,23	202:2,7
38:5 50:12	14:7,19 15:6	97:6,13 98:15	187:10,15,18	opinions 38:4
52:7 95:1	16:2 17:17,24	98:23 99:2,6,6	188:6 189:2,11	107:22 112:6,8
145:8 201:8	18:24 19:6,12	99:16,21 100:6	189:16,23,24	opportunity 9:7
occurring	19:21 20:1,5	100:16,18,19	192:10,17	9:16 24:6
157:19	21:1,1,7,16	101:4 102:2,2	194:7,7,17	67:18 72:4,8
occurs 40:21	22:1,9,20 23:7	102:5 103:8,22	195:6,8,12,21	72:10 217:3
44:15,17	23:8,11,15,21	104:3,24 106:3	196:23 197:14	opposable
offended 168:17	23:24 24:2,8	106:10,19	198:3,4,14,16	207:19
168:18,20	24:12,14,19	107:1,4,9,15	198:21 200:7	opposed 86:17

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

141:12 117:13 118:11 116:22 124:8 64:22 69:13,14 163:24 164:20 opposing 138:4 147:10 131:9,11 132:15 133:17 pathologist 32:12,16 34:24 35:3 46:2,2,5,9 165:6.13 171:6 69:18 71:12.13 172:5,13 176:21 194:18 photographs 7:9 69:8,11 70:12 71:10 81:18,19 opposite 88:6 138:24 144:6 147:15 150:7 46:11 48:8 194:24 204:8 156:24 178:19 172:24 182:2,4 pathologist's person's 11:22 194.5 184:14,21 191:21,23 32.21 162:4 134:14,18 138:7,19 options 46:16 personal 143:5 pathologists order 40:19 132:23 179:2 26:23 105:11 105:15 198:15 206:13 perspective 202:15 209:24 211:18 137:17 photography petechia 152:17 orders 214:15 213:1 215:10 pathology 35:2 215.7 pages 67:2 81:13 petechiae 10:22 Ordinance 35:2 physical 48:4,10 pattern 80:1,2 98:10 162:15 13:1,5,8 14:17 77:1 131:21 79:16 82:6 83:22 84:9 34:22 81-20 82-2 99:23 117:5,12 ordinary 159:15 organs 28:13,16 oancreatic 163:22 192:6 143:17.19.20 85:21 89:17 orientation Pause 24:13 91:12 116:21 paper 118:1 154:8 68:3 99:15 144:3.5.9.13 124:8 136:15 136:24 137:4 original 49:2 paragraph pediatric 179:8 145:16,21,23 148:8 198:17 181:10.13 146:1.3.7 178:10 182:20 originally 39:16 206:14 pen 153:20 147:3 152:3,15 185:13,19 paralegal 9:12 186:2.9 188:9 originals 213:21 pend 103:5 196:10.20.23 out-of-the-nor.. parallel 157:14 pended 34:8 197:4,5,7,8,9 189:19 190:1 pending 34:6,8 38:16 51:8,11 95:8 96:16 nart 19:13 26:12 210:22 211:10 physically 47:12 150:3 outcome 218:17 outside 28:9 65:2 68:17,17 80:13,14 87:1 211:13,16 **ohone** 9:3,5 10:4 74:5 75:23 96:23 139:21 87:5 105:12,19 108:18 125:20 10:5 14:4 15:24 16:21,22 68:18 111:24 102:20 105:4 physician 35:4 149:24 169:11 120:12 158:20 206:4 127:24 133:9 169:12.12 17:2,15 18:8 nick 143:21 outward 144:12 133:17 136:11 people 33:21 18:13,24 19:4 149:18,19 overlaps 43:12 155-22 159-23 155-18 204-16 19.6 69.5 picked 19:3 overlaying 29:4 166:17 176:2 PEOPLE'S 2:2 78:15,17,18,21 112:17 icture 69:18 133:23,24 Peoria 10:15 26:11 27:6,13 31:3 185:17 205:5,6 78:22 79:3.8 oxygen 126:9 209:18 79:12,16 83:23 nartial 45.6 35:20 36:14 84.10.89.20 134-2 5 135-3 135:19 138:6 perform 36:18 92:8,12,15,23 p.m 81:5,9 narties 218:13 performing 92:24 93:5.15 139:2 143:23 127:18,20,22 167:2,4,8 175:10 93:22 143:17 144:10 145:23 partner 21:24 period 55:12 164:14,17 151:11,23,24 198:6,8,10 21:24 25:23 . perpendicular 167:15 152:2,4,10,11 217:12,14 phonetic 25:6 26:1.4.14.16 152:11 153:4.6 12:8.11 87:17 person 9:8 10:3 11:11 16:21 nacket 132:7 99:19 hoto 213:3,4 153:10,16,18 135:10 page 3:1 49:24 pass 126:10 photograph 143:21 158:14 153:19,24 154:8,11,15,20 154:22 156:23 passing 206:16 19.8 20.14 50:23 51:3,3 52:12,15 75:8 patent 146:9 47:13 71:9 215:15.20 pathological 33:5 82:7 photographed 152:12 162:20 157:1 158:9,13 182:2 203:9 84:19.19 141:7 76:11 108:15 141:8 161:22 108:15,16,17 85:22 91:12 162:15 163:5 photographer

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

radiology 33:10 RANDALL 1:10

ranging 192:3

ratio 44:20 45:2

ranked 40:19

reached 53:4

SCOTT DENTON

Page 239

				raye 239
211:20 212:2,3	39:7,12 48:22	197:13 199:20	presumably	113:4,5 126:20
211:20 212:2,3	50:16,18 51:8	202:23 204:14	131:20	128:14 129:21
214:23,24	51:11 53:3,9	possible 66:4	Preteen 29:24	129:22,24
215:1,2,4,12	53:15,21,22	84:21 87:7	pretty 25:20	152:18 173:22
215:16,22	56:2,23 57:6	88:16 97:3	32:9 37:23	201:21
216:17	57:11,15,24	98:3 126:7,11	82:19	problem 214:19
pictures 7:6,8	58:6,15,22	126:16,17,19	prevent 6:14	procedure 1:18
7:11 21:18,18	59:2,9 61:16	127:12 139:21	156:16 158:4	6:10 103:16
64:23 132:9,10	61:22 62:7	140:7,8 156:10	previous 15:7	149:14
133:2,3,8,15	68:11 69:1,4	173:21,23	118:10 129:2	procedures
133:16,19	71:20 78:13	174:13 179:18	previously 5:13	101:21,23
134:8 135:12	89:10,12 90:19	181:8 187:11	23:3 50:15	104:15 105:1
145:17 147:16	90:19 91:5,6,8	187:16 188:2	53:23 54:3	114:22
151:22 182:10	96:16 100:12	191:5 200:17	59:21 99:8,9	proceeding
213:24 214:10	102:20,23	200:20 204:6	99:13 102:6	62:15,19 63:1
214:20,22	103:5 105:4,5	206:4 211:4	107:2 180:21	proceedings
215:6	106:11,13,22	possibly 174:4	183:12	21:3 24:13
piece 148:18	107:6,7 108:2	posterior 196:17	primarily 48:20	68:3 81:4
152:22	110:9 111:17	potential 169:24	prime 34:3	99:15 127:19
pink 73:3	115:12 118:18	potentially	print 134:12	167:3 198:7
124:14	119:2,7,11,19	140:15 157:24	prior 3:23 14:7	process 156:19
place 154:16	119:21 120:3,9	203:18	22:3,11 28:2,2	206:18 209:9
places 75:3,4	120:17 123:16	pounds 41:2,2,4	28:18 30:7,21	209:18
Plaintiff 1:4,16	123:17 130:4,7	41:7,8,11	53:1,7,13,20	proclaimed
2:6 4:14 5:3	130:7 148:5	practice 26:23	54:11 55:12,15	119:14
49:12	159:10,13,16	27:3,10	55:24 56:20	proclaiming
play 180:22	159:20,20,22	preparation	57:4 60:2	120:7
playing 53:10,24	160:2 166:15	6:17,19	65:10,12 66:21	produce 214:15
58:8,10 108:18	166:21 169:9	prepare 52:21	68:7 70:1	production
108:19,24	169:11,24	prepared 6:21	81:23 82:8,17	134:24 135:1
please 4:11 5:9	180:10 183:17	present 2:17	82:24 106:3,3	Professional
6:3 192:16	186:7 197:18	9:11 14:4	118:17,22	218:5
plus 28:8	policies 101:20	57:12 64:5,14	119:1,6 128:7	Progress 3:16
point 71:19 77:2	105:1 114:22	65:17 71:17	141:22 147:21	7:1 59:22
99:7 101:7	policy 34:8	175:9	148:1,5 183:17	Project 19:16
117:6 122:20	104:15 159:23	presented 29:16	prison 63:21	168:19 172:3
142:14 144:8	polygraph	39:1 60:24	private 26:23	prominent
151:12 158:1 178:18 191:16	119:13	63:8 109:22 115:9	27:2	192:3
201:11 203:23	position 177:22 178:7 196:16	pressure 13:7,9	probably 8:24 9:21 16:24	pronounced 77:7 140:19
201:11 203:23	positive 98:6	13:9,14 41:2	19:19 20:3	properties 45:17
points 45:13	positive 98:6 possession 7:12	87:9 131:22	26:13,14 27:24	properties 45:17 prosecution
131:6	7:15	136:5,7,13	28:7 29:24	104:6.10
poked 119:4	possibilities	140:21 141:13	40:6 44:1,2	protocol 21:10
police 1:6 6:24	126:15 174:6	145:1,5 146:9	72:6 74:21	38:21 150:3
7:2 34:2,3,6,8	possibility 86:14	146:12,18	82:16,19	prove 116:1,14
38:10,11,16	187:9 193:17	147:1,2 197:6	110:24 111:10	116:22
23.10,11,10	137.7 173.17	177.1,2 177.0	110.27 111.10	110.22

proves 116:15 provide 104:20 214:4.5 provided 38:11 38-14-20-48-21 60:4 69:1,4

74:23 106:19 106:22 109:19 110:8 111:2.4 111:15,17 115:2 147:21 214:9,10 providing 37:13

public 47:16 113:20 published 20:14 29:13,15 pull 89:1.2 149:23 194:2 nulled 88:23 91:4 96:12 144-12 20 145:5 194:12

91:2 140:21 141:8 149:8 202:16 204:9 pulls 176:3 pulmonary 188:19 216:10 punished 56:11 57:3 58:19

59.5

pulling 88:2

punishment 97.4 purportedly 184:2 purpose 102:8 213:3.4 purposes 47:16

107:2 pursuant 1:17 3:10 6:9 49:18 purview 206:5 pushed 119:3 145:6,8 193:12 pushing 145:9

194:8 195:10 put 50:23,24 51:11 62:1.8 93:5 129:4 140.9 147.1 149:16 173:5 184:9 185:3 puts 11:11

174:5 175:7,14

188:5 193:14

200:22,24

questions 6:15

24:5 150:22

168:22,24

206:11.23

quick 168:23

auickly 40:21

91:3

races 72:19

quite 28:19 29:7

159:9 164:19

170:17 171:10

172:19 182:19

201:16

reaching 148:4 reaction 158:5 Q read 6:19,24 7:1 7:2,17 21:22 quality 38:24 105:9,12,19 22:12 23:22 107:20 112:22 127:24 128:4 24:4,9 40:15 62:21 63:9,12 214:1 63:13.17 65:18 quarter 151:16 66:22 67:18 97:11 105:7 152:6 182:8 question 6:2,4 106:24 107:15 27:23 32:14 49:7 55:7 86:1 107:18 122:19 148:1 165:22 87:12 89:24 101:18 103:3 180-16 181-9 110.5 12 20 184:6,10,21,23 116:3 124:4 185:10 191:12 126:5.14 192:15,15 129:21 138:17 197:21 205:15 144:14 150:1 217-3 150:14 156:13 160:23 172:1 reading 24:17

25:14 73:8 89:13 109:17 166:3 187:18 191:22 198:22 eady 71:22 really 16:11 120:1 149:4 168:5,18 169:19.20 201:9 reason 147:7

169:8,16 reasonable 89:21 100:18 163:3.10.17.18 164:1,6 169:17 176:4,12,13 sons 169:23 170:7,8

ecall 16:5 19 22:19 24:17,2 24:23 25:1.4.8 39:9 53:6,12 53:19 56:5.6 57:3 58:5 61:20.20 62:1 63:16 65:14,1 66:12.15 71:1 72:20 89:16 91:21 96:7 98:21 100:24 108:12 109:17

166:1.2 184:3 receiving 25:14 165:23 Recess 81:4 127:19 167:3 198.7

recite 120:21 recognize 49:14 106:21 ecollection 25:14 164:20 165:6 reconstruct 88:15 reconstruction

88:15 record 4:1,12 5:9 20:10 81:8 107:2 127:17 127:21 128:2 134:17 138:16 152:21 167:2, 198:6.10

208:23 209:16

SCOTT DENTON

Page 240

ecall 16:5,19	recorded 70:10	
22:19 24:17,22	recorder 5:21	
24:23 25:1,4,8	70:20 71:4	
39:9 53:6.12	recording 70:17	
53:19 56:5,6	81:7 167:6	
57:3 58:5	records 31:4,5,8	
61:20,20 62:11	52:21 107:20	
63:16 65:14,15	Recross-Exa	
66:12,15 71:18	3:5 202:12	
72:20 89:16	red 144:1,3,13	
91:21 96:7	151:19 152:16	
98:21 100:24	152:17 153:4,5	
108:12 109:17	153:5,6 188:13	
141:17 143:18	188:15,23	
147:8 164:18	189:5 204:21	
165:20,23	205:4,7,7,24	
166:3 181:11	210:18,21	
181:20,23	red-brown	
196:3	157:8	
eceive 107:12	Redirect 3:5,6	
eceived 3:10	170:15 208:20	
15:23,23 49:18	reduced 218:11	
100:11 121:9	refer 176:7	
166:1,2 184:3	referred 3:23	
eceiving 25:14	referring 34:10	
165:23	refresh 22:5	
Recess 81:4	99:2,4 100:1,7	
127:19 167:3	159:4 164:20	
198:7	165:6	
ecite 120:21	refutes 200:18	
ecognize 49:14	regard 67:5	
106:21	regarding 3:17	
ecollection	16:7 18:22	
25:14 164:20	38:3 59:23	
165:6	60:12,21 61:3	
econstruct	69:1 81:14	
88:15	82:7 89:18	
econstruction	99:19 122:22	
88:15	122:23 128:10	
ecord 4:1,12	128:11 172:20	
5:9 20:10 81:8	181:9,13,21	
107:2 127:17	189:19 190:1	
127:21 128:2	regardless	
127:21 128:2	178:11	
152:21 167:2,7	region 159:2	
198:6,10	Registered 218:5	
208:13 217:11	218:3	
COMPRESSOR	NIC.	

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 61 of 63 PageID #:8545

SCOTT DENTON

N SCOTT DENTON

				Page 241
rehearsed	removed 78:17	197:21 198:13	171:14	89:1 90:3,11
120:20	removing 82:12	198:23 200:16	responded	90:15.19 91:2
related 7:15	rendered 201:1	200:18 206:12	166:4	91:11,23 95:11
218:12	repeat 42:23	206:13 209:9	response 113:8	95:14 96:13,17
relation 178:7	44:23	reporter 4:23	140:17 182:19	97:24 98:9
relationship	repeatedly	5:20.22.24	result 38:10	102:3,16
114:6	192:20	67:7 217:5	39:7 102:23	103:15,16,18
relative 44:17	rephrase 160:13	218:2,5,5	176:1 179:6	104:8.10
218:15	161:18 169:6	reports 8:8	180:11	105:20 107:17
released 63:19	170:5 207:18	36:16,17 103:6	resulted 48:5	108:18,21
63:20	report 3:15,16	represent 21:19	resulting 175:24	109:22 110:15
relevant 104:5	6:21 7:1.1	24:10 131:1	results 83:3	111:8 113:12
104:10	31:17 34:15	representation	170:13	113:22 115:20
reliable 122:3	36:6.20.22	139:18	resuscitation	116:4,10,19,21
123:12	37:6,17 47:11	representations	188:22,24	116:24 117:11
relied 48:20	58:17 59:22	122:5	review 24:6,15	117:17 118:7
121:18 122:3,4	60:4,8 65:19	representing 4:5	68:1 99:7,9,12	121:18,24,24
rely 121:16,17	66:23.24 67:5	4:16 21:2	157:18 161:19	122:4 123:6.17
197:15	67:6,17,18,20	request 91:5,6	reviewed 6:20	128:20 131:21
remember 8:20	68:6 70:8,18	106:10	70:1 142:3	133:2 134:3
9:4,4,9,10,13	71:1,5 73:6,8	requested 27:12	181:15 183:17	
	73:16 75:7			136:10,12 137:16 140:16
10:10 11:4		require 43:22	right 7:9 8:9 9:3	
13:20 14:14 15:5 16:8 17:7	81:11,17,20,20	102:12,13,13 103:6 104:16	9:9 11:8 12:18	140:20 141:3 147:12 148:15
	83:13,15 89:12		23:8 33:6,19	
18:3 19:11	89:19 105:6	104:17 138:1	34:13,21 35:1	148:17 151:18
21:4,14 22:4,9	106:14,21,23	142:14 163:24	38:8,13 39:19	152:7,16 153:3
22:13 29:7,22	107:7,10,12,15	required 40:24	40:18 42:2,13	153:12,15,20
30:9,23 38:19	107:18 108:1,6	41:18 44:3	43:13 44:5,8	153:21,22
39:10 50:19,19	108:10,21	requires 116:20	46:9,10,14	154:18 155:2,3
56:13,18 57:22	109:14,18	146:7,18	47:2 49:3 50:5	155:3 156:18
58:3,14 59:18	111:19 114:1	reserving 217:4	50:5 51:5,8,24	157:4,4,6,21
63:11,12 64:21	115:8,11,12,21	residency 46:10	52:20 59:8	158:4,7,10,14
66:16 67:14,16	115:24 117:3,7	resolve 130:17	65:9 66:24	158:21,22
71:14 89:9,11	117:20 122:1,2	resolved 38:1	68:7,9,15	160:19 166:21
89:13,15 92:7	122:17 123:12	respect 3:11	69:16 71:10,21	167:16 169:17
94:2 95:22	123:14,15,16	7:21 12:12	72:7 73:2,3	171:12,13
97:12 98:21	123:17,18	13:22 26:7	74:10,10,12,15	172:15,24
99:1,5 100:5	125:23 130:4,7	31:9,19 34:12	75:10,20 76:18	173:3,4,14,20
100:14 101:5	130:8 147:16	49:20 50:3	77:4,8,16 78:2	174:6,7,12,21
101:11 103:21	147:17 148:2,6	51:7 54:5,12	78:3,7,8,12,24	175:5 177:2,5
107:14,19	148:16 150:7,8	62:15,19 66:23	79:5 80:1	177:23 181:19
108:18 114:12	155:5 166:15	67:17 82:6	81:18 83:17	182:23 183:2
165:16 169:16	166:21 180:16	106:12 107:10	84:2,5,7,15,19	183:10,21
191:4 193:14	181:14,16	170:19 172:23	84:22 85:6,7	184:5,5,10
197:24 202:4	188:11,16	175:20	85:13,17,21	185:10,15
remove 28:13,16	191:11,12,24	respond 20:22	86:7,22 87:23	186:3 188:10
45:18	196:4 197:20	24:2 25:16	87:23 88:8	189:14 192:22

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

193:4 194:3,14 sake 5:21 car 74:10 99:4 115:11 sergeant 23:4 194:18,20 195:1,2,3 199:17 200:12 sample 206:5 sanguine 205:7 118-10 125-12 scars 74·12 series 170:17 scenario 146:15 126:6 133:23 sero-- 205:16 sanguinous 174:13 190:15 134:6 135:17 202:18,22 203:4,16,23 204:2 205:5,9 205:6 sat 105:13 191:7 193:11 137:2,8,9 serosanguinous 139:15 141:10 scenarios 188:13,23 Saturday 174:10 142:15,19 189-9 205-17 scene 36:13.15 143:8 152:15 205:18.21 206:16.18 105:22 208:10,10 209:10,10,12 aw 58:7 60:8,12 60:21 61:3 88:14 170:10 170:11 177:9 153:5 154:9 155:11,19 serous 205:5,5 service 6:10 62:1 63:4 70:4 73:14 75:5 209:17,18,19 210:4,14,18 schedule 202:1 school 19:1,14 Services 4:6 61:19,24 164.4 175.12 177:9 182:7,23 211:23,24 212:2,3,4,7,8 97:16,23 108:7 109:12 114:1 serving 48:8 set 20:10 133:1 46:10 171:7 183:2.2.5.8 scientific 156:6 186:3,20,23 212:10.11 126:24 127:3 163:3,11,17 187:1 188:21 133:15 134:13 164:2,7 Scott 1:15 3:3 215:13,14,16 129:7 138:11 134:18 214:22 Robert 1:7.8 138:18 139:7 193:20 194:10 215:5 25:3 role 32:12,13,16 139:21 151:10 4:10 5:2,10 194:13,14,15 settled 196:18 settling 196:15 Seven 78:9 162:20 188:10 81:8 167:7 194:23 195:6 32:21 33:1 197:16 209:20 217:10 218:7 195:13,14 saying 34:17,17 34:23 43:23 48:3 89:2 94:2 severe 196:21 34:19 37:6 scraping 131:24 196:20 203:9 46:13 79:8 room 50:21 56:6 203:12 204:15 share 93:19 shared 107:23 scratching 206:6 210:13 56:9,24 59:1 64:10,20,21 102:3 103:8 113:13 123:15 211:8 212:10 212:14,19,20 123:21 124:5 Shatat 24:24 203:17 scrutiny 165:18 65:6 72:1 92:1 92:6 141:11 133:6 135:2 144:9 152:1 172:11 213:17 seeing 24:22,23 101:5 108:12 138:10 142:6 sheet 42:13 53:4 sdentonmd@... 179:16 201:22 rooms 27:6 166:1 168:14 24:20 53:16 54:10 58:9,11 62:1,8 169:16 178:21 search 20:17 63:4 67:23 69:5 79:20 64.18 187-13 16 17 searched 164:23 166:3 188:22 rope 11:9 54:1 189:15 190:16 seen 53:10,15,23 second 49:5 51:18,22 96:15 99:8 118:3 54:10 55:9 75:4 129:1,4 Î77:22 178:7 194:23 197:9 80:10,15,20 180:19,20,22 197:12 210:23 88:6,7,8,9,17 181.6.207.9 210:24 214:16 127-16 152-8 142:1 155:17 88:20 89:2.4.7 rounds 65:16 ays 34:6 62:4 secure 43:8 162:5,6,14 92:4 93:11 routinely 93:9 rubric 30:13 rule 129:18 see 5:19 12:7 205:15 108:19 109:1.4 67:24 75:10 76:2 78:16 117:6 122:17 20:18 26:3 27:2,8 28:14 109:12 129:8 131:17,20 send 26:6 214:6 214:17 130:15 ruled 79:3,5 127:5 148:17 184:24 185:9 36:6 40:18 46:21 51:7 135:22 136:16 136:19,23 sense 135:14 sent 6:20 24:11 139:4,5,12,13 148:11,18 62:10 69:14,20 69:22 71:2 rules 1:18 5:17 185:18.21 58:18 165:20 191:13,24,24 6:9 separate 17:23 run 124:23 196:3 201:23 73-24 74-1 132:11 133:1 193:6 201:6 206:19 214:7 75:19 76:11,19 207:10 213:5 125:1 separated runs 124:20 77:1,6 78:13 80:6 93:13 shoelace 139:16 139:17 207:22 214-18 135:13 scan 214:12 separately scanned 214:11 94:20 98:9,11 152:13 short 10:14

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 24

				Page 243
19:22 20:1	160:9	189:12	slightly 76:21	9:23 21:2
shorter 18:7	side 11:14 18:10	similar 17:22	87:5.18 162:13	22:14.17 24:24
Shorthand	20:9.10 76:16	157:20	191:19	25:3,5,10
218:4	76:19 77:4,7,8	simple 36:23	small 45:22	65:11 67:12,15
shot 69:15,15	77:19 78:3,7,8	simultaneous	134:8 151:19	71:4 118:23
101:10 213:22	78:10,12,12,24	22:23	158:8 159:18	speaking 22:10
214:24 215:1,2	79:1 124:24	single 190:10,10	smaller 41:13	25:1,8 82:17
shoulder 74:14	131:16,19	191:8,11,13,18	157:9,11	148:5
74:15 75:6,10	136:8,10,12	192:2.19 193:2	so-called 178:19	special 160:2
75:12,17,20	137:16 139:12	207:10,19	solely 110:2	Specialist 2:18
154:4,5 155:3	139:13 140:19	single-line	somebody	4:5
155:4,12 157:2	140:20 141:1.3	140:18	140:20 208:1	specializes 35:4
210:11,12,13	141:4 142:16	singular 191:24	someone's 41:6	specialty 35:3
shoulders	142:19 145:19	192:11,14,19	47:9	specific 173:5
153:13.15	151:20 156:23	sit 19:12 22:1	Somerville	177:6 179:11
154:3 174:1	156:24 158:11	25:13 65:20	21:23	specifically 21:4
	158:11 168:7	101:4 123:1	somewhat 77:24	65:15 78:24
175:1,2 show 22:20 47:8		171:5 172:12	77:24	94:24 106:10
59:20 91:22	171:11,18	171:5 172:12	Sommer 2:18	171:8 172:13
	183:2,10			
93:4,6,13	190:12 192:3	sitting 39:10	4:5	192:18
107:1 132:5	194:1,3 195:3	181:23	son 93:22	speculation
133:19 134:11	195:17 202:18	situations 42:9	119:22	40:12 44:22
135:3 137:5	206:16 207:20	skills 45:22	soon 104:21	60:15 75:2
144:18 153:23	209:5,17 210:4	skin 10:23	sorry 26:3 45:11	76:1 79:11
183:9 203:7	210:9 211:23	131:23,24	45:21 54:7	87:22 88:13
209:1	211:24 212:2,3	136:4 142:20	59:6 62:16	167:24 168:11
show-and-tell	212:4,4,9,10	142:21 156:11	65:14 99:10	205:3
151:22	sides 12:21	190:14,17	133:14 144:6	spell 5:9
showed 12:22	60:18 193:20	199:12 203:22	145:15 151:13	spending 66:17
71:21,23 82:5	194:9,10	204:3,4 210:14	151:21 154:15	Spider 58:10
82:20 83:12	195:10 202:17	211:7	157:4 158:19	spinal 82:12
152:3	sign 118:2	skipped 25:20	160:21 169:6	spoke 9:19 19:8
showing 23:18	signature 217:4	slant 77:10,13	178:15 188:4	21:20 22:13
210:14 214:1	217:6,15	77:21 78:1,2	190:21 191:16	118:24 189:11
shown 101:10	signed 34:16	78:11	196:13	191:2 199:19
143:20 153:4	51:15 52:9	slanted 77:15	sort 139:11	spoken 18:18,21
162:19 165:19	117:19	slanting 11:13	141:11	130:24
shows 47:11	significance	76:20 142:18	sound 54:2 62:5	Sta-Von 148:11
137:9 143:24	145:24	142:19 147:13	sounds 25:7	198:18,22
145:21,23	significant 42:5	191:20 192:1	40:15 100:4,18	215:24
146:11 152:4	54:5,12 60:13	slight 77:10,20	176:4	staff 105:20,21
153:16 182:10	60:17 143:1	77:21 78:2	source 33:18	105:22,24
192:5,6 200:16	significantly	87:1,9,9,13,14	40:14	stages 95:8
209:21 213:9	41:19	87:14,15,19,24	sources 32:20	stains 73:3
213:16	signing 112:13	88:10,17,19	33:16	124:14
sic 108:22	217:4	142:23.23	Spam 25:19	stamp 134:20
137:18 138:21	signs 74:4 175:8	215:17	speak 9:2,8,16	stamp 134:20 stamped 99:14
137.10 130:21	sigil3 /4.4 1/3:0	413.17	эрсак э.2,0,10	stampeu 55.14

SCOTT DENTON
Page 244

				Page 244
stand 8:20 65:17	194:14.15	111:3 122:24	121:4	45:8.19 47:6
116:5	stopped 158:2	136:20,22	subsequently	54:14,20 55:18
standard 103:16	stops 156:19	142:2 155:7.9	123:24	55:23 58:22
standing 195:9	190:12	160:16 170:18	subspecialty	59:8 60:24
staple 135:9	stories 130:18	190:2 204:6	35:3	61:6 62:17
stapled 132:12	138:8	striking 97:15	sudden 13:15.15	68:2 69:24
133:6 135:9.15	story 20:6,9,19	string 11:21	suffocated	76:11 81:3
start 99:11	101:5 148:20	12:1,3 43:11	124:10 125:11	84:15 88:22
started 8:21	168:7 171:12	53:11 58:8	126:3	89:4 91:20
67:13 69:22,23	171:17.18	59:11,12,14	suffocating	93:3.18 94:14
starting 66:21	straight 12:6	60:22 77:23	125:5	97:17 98:3,11
150:12	20:10 193:22	86:8 87:4	suggested	98:19 102:7,12
starts 76:13,24	strangle 137:18	178:8 184:24	193:12	103:11 104:7
state 1:21 5:9	207:24	187:11.20	suggestion 23:1	114:14 125:15
105:8 164:6	strangled	194:9 195:10	suicide 47:18.24	126:19 144:7
199:7 218:6	160:17 176:22	strong 112:18	48:15	144:10 151:10
State's 1:10 21:5	177:11	struck 90:23	Suite 2:8,14 4:7	152:9 158:1
21:8,23 186:11	strangling	96:23 97:7	summary	165:9 166:8
stated 127:2	137:24 204:8	98.7	117:12 166:16	169:22 170:6
198:18	strangulation	structures 41:9	183:23.24	175:18.21
statement	8:18 13:24	41:14	Sunday 105:21	181:6,7 191:23
104:16 122:10	29:2 40:21	struggle 146:23	113:6	214:7.18
183:23 199:10	42:21 43:1,4	struggling	superficial	surface 155:24
statements	43:16 52:2.7	203:18	144:17 151:14	156:15.17
15:17,20 16:2	109:24 112:19	stuck 88:7,7,9	182:5	157:22
19:23 20:5	129:22 140:12	student 15:24	Superman	surfaced 158:1
56:7,13 59:19	142:1 146:21	19:16 164:11	108:19	surfacing 158:2
60:12.18.21	161:1.6.8.11	165:10 167:12	supervision	surround 179:2
61:2 122:21,24	161:15,21	167:18 170:20	180:12	surrounded
123:17 138:15	164:8,9 175:20	students 168:20	Supplemental	132:3
197:15	176:6,14,17,18	172:3	3:14 107:10	Susler 2:3 4:16
states 1:1 39:21	176:24 177:12	studied 121:8	Supplementary	4:16
40:4.10 51:8	177:13.18	181:15	7:1 106:23	
117:19 120:21	178:2,5,22,24	studies 169:12	support 101:22	suspended 11:10 147:12
198:18	207:11 211:11	170:12 181:9	112:19 116:7.9	suspension 11:3
station 64:17	strangulation	181:12	116:24 188:1	11:9 45:6,7,13
station 64:17 stationed 159:20	42:6	stuff 63:19	supported	142:14 176:1
stationed 139:20	Street 1:20 4:4.7	submitted 31:17	104:18 106:15	203:24
Statute 34:22	street 1:20 4:4,7	95:7.10	104:18 106:15	203:24 suspicious 90:13
Statute 34:22 Stauffer 25:3	80:21	subpoena 3:10		suspicious 90:13 sustain 156:14
	strike 40:2		supportive 116:16	sustain 136:14 sustained 13:13
stays 146:22 186:19	44:10 46:3	6:9,11,20 49:12,19		74:20 75:16
	51:21 54:7		supposed 48:11	76:2 155:22
steps 102:13	51:21 54:7 59:1 71:8	138:24 214:6 214:17	sure 5:10,18,22 6:12 14:13	76:2 155:22 156:9 160:16
Steve 25:5 stick 175:17	59:1 /1:8 80:11 83:9.21			
		subpoenaed	21:14,19,23	sweatshirt 73:3
stood 16:10	88:18 94:10	214:4	23:3 24:6 36:4	124:13,15
stop 140:10	99:11 110:6	subsequent	37:4 41:12	125:6,20 187:2
		•	•	

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 62 of 63 PageID #:8546

SCOTT DENTON

SCOTT DENTON

				Page 245
	I	I	I	I
187:4,6,7,8,22	65:15 96:2	214:1	37:11 55:6	168:13,16
199:8,11,15,18	151:22 193:5	test 119:13	62:20 88:24	three 17:13
199:20 200:1	206:20	206:6	114:19 117:12	19:20 40:13,18
200:10	tape 70:20	testified 5:5	124:23 127:13	64:20 97:21
sworn 5:1,4	tear 144:17	15:19 21:6,8	128:20,21	124:23
218:8	tears 120:5	21:12 49:1	129:5,5 143:8	threw 215:9
symmetrical	tech 64:9	55:9 62:14,18	168:3 171:16	throw 174:9
157:15,17	technician 71:11	63:4 96:14	206:12	throwing 59:7
194:10 195:19	teeth 145:2,9	97:24 101:6	think 7:16 8:2,3	thrown 16:9
system 26:21	tell 5:4 14:11,20	102:6 110:15	8:12 10:13,18	thumb 158:15
32:14,15,22	17:4,20 18:1	121:6 125:22	10:22,24 11:2	Thursday
34:1 35:1,7,8	19:11 20:9,10	150:16 166:12	12:18 14:15	105:24
35:10,12 37:22	21:17 22:2	175:4 200:21	15:1,21,23	tie 53:16
38:6 46:13,13	30:20 32:11	testify 62:21,22	16:8,10 17:21	tied 177:23
62:23	56:2 65:21	62:24	20:21 22:6	180:20
	69:14 75:5	testifying	23:5 29:13	tight 13:15
T	78:6 89:10	100:24 118:17	34:16 41:1	126:22 138:21
T 2:7	91:18.24 92:4	testimony 7:17	43:20 54:20	147:12 148:22
table 105:14	93:16,20 94:4	48:7 63:7 65:4	57:1,3,10	164:3 187:6
tag 69:16	94:11 95:16,20	70:4 118:22	62:20 63:13	203:2,5 208:6
take 23:19 69:15	95:23 96:2.5	119:1.6 140:23	71:21 82:11	tightly 43:9
69:15,18,19	96:19,22 97:6	141:22 150:19	93:24 94:1.6	88:23 135:22
71:2 81:2 99:3	97:9 103:19	182:18 183:5	96:1,4,21 97:1	136:17 137:12
100:21,22	121:14 123:1	187:10 189:18	97:8,11,16,21	138:1
116:5 127:15	153:8 154:10	190:3 200:5	97:22 101:3	time 4:2 9:19,21
128:21 166:24	154:13.19.22	207:14 209:4	109:13 115:18	24:9 26:12.13
198:2	156:3 173:2	214:14 215:24	119:5,10,18	31:15 43:3
taken 1:18 6:8	174:13 186:7	218:7,9	120:22 134:23	50:7,22 52:6
55:17 60:20	186:11 190:22	tests 36:1	135:4 137:24	55:7,12 62:13
61:2 69:8,12	telling 8:21	text 80:23	138:5 140:3,6	65:2 66:10,17
119:22 128:17	114:4 216:19	thank 20:12	142:13.23	70:3.19 81:9
134:2 214:23	tells 35:24 75:16	26:5 114:15	151:1 152:2	89:7 91:14
218:9,14	77:1	171:22	153:2 156:21	95:17 96:22
talk 19:24 21:10	ten 18:7 22:4	theory 188:2	164:16 166:12	107:17 111:5
21:11 91:23	99:1	thereto 218:16	166:16 169:18	113:19 121:6
168:1,5 200:24	tender 130:20	thick 75:14	169:19,20	121:12 127:14
talked 9:5,10	tender 130:20 tension 80:18	76:23	172:9 180:17	127:12 127:14
10:22,24 14:15	tenth 192:4	thing 14:24	192:12 196:6	137:12.19
15:22 17:1,16	term 29:4 41:1	15:21 29:10	213:15 216:23	139:22 143:14
21:5,8,24	44:17 146:16	32:9 59:17	third 52:18	146:17 148:23
71:24 131:11	175:22,23	66:15 82:11	148:8 198:17	155:19 157:19
147:4 149:8				
164:10,10,14	176:6 192:11	84:2 86:2,6	thought 93:18	167:2,8 173:5
187:3 204:18	192:14,18	112:5 133:11	133:6,14 192:9	176:23 190:18
206:13	terms 47:20	135:5 168:2	216:20	191:5 198:6,10
talking 14:24	54:18 101:15	188:12	Thousands 46:20	199:23 200:2,8
21:4 56:18	103:14 117:23	things 6:22 8:9		201:3 205:12
21.4 30.10	122:21 175:19	14:16,17 31:3	threatening	217:11
	•	•	•	•

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

imes 14:14 43:5 120:16,22 184:4 117:2 184:13 121:23 162:18 183:7 190:18 trap 171:21 trapezius 158:7 198:1 208:22 209:23 213:1 45:24 69:23 U-shape 195:20 114:4 127:8 Uh-huh 75:9 215:10 136:17 137:5 191:6.8.9 158:10,16,23 158:24 trauma 39:22 137:10 138:9 197:18 199:23 turned 210:8,10 unable 43:13 138:11,21 200:8 202:6 210:11 86:8 146:13 148:22 164:3 169:5,7 182:21 185:4,7,18 186:18,19,21 turning 114:24 172:18 182:1 op 12:20,23 53:4 76:14 40:5 47:10 74:21,22 76:2 unattended 36:15 78:9 89:3 92:5 108:15 132:12 98:10 150:17 150:21 151:6 198:12,15 uncommon 38:5 211:18 unconsciousn... 41:23 44:5,7 186:22,24 187:5 188:3 total 17:11.13 155:17 156:1 TV 63:19.21 145:22 169:6 TRAURIG 2:12 101:8,9 unders-- 24:10 199:5 204:17 207:5 **tissue** 154:2,19 totally 86:20,21 135:12 treatment 74:23 tree 180:20 twice 14:14 214:24 215:1 understand 6:3 14:19 38:8 touched 162:24 207:9 two 8:6 9:21 39:5 46:23 154:20 155:23 trial 7:2,3 8:13 10:9 14:8,20 touching 190:14 47:20 59:13 tissues 153:16 toxicological 21:11 22:3.11 15:7 17:10.11 116:10 137:8 22:14 63:15 17:11,12,14,15 140:3 187:15 today 6:11,15,18 19:13 22:1,24 23:3 25:13 toxicology 33:9 47:11 85:7 118:17.22 17:23 18:23 188:4 192:13 119:1,6 121:6 175:5 200:22 19:19 20:3 understanding 89:19 170:12 27:1.18.19 27:22 65:20 170:13 tripped 182:16 37:24 56:11,11 140:4 167:20 trachea 43:21 101:4 122:8 64:18.20 65:2 trouble 37:15 understood 6:5 123:1 171:6 172:12 174:12 59:6 86:12 126:20 190:6 70:5 72:13 78:16 80:24 44:3 undetermined traction 87:16 38:1 47:19 true 40:6 101:3 101:7 124:6 128:24 129:17 175:17 182:18 189:18 200:3 87:17,19,24 88:10,17,19 82:4 88:24 99:23 112:16 48:1,16 84:12 85:16,20 200:11 206:5 140:13,14,15 130:18 132:11 110:17.24 214:2 194:2,6 130:10 149:7 133:5 151:15 111:7,10,11 129:24 130:12 Today's 4.2 6.8 tragedy 201:13 172:8 181:24 153-14 182-8 183:8 185:20 told 14:19 17:17 tragic 201:4,8 182:10 209:4 130:16 training 46:11 121:9 18:4 19:2 53:2 199:18 200:13 209:14 211:24 undisputed 53:6,9,14,22 206:15 211:16 212:12,23 160:17 56.8 10.23 tram-track trust 121:23 two-tenths unexpected 37:11 58:1,7,16,23 58:1,7,16,23 58:23 59:3 61:17,23,23 217:5,8 truth 5:4 212:20,23 191:14 192:4 trampoline 180:21,22 206:24 207:9 type 33:14 131:20 141:13 unfair 156:13 try 88:15 166:6 unfavorable 198:2 146:15 157:24 20:8 168:3 62:6 89:15 93:14,24 94:7 typed 71:5 89:12 106:21 transcribed trying 16:8,19 171:16 5:20 60:18 84:22 typed-up 52:14 94:14,17,22 95:6 103:23 transcript 3:20 7:3 21:22 167:19,21 168:2,8 179:12 44:16 typewriting 218:11 unintentional 105:2 112:11 111:21 115:9 200:14 40:9 118:18 119:2 115:14 166:14 Tuesday 100:16 typewritten Unit 66:10 119:12,12,17 119:20,24 105:23 113:5 turn 49:23 51:2 166:18.22 81.20 United 1:1 39:21 typical 11:2,6 40:4,10 120:4,4,10,15 transcripts 52:11 67:1 12:1 142:7,10

AREA WIDE REPORTING & VIDEO CONFERENCING

SCOTT DENTON

Page 247

				Page 247
	l	l		I
unnatural 47:18 47:18	173:9 176:17	167:6 217:10	wanted 101:13	week 8:6 16:24 173:7
	177:11 178:23 188:14 196:21	view 153:11 visualized	101:14 102:16 102:22 103:9	weekly 169:11
unpend 107:22	188:14 196:21			
unpended 105:23 106:1	v	158:20	103:12 128:9	weight 11:11
	V 178:19.23	Vitae 3:13	167:20 168:1	41:6 176:2,10 176:19
unpending	V-shape 11:14	Void 50:24	208:8	-,,
38:21 unusual 114:5	11:17 142:16	voluntarily	wasn't 16:13,19	well-nourished 73:19
	vacuum 85:17	122:5	19:24 63:9	,
180:3,8 unwind 198:19	vague 170:4	voluntary 121:20	70:14,16 92:24 93:1,6,15 95:7	went 8:13 15:1
199:4	vague 170.4 vagus 124:21	vomit 73:3	95:1,6,15 95:7	25:19,19 84:3 90:9 107:21
	125:1		105:20 114:14	109:19 112:15
unwrapped 187:20	validity 128:12	124:13,14		164:22 170:20
up-to-date	varies 27:18	125:6 vomited 124:11	120:1 139:11 149:22 150:3	weren't 86:20
	vary 178:11			
208:15 upper 74:14,14	vein 13:17 41:22	125:5 vomiting 124:22	162:14 168:20 185:7,8 191:7	86:21,22 105:16 121:7
75:10,19 76:19	44:4 124:18.18	125:2	201:10	160:2
75:10,19 76:19 144:4 152:12	124:24 146:8	vs 1:5	way 30:19 33:11	West 1:19 2:8
152:14 152:12	146:15,19,20	VS 1:5	47:6 49:13	2:14 4:3
153:16 158:8	146:21 147:1	W	53:4 57:1	whatsoever 98:7
158:22 182:6	veins 41:8 44:1	Wacker 2:14	70:10 73:23	126:1
201:5.7	venous 13:9	wait 50:18	75:22 76:11	wide 76:15
upside 11:17	43:17,19	170:18 196:13	93:1 94:5	191:15 192:4
upside 11:17 upward 77:13	124:18	waited 113:23	100:19 110:18	widely 178:11
upwards 77:15	verbal 5:23	waiting 170:12	113:16 115:6	wider 203:13
87:18.19 88:11	version 60:8	waive 217:8	116:14.22	Wilson 61:18.23
142:18,18	versus 4:9 38:3	waived 217:15	126:11 154:19	62:8
147:13 162:13	47:1,17 77:7	waiving 217:6	156:6 163:2.2	wish 143:13.15
191:19,24	120:13 140:19	walk 131:7	163:4 168:12	withdraw 49:7
use 21:18 27:19	175:20 206:7	150:10 151:7	176:17 177:2,3	54:8 93:3
132:9 133:7	vertical 11:21	wall 175:2	184:9 189:5	166:11 188:6
192:14,18	11:24 12:3,4,5	want 23:21 24:6	199:15	witness 1:16 3:2
usual 178:18	12:5,10 77:21	36:3 54:14.17	ways 116:9	5:1,3,18 6:1,7
195:24	86:17 87:1,8	55:3,13,21	we'll 23:14	6:12 24:14
usually 11:8,10	192:7	68:1 80:23	134:12	56:3,5 81:2
11:12,13,16	vessels 41:18	91:11 98:4	we're 23:12	99:16 107:4
33:11 34:4	victim 45:17	116:10 117:2	26:14 81:8	109:15 130:20
36:5.17 37:12	victims 178:11	127:15 128:14	133:17 135:16	130:23 131:3
37:23,23 41:6	video 2:18 4:5	128:14,16	147:16 153:2	133:18 135:11
43:8 47:16	5:21 209:1	131:6 132:19	156:21 167:1	135:14.17
70:21,21 75:3	VIDEOGRAP	147:15 150:7	193:5 194:21	170:6 198:4
81:18 85:11	4:1,22 81:6	167:10 171:11	198:5,9 206:20	217:8 218:7,9
91:22 100:22	127:17,21	175:19 177:20	212:7 214:1	witnessed 33:18
103:4 104:2	167:1,5 198:5	180:6,16	we've 23:18	122:13.18
120:1 126:16	198:9 217:9	189:23 203:7	130:24	witnesses
142:14.17	videotaped	208:22 216:16	wearing 125:7	138:10
146:22 152:12	70:14 81:7	217:3	weave 192:6	Wo 1:10 2:11
	l	l	I	I

Case: 1:14-cv-04391 Document #: 340-2 Filed: 05/24/17 Page 63 of 63 PageID #:8547

				Page	249
189:12 216:17	360 183:6	64 158:13	99 3:18	İ	
2016 1:22 4:2	190:23 200:1	65 209:24	JJ J.10	ı	
218:10	203:2 207:11	66 49:13		1	
202 3:5	207:12,15	00 47.13		ı	
20232 1:10	208:1,5	7		1	
20417 1:9	360-degree	7 4:2 41:8,11		ı	
20680 1:8	137:14	77:19,19 78:8		ı	
208 3:6,12	38 153:6,6	78:10 151:3,4		ı	
20926 1:8	39 80:10,15,18	158:6,7,17,20		1	
21 216:16	3rd 2:4	172:24 174:3		1	
21121 1:9		218:10		ı	
21204 1:7	4	7/10 75:14		ı	
21252 1:9	4 40:10 117:12	75 3:20 183:13		1	
23 3:8 157:1	4-year-old 86:12	184:11		ı	
235-0070 2:5	126:21	77 2:14		ı	
25 135:6 184:3	40 152:14	773 2:5		1	
203:7,10	409 99:14	7th 1:21		ı	
208:23	4391 1:6			ı	
26 143:23 144:6	46 99:11	8		ı	
152:4 182:2	476-5126 2:15	8 80:20 81:13		ı	
184:2,3	49 3:9	82:2		ı	
27 119:8		8-month-old		ı	
29 144:4	5	179:15,19		ı	
2900 4:7	5 3:3 29:23,24	180:3,6		ı	
2nd 9:8 17:18	39:24 40:3	8,500 28:1		ı	
	42:4,8 117:12	8:30 65:16 66:14		ı	
3	119:21 153:12	8th 117:20		ı	
3 75:8 117:12	157:13	9		ı	
150:7 151:3	53 2:8 145:21	9 81:13 82:2		ı	
153:2,3 158:18	54 211:18	198:15		ı	
158:19 167:6	55 213:1	9,000 28:1		1	
172:24	56 145:23	9:00 69:22 201:2		1	
3.2 182:4	215:10 58 134:5	90 87:15		ı	
3/10 158:9	59 3:16	94 23:5		ı	
3:09 198:6,8	39 3.10	95 23:5		ı	
3:13 198:8,10	6	95Z 3:8 23:12,16		ı	
3:42 217:11,14	641:876:14	23:19 165:19		ı	
30 3:18 27:18	77:17 78:6	96 28:6 172:19		1	
99:10,13	80:20 81:21	96Z 3:9 49:8,9		ı	
153:18 154:17	117:5,13	50:24 51:3		l .	
300 28:5 3078 1:7	153:12 156:21	52:12 67:2		ı	
3100 2:14	156:22 157:13	81:11,21 117:3		ı	
310 0 2:14 312 2:9,15	60 138:24,24	131:9 133:8,12		ı	
330 2:8	60601 2:14 4:7	133:17 135:16		ı	
341-9646 2:9	60604 2:9	97 208:8		l .	
35 7:9	60642 2:4	97Z 3:12 208:17		l .	
33 1.9				ı	
			•		